



National
Comprehensive
Cancer
Network®

NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®)

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Version 2.2017 — October 26, 2016

NCCN.org

NCCN Guidelines for Patients® available at www.nccn.org/patients

Continue



National
Comprehensive
Cancer
Network®

NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Panel Members

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

[NCCN Guidelines Index](#)
[Table of Contents](#)
[Discussion](#)

* David S. Ettinger, MD/Chair †
The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive
Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins

* Douglas E. Wood, MD/Vice Chair ¶
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research
Center/Seattle Cancer Care Alliance

Dara L. Aisner, MD, PhD ≠
University of Colorado Cancer Center

Wallace Akerley, MD †
Huntsman Cancer Institute
at the University of Utah

Jessica Bauman, MD ‡
Fox Chase Cancer Center

Lyudmila A. Bazhenova, MD † ‡
UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center

Lucian R. Chirieac, MD ≠
Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's
Cancer Center

Thomas A. D'Amico, MD ¶
Duke Cancer Institute

Malcolm M. DeCamp, MD ¶
Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer
Center of Northwestern University

Thomas J. Dilling, MD, MS §
Moffitt Cancer Center

Michael Dobelbower, MD, PhD §
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Comprehensive Cancer Center

Robert C. Doebele, MD, PhD †
University of Colorado Cancer Center

Ramaswamy Govindan, MD †
Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-
Jewish Hospital and Washington
University School of Medicine

Matthew A. Gubens, MD, MS †
UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive
Cancer Center

Mark Hennon, MD ¶
Roswell Park Cancer Institute

Leora Horn, MD, MSc †
Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center

Ritsuko Komaki, MD §
The University of Texas
MD Anderson Cancer Center

Rudy P. Lackner, MD ¶
Fred & Pamela Buffett Cancer Center

Michael Lanuti, MD ¶
Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center

Ticiana A. Leal, MD †
University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center

Leah J. Leisch, MD ¶
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Comprehensive Cancer Center

Rogério Lilenbaum, MD †
Yale Cancer Center/Smilow Cancer Hospital

Jules Lin, MD ¶
University of Michigan
Comprehensive Cancer Center

* Billy W. Loo, Jr., MD, PhD §
Stanford Cancer Institute

* Renato Martins, MD, MPH †
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center/
Seattle Cancer Care Alliance

Gregory A. Otterson, MD †
The Ohio State University Comprehensive
Cancer Center - James Cancer Hospital
and Solove Research Institute

Karen Reckamp, MD, MS † ‡
City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center

Gregory J. Riely, MD, PhD † ¶
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

Steven E. Schild, MD §
Mayo Clinic Cancer Center

Theresa A. Shapiro, MD, PhD ≠
The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive
Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins

Neelesh Sharma, MD, PhD † ‡
Case Comprehensive Cancer Center/
University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center and
Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute

James Stevenson, MD †
Case Comprehensive Cancer Center/
University Hospitals Seidman Cancer Center and
Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute

Scott J. Swanson, MD ¶
Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's
Cancer Center

Kurt Tauer, MD †
St. Jude Children's Research Hospital/
University of Tennessee Health Science Center

Stephen C. Yang, MD ¶
The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive
Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins

NCCN
Kristina Gregory, RN, MSN, OCN
Miranda Hughes, PhD

Continue

† Medical oncology	Ⓞ Diagnostic/Interventional radiology
¶ Surgery/Surgical oncology	≠ Patient advocate
§ Radiation oncology/Radiotherapy	Ⓟ Internal medicine
≠ Pathology	*Discussion Section Writing Committee
‡ Hematology/Hematology oncology	

[NCCN Guidelines Panel Disclosures](#)

[NCCN Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Panel Members](#)

[Summary of Guidelines Updates](#)

[Lung Cancer Prevention and Screening \(PREV-1\)](#)

[Clinical Presentation and Risk Assessment \(DIAG-1\)](#)

[Initial Evaluation and Clinical Stage \(NSCL-1\)](#)

[Evaluation and Treatment:](#)

- [Stage I \(T1ab-2a, N0\), Stage II \(T1ab-2ab, N1; T2b, N0\), Stage IIB \(T3, N0\), and Stage IIIA \(T3, N1\) \(NSCL-2\)](#)
- [Stage IIB \(T3 invasion, N0\) and Stage IIIA \(T4 extension, N0-1; T3, N1\) \(NSCL-4\)](#)
- [Stage IIIA \(T1-3, N2\) and Separate Pulmonary Nodules \(Stage IIB, IIIA, IV\) \(NSCL-7\)](#)
- [Multiple Lung Cancers \(NSCL-10\)](#)
- [Stage IIB \(T1-3, N3\) \(NSCL-11\)](#)
- [Stage IIB \(T4, N2-3\) and Stage IV, M1a: Pleural or Pericardial Effusion \(NSCL-12\)](#)
- [Stage IV, M1b: Limited Sites \(NSCL-13\)](#)
- [Surveillance After Completion of Definitive Therapy \(NSCL-15\)](#)
- [Therapy for Recurrence and Metastasis \(NSCL-16\)](#)
- [Systemic Therapy for Metastatic Disease \(NSCL-17\)](#)

[Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\)](#)

[Principles of Surgical Therapy \(NSCL-B\)](#)

[Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\)](#)

[Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy \(NSCL-D\)](#)

[Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\)](#)

[Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease \(NSCL-F\)](#)

[Cancer Survivorship Care \(NSCL-G\)](#)

[Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients With Genetic Alterations \(NSCL-H\)](#)

[Staging \(ST-1\)](#)

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management for any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

To find clinical trials online at NCCN Member Institutions, [click here: nccn.org/clinical_trials/physician.html](#).

NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise specified.

See [NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus](#).

The NCCN Guidelines® are a statement of evidence and consensus of the authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the NCCN Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) makes no representations or warranties of any kind regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way. The NCCN Guidelines are copyrighted by National Comprehensive Cancer Network®. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines and the illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form without the express written permission of NCCN. ©2016.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 2.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 1.2017 include:

[NSCL-17](#)

- Testing results modified: "*PD-L1* positive and *EGFR*, *ALK*, *ROS1* negative or unknown."

[NSCL-24](#)

- Subsequent Therapy; PS 0-2: Atezolizumab added as a treatment option. Reference added: Barlesi F, Park K, Ciardiello F, et al. Primary analysis from OAK, a randomized phase III study comparing atezolizumab with docetaxel in 2L/3L NSCLC [abstract]. ESMO Congress; Copenhagen. ESMO 2016: LBA44. (also applies to NSCL-25)
- Erlotinib removed as a treatment option in subsequent therapy and maintenance therapy.
- Footnote "ww" modified: Pembrolizumab is approved for patients with NSCLC tumors with PD-L1 expression *levels* $\geq 1\%$, as determined by an FDA-approved test. ~~for PD-L1 with use of pembrolizumab.~~ (also applies to NSCL-25)
- Footnote "aaa" modified: If not already given, options for PS 0-2 include (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab), ~~erlotinib~~, docetaxel (category 2B), pemetrexed (category 2B), gemcitabine (category 2B), or ramucirumab + docetaxel (category 2B); options for PS 3-4 include best supportive care. Options for further progression are best supportive care or clinical trial.

[NSCL-25](#)

- Footnote "bbb" modified: If not already given, options for PS 0-2 include (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab), docetaxel (category 2B), gemcitabine (category 2B), or ramucirumab + docetaxel (category 2B); options for PS 3-4 include best supportive care. Options for further progression are best supportive care or clinical trial.

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[PREV-1](#)

- Link added to the NCCN Guidelines for Smoking Cessation.

[DIAG-2](#) and [DIAG-3](#)

- These pages were revised and adapted from the Fleischner Society Guidelines.

[NSCL-2](#)

- Stage IA: "Consider" added to "pathologic mediastinal lymph node evaluation."
- Stage IB; Brain MRI: category 2B removed and "optional" listed.
- Medically inoperable; N0: Consider adjuvant chemotherapy for high-risk stages IB-IIIa clarified as stages IB-IIB.
- Footnote "j" modified with addition of first sentence: PET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. (also applies to NSCL-4, NSCL-7, NSCL-9, NSCL-11 through NSCL-13)
- Footnote "p" modified: Examples of high-risk factors may include poorly differentiated tumors (including lung neuroendocrine tumors [excluding well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors]), vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4 cm, visceral pleural involvement, and ~~incomplete lymph node sampling~~ *unknown lymph node status* (Nx). These factors independently may not be an indication and may be considered when determining treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy. (also applies to NSCL-3)

[NSCL-5](#)

- Surgical reevaluation clarified: including chest CT with or without contrast \pm PET/CT.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[NSCL-8](#)

- T1-3, N0-1: Surgery removed, as this is already noted in the Initial Treatment column.
 - Adjuvant treatment linked back to NSCL-3 for N0-1 and N2.
- T1-2,T3 (other than invasive), N2 nodes positive and T3 (invasion), N2 nodes positive changed to include M0.
 - Brain MRI and FDG PET/CT removed, as they are already noted on previous page.
 - Metastatic disease removed, as this is already noted on previous page.
- Footnote "w" is new to the page: "Chest CT with contrast and/or PET/CT to evaluate progression."

[NSCL-10](#)

- Definitive local therapy not possible: "Consider" removed from "palliative chemotherapy ± local palliative therapy" and "Observe" added.
- Footnote "aa" modified: "Lung-sparing resection is preferred, but tumor distribution and institutional expertise should guide individual treatment planning. *Patients should be evaluated in a multidisciplinary setting (ie, surgery, radiation oncology, medical oncology).*"

[NSCL-13](#)

- Significant revisions for the management of limited metastases. Now covers pages NSCL-13 and NSCL-14.

[NSCL-15](#)

- Surveillance title modified: "SURVEILLANCE AFTER COMPLETION OF DEFINITIVE THERAPY"
- Recommendations differentiated based on primary therapy.
 - *Stage I-II (primary treatment included surgery ± chemotherapy)*
H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 6 mo for 2–3 y, then H&P and a low-dose non-contrast-enhanced chest CT annually
 - *Stage I-II (primary treatment included RT) or Stage III or Stage IV (oligometastatic with all sites treated with definitive intent)*
H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 3–6 mo for 3 y, then H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 6 mo for 2 y, then H&P and a low-dose non-contrast-enhanced chest CT annually
 - ◇ *Residual or new radiographic abnormalities may require more frequent imaging"*
- Recommendations for stage IV not included.
- "PET/CT or brain MRI is not *routinely* indicated"

[NSCL-16](#)

- Locoregional recurrence post therapy: The following imaging was added to evaluate for disseminated disease: "Chest CT with contrast; Brain MRI with contrast; PET/CT."
- Distant metastasis; Bone metastasis; Recommendations reordered: "If risk of fracture, orthopedic stabilization + palliative external-beam RT."

[NSCL-17](#)

- Testing added for ROS1 and PD-L1.
- Squamous cell carcinoma: "Consider EGFR mutation testing and ALK testing ~~especially~~ in never smokers or small biopsy specimens, or mixed histology."
- Footnote "ff" added: "If repeat biopsy is not feasible, plasma biopsy should be considered."
- Footnote "gg" modified: "The NCCN NSCLC Guidelines Panel strongly ~~endorses~~ *advises* broader molecular profiling..."
- Footnote "kk" added: "PD-L1 expression levels of ≥50% are a positive test for first-line pembrolizumab therapy."
- Footnote removed since the content was added to the algorithm: Consider ROS1 testing; if positive, may treat with crizotinib.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[NSCL-18](#)

- EGFR mutation discovered during first-line chemotherapy: "Interrupt or complete planned chemotherapy, followed by..." changed to "Complete planned chemotherapy, including maintenance therapy, or interrupt, followed by..." (also applies to NSCL-20)

[NSCL-19](#)

- "T790M testing" added with footnote "nn": "If tissue biopsy is not feasible, plasma biopsy should be considered."
- Asymptomatic: "Consider local therapy" added as a treatment option.
- Brain: "Osimertinib" added as a treatment option.
- Asymptomatic, brain lesions, or symptomatic and isolated systemic lesions: progression directed to treatment for multiple lesions.
- Systemic isolated or multiple lesions:
 - ▶ T790M+ added with treatment recommendation of osimertinib.
 - ▶ T790M- added with referral to first-line therapy options for adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or PD-L1 expression positive (≥50%).
- Footnote "pp" modified: "Osimertinib is ~~approved~~ an option for patients..."
- Footnote "qq" added: "For rapid radiologic progression or threatened organ function, alternate therapy should be instituted."

[NSCL-21](#)

- Asymptomatic: "Consider local therapy" added as an option.
- Brain and systemic isolated lesions: "continue ALK inhibitor" clarified as "continue crizotinib."
- Symptomatic systemic progression after local therapies and/or switching to ceritinib or alectinib changed to "progression."

[NSCL-22](#)

- New page added for ROS1 rearrangement positive. Crizotinib is noted as a category 2A recommendation.

[NSCL-23](#)

- New page added for PD-L1 expression positive. Pembrolizumab is noted as a category 1 recommendation.

[NSCL-24](#)

- First-line therapy: Doublet chemotherapy and bevacizumab + chemotherapy changed to "Systemic therapy," as specific recommendations are noted on NSCL-F. Associated footnotes moved to NSCL-F.
- Subsequent Therapy; PS 3-4: Erlotinib, afatinib, gefitinib, crizotinib removed as treatment options. Associated footnotes removed.
- Footnote "v" added: "If pembrolizumab not previously given." (also applies to NSCL-25)
- Footnote "xx" added: "If not previously given" (also applies to NSCL-25)



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[NSCL-A \(1 of 5\)](#)

- Pathologic Evaluation, bullet 3 modified: "The pathology diagnostic report should include the histologic classification *in resection specimens or small biopsies* as described by the WHO for carcinomas of the lung. ~~The recently published classification of adenocarcinoma should be used for this tumor subtype in resection specimens and small biopsies.~~"
- Pathologic Evaluation, bullet 6 modified: "Limited use of IHC studies in small tissue samples is strongly recommended *in samples that cannot be reliably classified on the basis of routine histology alone*, thereby preserving critical tumor tissue for molecular studies, particularly in patients with advanced-stage disease. A limited panel of one squamous cell carcinoma marker (eg, p63, p40) and one adenocarcinoma marker (eg, TTF-1, napsin A) should suffice for most diagnostic problems."

[NSCL-A \(3 of 5\)](#)

- ALK; bullet 1: "alectinib" added to third sentence.
- ALK; bullet 2: "translocations" changed to "rearrangements".
- ALK; bullet 3 modified: The current standard method for detecting ALK NSCLC is fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH); ~~although other methods are currently being evaluated, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and IHC.~~

[NSCL-A \(4 of 5\)](#)

- New sections were added for ROS-1 and PD-L1.

[NSCL-A \(5 of 5\)](#)

- The following references were updated: 6, 7. The following references added: 33–38.

[NSCL-B 1 of 4](#)

- Bullet 6 added: Patients who are active smokers should be provided counseling and smoking cessation support (NCCN Guidelines for Smoking Cessation). While active smokers have a mildly increased incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications, these should not be considered a prohibitive risk for surgery. Surgeons should not deny surgery to patients solely due to smoking status, as surgery provides the predominant opportunity for prolonged survival in patients with early-stage lung cancer."

[NSCL-C \(1 of 10\)](#)

- General Principles; bullet 5 modified: "Useful references include the ~~ACR-ASTRO Practice Guidelines for Radiation Oncology Practice Parameters and Technical Standards...~~"
- General Principles; bullet 4 modified with addition of sentence: In a prospective trial of definitive chemo/RT for stage III NSCLC (RTOG 0617), IMRT was associated with a nearly 60% decrease in high-grade radiation pneumonitis and similar survival and tumor control outcomes despite a higher proportion of stage IIIB and larger treatment volumes compared to 3D-CRT; as such, IMRT is preferred over 3D-CRT in this setting.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[NSCL-C \(3 of 10\)](#)

- Node-Negative Early-Stage SABR; bullet 2 modified: "In the United States, only regimens of ≤5 fractions meet the arbitrary billing code definition of SBRT, but slightly more protracted regimens are appropriate as well. ~~For centrally located tumors (defined as within 2 cm of the proximal bronchial tree), 4 to 10 fraction risk-adapted SABR regimens appear to be effective and safe, while 54 to 60 Gy in 3 fractions is unsafe and should be avoided. The dose for 5-fraction regimens is being studied prospectively in RTOG 0813. For centrally located tumors (defined variably as within 2 cm of the proximal bronchial tree and/or abutting mediastinal pleura) and even ultra-central tumors (defined as abutting the proximal bronchial tree), 4 to 10 fraction risk-adapted SABR regimens appear to be effective and safe, while 54 to 60 Gy in 3 fractions is unsafe and should be avoided. The maximum tolerated dose for 5-fraction regimens was studied prospectively in RTOG 0813, preliminarily demonstrating no high-grade toxicities at 50 Gy in 5 fractions while final results are pending.~~"

[NSCL-C \(4 of 10\)](#)

- Locally Advanced Stage/Conventionally Fractionated RT; bullet 2 modified: "Dose escalation in RT alone, sequential chemo/RT, or concurrent chemo/RT is associated with better survival in non-randomized comparisons. ~~While doses of up to 74 Gy with concurrent chemotherapy can be delivered safely when normal tissue dose constraints are respected, results from RTOG 0617, comparing 60 versus 74 Gy with concurrent chemotherapy, found that 74 Gy does not improve overall survival, and might be potentially harmful. While optimal RT dose intensification remains a valid question, higher doses of 74 Gy are not currently recommended for routine use.~~"
- Advanced Stage/Palliative RT; last sentence modified: "When higher doses (>30 Gy) are warranted, *technologies to reduce normal tissue irradiation (at least 3D-CRT and including IMRT or proton therapy as appropriate) should be used.*"

[NSCL-C \(7 of 10\)](#)

- "Please note - Tables 2–5 provide doses and constraints used commonly or in past clinical trials as useful references rather than specific recommendations." (also applies to NSCL-C 8 of 10)

[NSCL-C \(8 of 10\)](#)

- Table 5: Footnote "*" added: "RTOG 0617 data suggest that even lower radiation doses to the heart than previously appreciated may be detrimental to survival after thoracic RT, and more stringent constraints may be appropriate."

[NSCL-C \(9 of 10\)](#)

- The following reference was added: 5, 17.

[NSCL-C \(10 of 10\)](#)

- The following references were added: 52, 53, 55, 89.

[NSCL-E](#)

- Concurrent Chemotherapy/RT Regimens
 - ▶ Bullet 4 modified: "Cisplatin 75 mg/m² on day 1, pemetrexed 500 mg/m² on day 1 every 21 days for 3 cycles; concurrent thoracic RT (nonsquamous) ± *additional 4 cycles of pemetrexed 500 mg/m²*"
 - ▶ Bullet 5 modified: "Paclitaxel 45–50 mg/m² weekly; carboplatin AUC 2, concurrent thoracic RT ± *additional 2 cycles of paclitaxel 200 mg/m² and carboplatin AUC 6*"
- "Concurrent Chemotherapy/RT Followed by Chemotherapy" removed.
 - ▶ Cisplatin/etoposide with concurrent RT followed by cisplatin/etoposide removed.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Updates

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Updates in Version 1.2017 of the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer from Version 4.2016 include:

[NSCL-F \(1 of 4\)](#)

- **First-line therapy; bullet 4 modified:** "Response assessment after 4-2 cycles, then every 2–4 cycles *with CT of known sites with or without contrast or when clinically indicated.*"
- **Subsequent therapy; bullets removed for the following agents:** nivolumab, pembrolizumab, docetaxel, pemetrexed, ramucirumab + docetaxel, erlotinib. This information is included in detail in the discussion.
- **Subsequent therapy; bullet added:** "Response assessment with CT of known sites with or without contrast every 6–12 weeks."

[NSCL-F \(2 of 4\)](#)

- **First-line Systemic Therapy Options; Adenocarcinoma, Large cell, NSCLC NOS (PS 0-1);** the following regimens removed: carboplatin/vinorelbine, cisplatin/vinorelbine.
- **First-line Systemic Therapy Options; Adenocarcinoma, Large cell, NSCLC NOS (PS 2);** the following regimens removed: carboplatin/vinorelbine, etoposide, irinotecan, vinorelbine.

[NSCL-F \(3 of 4\)](#)

- **First-line Systemic Therapy Options; Squamous cell carcinoma (PS 0-1);** the following regimens removed: carboplatin/etoposide, carboplatin/vinorelbine, cisplatin/gemcitabine/necitumumab, cisplatin/vinorelbine.
- **First-line Systemic Therapy Options; Squamous cell carcinoma (PS 2);** the following regimens removed: carboplatin/vinorelbine, cisplatin/gemcitabine/necitumumab, etoposide, irinotecan, vinorelbine.
- **Footnote added:** "Cisplatin/gemcitabine/necitumumab in the first-line setting and erlotinib or afatinib in the second-line setting are not used at NCCN institutions for these indications related to the efficacy and safety of these agents compared to the efficacy and safety of other available agents."

[NSCL-H](#)

- **Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations**
 - ▶ **RET rearrangements:** vandetanib added as an option.
 - ▶ **ROS1 rearrangements** were removed, as this information has been added to the algorithm.
 - ▶ **Footnotes references updated:** 3, 4. **Footnote references added:** 9, 12.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

LUNG CANCER PREVENTION AND SCREENING

- Lung cancer is a unique disease in that the major etiologic agent is an addictive product that is made and promoted by an industry. Approximately 85% to 90% of cases are caused by voluntary or involuntary (second-hand) cigarette smoking. Reduction of lung cancer mortality will require effective public health policies to prevent initiation of smoking, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) oversight of tobacco products, and other tobacco control measures.
- Persistent smoking is associated with second primary cancers, treatment complications, drug interactions, other tobacco-related medical conditions, diminished quality of life, and reduced survival.
- Reports from the Surgeon General on both active smoking (http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2004/pdfs/executivesummary.pdf) and second-hand smoke show that both cause lung cancer. The evidence shows a 20% to 30% increase in the risk for lung cancer from second-hand smoke exposure associated with living with a smoker (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK44324/>). Every person should be informed of the health consequences, addictive nature, and mortal threat posed by tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke, and effective legislative, executive, administrative, or other measures should be contemplated at the appropriate governmental level to protect all persons from exposure to tobacco smoke (www.who.int/tobacco/framework/final_text/en/).
- Further complicating this problem, the delivery system of lung carcinogens also contains the highly addictive substance, nicotine. Reduction of lung cancer mortality will require widespread implementation of Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Guidelines (<http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/guidelines-recommendations/tobacco/index.html>) to identify, counsel, and treat patients with nicotine habituation.
- Patients who are current or former smokers have significant risk for the development of lung cancer; chemoprevention agents are not yet established for these patients. When possible, these patients should be encouraged to enroll in chemoprevention trials.
- Lung cancer screening using low-dose CT (LDCT) is recommended in select high-risk smokers and former smokers (see the [NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening](#)).
- See the [NCCN Guidelines for Smoking Cessation](#).


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



CLINICAL PRESENTATION

RISK ASSESSMENT^b



^aMultidisciplinary evaluation including thoracic surgeons, thoracic radiologists, and pulmonologists to determine the likelihood of a cancer diagnosis and the optimal diagnostic or follow-up strategy.

^bRisk calculators can be used to quantify individual patient and radiologic factors but do not replace evaluation by a multidisciplinary diagnostic team with substantial experience in the diagnosis of lung cancer.

^c[See Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation \(DIAG-A 1 of 2\).](#)

^dThe most important radiologic factor is change or stability compared with a previous imaging study.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

- Lung nodules in asymptomatic, high-risk patients detected during lung cancer screening with LDCT, see the [NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening](#).
- For incidentally detected lung nodules, see below.



^cSee Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation (DIAG-A 1 of 2).

^dThe most important radiologic factor is change or stability compared with a previous imaging study.

^eLow risk = minimal or absent history of smoking or other known risk factors.

^fHigh risk = history of smoking or other known risk factors. Known risk factors include history of lung cancer in a first-degree relative; exposure to asbestos, radon, or uranium.

^gNon-solid, partially solid, or ground-glass nodules may require longer follow-up to exclude indolent adenocarcinoma.

^hAdapted from Fleischner Society Guidelines: MacMahon H, Austin JH, Gamsu G, et al. Guidelines for management of small pulmonary nodules detected on CT scans: a statement from the Fleischner Society. *Radiology* 2005;237:395-400. © Radiological Society of North America. Fleischner Society Guidelines do not direct whether or not contrast is necessary or if an LDCT is appropriate. LDCT is preferred unless there is a reason for contrast enhancement for better diagnostic resolution.

ⁱPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. A positive PET result is defined as a standardized uptake value (SUV) in the lung nodule greater than the baseline mediastinal blood pool. A positive PET scan finding can be caused by infection or inflammation, including absence of lung cancer with localized infection, presence of lung cancer with associated (eg, postobstructive) infection, and presence of lung cancer with related inflammation (eg, nodal, parenchymal, pleural). A false-negative PET scan can be caused by a small nodule, low cellular density (nonsolid nodule or ground-glass opacity [GGO]), or low tumor avidity for FDG (eg, adenocarcinoma in situ [previously known as bronchoalveolar carcinoma], carcinoid tumor).

^jPatients with a suspicion of lung cancer after PET/CT require histologic confirmation before any nonsurgical therapy. When a biopsy is not possible, a multidisciplinary evaluation should be done including radiation oncology, surgery, and interventional pulmonology.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.


Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

- Lung nodules in asymptomatic, high-risk patients detected during lung cancer screening with LDCT, see the [NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening](#).
- For incidentally detected lung nodules, see below.



^cSee Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation (DIAG-A 1 of 2).

^dThe most important radiologic factor is change or stability compared with a previous imaging study.

^gNon-solid, partially solid, or ground-glass nodules may require longer follow-up to exclude indolent adenocarcinoma.

^kNaidich DP, Bankier AA, MacMahon H, et al. Recommendations for the management of subsolid pulmonary nodules detected on CT: A statement from the Fleischner Society.

Radiology 2013;266:304-317. Guidelines do not direct whether or not contrast is necessary or if an LDCT is appropriate. LDCT is preferred unless there is a reason for contrast enhancement for better diagnostic resolution.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION**

- **Patients with a strong clinical suspicion of stage I or II lung cancer (based on risk factors and radiologic appearance) do not require a biopsy before surgery.**
 - ▶ **A biopsy adds time, costs, and procedural risk and may not be needed for treatment decisions.**
 - ▶ **A preoperative biopsy may be appropriate if a non-lung cancer diagnosis is strongly suspected that can be diagnosed by core biopsy or fine-needle aspiration (FNA).**
 - ▶ **A preoperative biopsy may be appropriate if an intraoperative diagnosis appears difficult or very risky.**
 - ▶ **If a preoperative tissue diagnosis has not been obtained, then an intraoperative diagnosis (ie, wedge resection, needle biopsy) is necessary before lobectomy, bilobectomy, or pneumonectomy.**
- **Bronchoscopy should preferably be performed during the planned surgical resection, rather than as a separate procedure.**
 - ▶ **Bronchoscopy is required before surgical resection ([see NSCL-2](#)).**
 - ▶ **A separate bronchoscopy may not be needed for treatment decisions before the time of surgery and adds time, costs, and procedural risk.**
 - ▶ **A preoperative bronchoscopy may be appropriate if a central tumor requires pre-resection evaluation for biopsy, surgical planning (eg, potential sleeve resection), or preoperative airway preparation (eg, coring out an obstructive lesion).**
- **Invasive mediastinal staging is recommended before surgical resection for most patients with clinical stage I or II lung cancer ([see NSCL-2](#)).**
 - ▶ **Patients should preferably undergo invasive mediastinal staging as the initial step before the planned resection (during the same anesthetic procedure), rather than as a separate procedure.**
 - ▶ **A separate staging procedure adds time, costs, coordination of care, inconvenience, and an additional anesthetic risk.**
 - ▶ **Preoperative invasive mediastinal staging may be appropriate for a strong clinical suspicion of N2 or N3 nodal disease or when intraoperative cytology or frozen section analysis is not available.**
- **In patients with suspected non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), many techniques are available for tissue diagnosis.**
 - ▶ **Diagnostic tools that should be routinely available include:**
 - ◇ **Sputum cytology**
 - ◇ **Bronchoscopy with biopsy and transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA)**
 - ◇ **Image-guided transthoracic needle core biopsy (preferred) or FNA**
 - ◇ **Thoracentesis**
 - ◇ **Mediastinoscopy**
 - ◇ **Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) and open surgical biopsy**
 - ▶ **Diagnostic tools that provide important additional strategies for biopsy include:**
 - ◇ **Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)–guided biopsy**
 - ◇ **Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)–guided biopsy**
 - ◇ **Navigational bronchoscopy**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

PRINCIPLES OF DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION

- **The preferred diagnostic strategy for an individual patient depends on the size and location of the tumor, the presence of mediastinal or distant disease, patient characteristics (such as pulmonary pathology and/or other significant comorbidities), and local experience and expertise.**
 - ▶ **Factors to be considered in choosing the optimal diagnostic step include:**
 - ◊ **Anticipated diagnostic yield (sensitivity)**
 - ◊ **Diagnostic accuracy including specificity and particularly the reliability of a negative diagnostic study (ie, true negative)**
 - ◊ **Adequate volume of tissue specimen for diagnosis and molecular testing**
 - ◊ **Invasiveness and risk of procedure**
 - ◊ **Efficiency of evaluation**
 - **Access and timeliness of procedure**
 - **Concomitant staging is beneficial, because it avoids additional biopsies or procedures. It is preferable to biopsy the pathology that would confer the highest stage (ie, to biopsy a suspected metastasis or mediastinal lymph node rather than the pulmonary lesion). Therefore, PET imaging is frequently best performed before a diagnostic biopsy site is chosen in cases of high clinical suspicion for aggressive, advanced-stage tumors.**
 - ◊ **Technologies and expertise available**
 - ◊ **Tumor viability at proposed biopsy site from PET imaging.**
 - ▶ **Decisions about the optimal diagnostic steps for suspected stage I to III lung cancer should be made by thoracic radiologists, interventional radiologists, and board-certified thoracic surgeons who devote a significant portion of their practice to thoracic oncology. Multidisciplinary evaluation should also include a pulmonologist or thoracic surgeon with expertise in advanced bronchoscopic techniques for diagnosis.**
 - ▶ **The least invasive biopsy with the highest yield is preferred as the first diagnostic study.**
 - ◊ **Patients with central masses and suspected endobronchial involvement should undergo bronchoscopy.**
 - ◊ **Patients with peripheral (outer one-third) nodules may benefit from navigational bronchoscopy, radial EBUS, or transthoracic needle aspiration (TTNA).**
 - ◊ **Patients with suspected nodal disease should be biopsied by EBUS, EUS, navigational bronchoscopy, or mediastinoscopy.**
 - **EBUS provides access to nodal stations 2R/2L, 4R/4L, 7, 10R/10L, and other hilar nodal stations if necessary.**
 - **EUS–guided biopsy provides additional access to stations 5, 7, 8, and 9 lymph nodes if these are clinically suspicious.**
 - **TTNA and anterior mediastinotomy (ie, Chamberlain procedure) provide additional access to anterior mediastinal (station 5 and 6) lymph nodes if these are clinically suspicious.**
 - ◊ **EUS also provides reliable access to the left adrenal gland.**
 - ◊ **Lung cancer patients with an associated pleural effusion should undergo thoracentesis and cytology. A negative cytology result on initial thoracentesis does not exclude pleural involvement. An additional thoracentesis and/or thoracoscopic evaluation of the pleura should be considered before starting curative intent therapy.**
 - ◊ **Patients suspected of having a solitary site of metastatic disease should have tissue confirmation of that site if feasible.**
 - ◊ **Patients suspected of having metastatic disease should have confirmation from one of the metastatic sites if feasible.**
 - ◊ **Patients who may have multiple sites of metastatic disease—based on a strong clinical suspicion—should have biopsy of the primary lung lesion or mediastinal lymph nodes if it is technically difficult or very risky to biopsy a metastatic site.**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer


PATHOLOGIC DIAGNOSIS OF NSCLC

INITIAL EVALUATION

CLINICAL STAGE

NSCLC →

- Pathology review^a
 - H&P (include performance status + weight loss)^b
 - CT chest and upper abdomen with contrast, including adrenals
 - CBC, platelets
 - Chemistry profile
 - Smoking cessation advice, counseling, and pharmacotherapy
 - Integrate palliative care^c (See [NCCN Guidelines for Palliative Care](#))
- ▶ Use the 5 A's Framework: Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange
<http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tobacco/5steps.htm>



^aSee [Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\)](#).

^bEnhanced frailty or geriatric assessments may predict complications better following treatment modalities, particularly surgery. A preferred frailty assessment system has not been established.

^cTemel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, et al. Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:733-742.

^dBased on the CT of the chest: Peripheral = outer third of lung; Central = inner two thirds of lung.

^eT3, N0 related to size or satellite nodules.

^fFor patients considered to have stage IIB and stage III tumors, where more than one treatment modality (surgery, radiation therapy, or chemotherapy) is usually considered, a multidisciplinary evaluation should be performed.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer



^eT3, N0 related to size or satellite nodules.

^gTesting is not listed in order of priority and is dependent on clinical circumstances, institutional processes, and judicious use of resources.

^hMethods for evaluation include mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy, EBUS, EUS, and CT-guided biopsy.

ⁱSolid tumors <1 cm and purely non-solid tumors <3 cm that are CT and PET negative have a low likelihood of positive mediastinal lymph nodes and pre-resection pathologic mediastinal evaluation is optional.

^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

^k[See Principles of Surgical Therapy \(NSCL-B\).](#)

^l[See Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\).](#)

^mInterventional radiology ablation is an option for selected patients.

ⁿAfter surgical evaluation, patients likely to receive adjuvant chemotherapy may be treated with induction chemotherapy as an alternative.

^o[See Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy \(NSCL-D\).](#)

^pExamples of high-risk factors may include poorly differentiated tumors (including lung neuroendocrine tumors [excluding well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors]), vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4 cm, visceral pleural involvement, and unknown lymph node status (Nx). These factors independently may not be an indication and may be considered when determining treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy.

^q[See Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\).](#)


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer



^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

^oSee Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy (NSCL-D).

^pExamples of high-risk factors may include poorly differentiated tumors (including lung neuroendocrine tumors [excluding well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors]), vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4 cm, visceral pleural involvement, and unknown lymph node status (Nx). These factors independently may not be an indication and may be considered when determining treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy.

^qSee Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy (NSCL-E).

^rR0 = no residual tumor, R1 = microscopic residual tumor, R2 = macroscopic residual tumor.

^sIncreasing size is an important variable when evaluating the need for adjuvant chemotherapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

PRETREATMENT EVALUATION

CLINICAL EVALUATION

Stage IIB (T3 invasion, N0)
Stage IIIA (T4 extension,
N0-1; T3, N1)

- PFTs (if not previously done)
- Bronchoscopy
- Pathologic mediastinal lymph node evaluation^h
- Brain MRI with contrast
- MRI with contrast of spine + thoracic inlet for superior sulcus lesions abutting the spine or subclavian vessels
- FDG PET/CT scan^j (if not previously done)

Superior sulcus tumor → [See Treatment \(NSCL-5\)](#)

Chest wall → [See Treatment \(NSCL-6\)](#)

Proximal airway or mediastinum → [See Treatment \(NSCL-6\)](#)

Unresectable disease → [See Treatment \(NSCL-6\)](#)

Metastatic disease → [See Treatment for Metastasis limited sites \(NSCL-13\) or distant disease \(NSCL-16\)](#)

^hMethods for evaluation include mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy, EBUS, EUS, and CT-guided biopsy.


^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer



^kSee Principles of Surgical Therapy (NSCL-B).

^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

^oSee Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy (NSCL-D).

^qSee Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy (NSCL-E).

^tRT should continue to definitive dose without interruption if patient is not a surgical candidate.

^uIf full-dose chemotherapy is not given concurrently with RT as initial treatment, give additional 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

INITIAL TREATMENT

ADJUVANT TREATMENT



^kSee Principles of Surgical Therapy (NSCL-B).

^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

^oSee Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy (NSCL-D).

^qSee Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy (NSCL-E).

^rR0 = no residual tumor, R1 = microscopic residual tumor, R2 = macroscopic residual tumor.

^tRT should continue to definitive dose without interruption if patient is not a surgical candidate.

^uIf full-dose chemotherapy is not given concurrently with RT as initial treatment, give additional 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy.

^vConsider RT boost if chemoradiation is given as initial treatment.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer



^hMethods for evaluation include mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy, EBUS, EUS, and CT-guided biopsy.

^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.


Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

MEDIASTINAL BIOPSY FINDINGS



^kSee Principles of Surgical Therapy (NSCL-B).

^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

ⁿAfter surgical evaluation, patients likely to receive adjuvant chemotherapy may be treated with induction chemotherapy as an alternative.

^oSee Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy (NSCL-D).


^qSee Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy (NSCL-E).

^wChest CT with contrast and/or PET/CT to evaluate progression.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Separate pulmonary nodule(s), same lobe (T3, N0-1), or ipsilateral non-primary lobe (T4, N0-1)




ADJUVANT TREATMENT

Stage IV (N0, M1a): Contralateral lung (solitary nodule)

Treat as two primary lung tumors if both curable → [See Evaluation \(NSCL-1\)](#)

Suspected multiple lung cancers (based on the presence of biopsy-proven synchronous lesions or history of lung cancer)^{x,y}



^hMethods for evaluation include mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy, EBUS, EUS, and CT-guided biopsy.

^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

^k[See Principles of Surgical Therapy \(NSCL-B\)](#).

^l[See Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\)](#).

^o[See Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy \(NSCL-D\)](#).

^q[See Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\)](#).

^rR0 = no residual tumor, R1 = microscopic residual tumor, R2 = macroscopic residual tumor.

^xLesions with different cell types (eg, squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma) may be different primary tumors. This analysis may be limited by small biopsy samples. However, lesions of the same cell type are not necessarily metastases.

^yFor guidance regarding the evaluation, workup, and management of subsolid pulmonary nodules, please see the diagnostic evaluation of a nodule suspicious for lung cancer ([DIAG-1](#)).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

INITIAL TREATMENT



^kSee Principles of Surgical Therapy (NSCL-B).

^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

^zLesions at low risk of becoming symptomatic can be observed (eg, small subsolid nodules with slow growth). However, if the lesion(s) becomes symptomatic or becomes high risk for producing symptoms (eg, subsolid nodules with accelerating growth or increasing solid component or increasing FDG uptake, even while small), treatment should be considered.

^{aa}Lung-sparing resection is preferred, but tumor distribution and institutional expertise should guide individual treatment planning. Patients should be evaluated in a multidisciplinary setting (ie, surgery, radiation oncology, medical oncology).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

PRETREATMENT EVALUATION

INITIAL TREATMENT



^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

^lSee [Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\)](#).

^qSee [Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\)](#).

^uIf full-dose chemotherapy is not given concurrently with RT as initial treatment, give additional 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

PRETREATMENT EVALUATION

INITIAL TREATMENT



^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.

^lSee [Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\)](#).

^qSee [Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\)](#).

^uIf full-dose chemotherapy is not given concurrently with RT as initial treatment, give additional 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy.

^{bb}While most pleural effusions associated with lung cancer are due to tumor, there are a few patients in whom multiple cytopathologic examinations of pleural fluid are negative for tumor and fluid is non-bloody and not an exudate. When these elements and clinical judgment dictate that the effusion is not related to the tumor, the effusion should be excluded as a staging element. Pericardial effusion is classified using the same criteria.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

PRETREATMENT EVALUATION

INITIAL TREATMENT^{cc}




^jPET/CT performed skull base to knees or whole body. Positive PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation.
^{cc}[See NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers.](#)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



TREATMENT OF THORACIC DISEASE



^hMethods for evaluation include mediastinoscopy, mediastinotomy, EBUS, EUS, and CT-guided biopsy.

^kSee [Principles of Surgical Therapy \(NSCL-B\)](#).

^lSee [Principles of Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-C\)](#).

^qSee [Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy \(NSCL-E\)](#).

^{dd}Typically, RT (including SABR) or surgical resection.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.


Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



SURVEILLANCE AFTER COMPLETION OF DEFINITIVE THERAPY

No evidence of clinical/radiographic disease

- Stage I–II (primary treatment included surgery ± chemotherapy)
 - H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 6 mo for 2–3 y, then H&P and a low-dose non-contrast-enhanced chest CT annually
- Stage I–II (primary treatment included RT) or Stage III or Stage IV (oligometastatic with all sites treated with definitive intent)
 - H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 3–6 mo for 3 y, then H&P and chest CT ± contrast every 6 mo for 2 y, then H&P and a low-dose non-contrast-enhanced chest CT annually
 - ◊ Residual or new radiographic abnormalities may require more frequent imaging
- Smoking cessation advice, counseling, and pharmacotherapy
- PET/CT^{ee} or brain MRI is not routinely indicated
- [See Cancer Survivorship Care \(NSCL-G\)](#)



^{ee}FDG PET/CT is currently not warranted in the routine surveillance and follow-up of patients with NSCLC. However, many benign conditions (such as atelectasis, consolidation, and radiation fibrosis) are difficult to differentiate from neoplasm on standard CT imaging, and FDG PET/CT can be used to differentiate true malignancy in these settings. However, if FDG PET/CT is to be used as a problem-solving tool in patients after radiation therapy, histopathologic confirmation of recurrent disease is needed because areas previously treated with radiation therapy can remain FDG avid for up to 2 years.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

THERAPY FOR RECURRENCE AND METASTASIS



^kSee Principles of Surgical Therapy (NSCL-B).

^lSee Principles of Radiation Therapy (NSCL-C).

^mInterventional radiology ablation is an option for selected patients.

^qSee Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy (NSCL-E).

^{cc}See NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer



^aSee [Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\)](#).

^cTemel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, et al. Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:733-742.

^{ff}If repeat biopsy is not feasible, plasma biopsy should be considered.

^{gg}The NCCN NSCLC Guidelines Panel strongly advises broader molecular profiling with the goal of identifying rare driver mutations for which effective drugs may already be available, or to appropriately counsel patients regarding the availability of clinical trials. Broad molecular profiling is a key component of the improvement of care of patients with NSCLC. [See Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients With Genetic Alterations \(NSCL-H\)](#).

^{hh}In patients with squamous cell carcinoma, the observed incidence of EGFR mutations is 2.7% with a confidence that the true incidence of mutations is less than 3.6%. This frequency of EGFR mutations does not justify routine testing of all tumor specimens. Forbes SA, Bharna G, Bamford S, et al. The catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer (COSMIS). *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2008;chapter 10:unit 10.11.

ⁱⁱPaik PK, Varghese AM, Sima CS, et al. Response to erlotinib in patients with EGFR mutant advanced non-small cell lung cancers with a squamous or squamous-like component. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2012;11:2535-2540.

^{jj}Shaw AT, Ou S-HI, Bang Y-J, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1963-1971.


^{kk}PD-L1 expression levels of ≥50% are a positive test result for first-line pembrolizumab therapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



SENSITIZING EGFR MUTATION POSITIVE^a

FIRST-LINE THERAPY



^aSee [Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\)](#).

^{||}For performance status 0-4.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

SENSITIZING EGFR MUTATION POSITIVE^a



^aSee Principles of Pathologic Review (NSCL-A).

^{mm}Beware of flare phenomenon in subset of patients who discontinue EGFR TKI. If disease flare occurs, restart EGFR TKI.

ⁿⁿIf tissue biopsy is not feasible, plasma biopsy should be considered.

^{oo}Consider pulse erlotinib for carcinomatosis meningitis.

^{pp}Osimertinib is an option for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive tumors, as determined by an FDA-approved test or other validated laboratory-developed test performed in a CLIA-approved laboratory.

^{qq}For rapid radiologic progression or threatened organ function, alternate therapy should be instituted.


^{rr}Afatinib + cetuximab may be considered in patients with disease progression on EGFR TKI therapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



ALK REARRANGEMENT POSITIVE^a




^a[See Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\).](#)

^{||}For performance status 0-4.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



ALK REARRANGEMENT POSITIVE^a



^a[See Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\).](#)

^{qq}For rapid radiologic progression or threatened organ function, alternate therapy should be instituted.

^{SS}Patients who are intolerant to crizotinib may be switched to ceritinib or alectinib.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



ROS1 REARRANGEMENT POSITIVE^a

FIRST-LINE THERAPY

SUBSEQUENT THERAPY

ROS1
rearrangement
positive



See First-line therapy options
[Adenocarcinoma \(NSCL-24\)](#)
[Squamous cell carcinoma \(NSCL-25\)](#)
or
PD-L1 expression positive (≥50%)
[See First-Line Therapy \(NSCL-23\)](#)

^a[See Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\).](#)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



PD-L1 EXPRESSION POSITIVE^a

FIRST-LINE THERAPY

SUBSEQUENT THERAPY



^aSee [Principles of Pathologic Review \(NSCL-A\)](#).

^{tt}Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1–positive non–small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2016; October 9 Epub.


Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

ADENOCARCINOMA, LARGE CELL, NSCLC NOS FIRST-LINE THERAPY



^{uu}See [Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease \(NSCL-F\)](#).

^{vv}If pembrolizumab not previously given.

^{ww}Pembrolizumab is approved for patients with NSCLC tumors with PD-L1 expression levels $\geq 1\%$, as determined by an FDA-approved test.

^{xx}If not previously given.

^{yy}Barlesi F, Park K, Ciardiello F, et al. Primary analysis from OAK, a randomized phase III study comparing atezolizumab with docetaxel in 2L/3L NSCLC [abstract]. ESMO Congress; Copenhagen. ESMO 2016: LBA44.

^{zz}If bevacizumab was used with a first-line pemetrexed/platinum chemotherapy regimen.

^{aaa}If not already given, options for PS 0-2 include (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab), docetaxel (category 2B), pemetrexed (category 2B), gemcitabine (category 2B), or ramucirumab + docetaxel (category 2B); options for PS 3-4 include best supportive care. Options for further progression are best supportive care or clinical trial.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.




NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA

FIRST-LINE THERAPY



^{uu}See [Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease \(NSCL-F\)](#).

^{vv}If pembrolizumab not previously given.

^{ww}Pembrolizumab is approved for patients with NSCLC tumors with PD-L1 expression levels $\geq 1\%$, as determined by an FDA-approved test.

^{xx}If not previously given.

^{yy}Barlesi F, Park K, Ciardiello F, et al. Primary analysis from OAK, a randomized phase III study comparing atezolizumab with docetaxel in 2L/3L NSCLC [abstract]. ESMO Congress; Copenhagen. ESMO 2016: LBA44.

^{bbb}If not already given, options for PS 0-2 include (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab), docetaxel (category 2B), gemcitabine (category 2B), or ramucirumab + docetaxel (category 2B); options for PS 3-4 include best supportive care. Options for further progression are best supportive care or clinical trial.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGIC REVIEW (1 of 5)****Pathologic Evaluation**

- The purpose of pathologic evaluation is to classify the histologic type of lung cancer and to determine all staging parameters as recommended by the AJCC,¹ including tumor size, the extent of invasion (pleural and bronchial), adequacy of surgical margins, and presence or absence of lymph node metastasis.^{2,3} Further, determination of the specific molecular abnormalities of the tumor is critical for predicting sensitivity or resistance to an increasing number of drugable targets, primarily tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (see *Molecular Diagnostic Studies in Lung Cancer* in this section).^{4,5}
- The WHO tumor classification system has historically provided the foundation for the classification of lung tumors, including histologic types, clinical features, staging considerations, and the molecular, genetic, and epidemiologic aspects of lung cancer.^{6,7}
- The pathology diagnostic report should include the histologic classification in resection specimens or small biopsies as described by the WHO for carcinomas of the lung. Use of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (BAC) terminology is strongly discouraged.
- The generic term “non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)” should be avoided as a single diagnostic term. In small biopsies of poorly differentiated carcinomas where immunohistochemistry (IHC) is used, the following terms are acceptable: “NSCLC favor adenocarcinoma” or “NSCLC favor squamous cell carcinoma.”⁸ Mutational testing (eg, epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR]) is strongly recommended in all NSCLC favor adenocarcinomas.
- Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor is acceptable for most molecular analyses.
- Limited use of IHC studies in small tissue samples is strongly recommended in samples that cannot be reliably classified on the basis of routine histology alone, thereby preserving critical tumor tissue for molecular studies, particularly in patients with advanced-stage disease. A limited panel of one squamous cell carcinoma marker (eg, p63, p40) and one adenocarcinoma marker (eg, TTF-1, napsin A) should suffice for most diagnostic problems.⁸

Adenocarcinoma Classification⁸

- Adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS; formerly BAC): ≤3 cm nodule, lepidic growth, mucinous, non-mucinous, or mixed mucinous/non-mucinous types.
- Minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA): ≤3 cm nodule with ≤5 mm of invasion, lepidic growth, mucinous, non-mucinous, or mixed mucinous/non-mucinous types.
- Invasive adenocarcinoma, predominant growth pattern: lepidic >5 mm of invasion, acinar, papillary, micropapillary, or solid with mucin.
- Invasive adenocarcinoma variants: mucinous adenocarcinoma, colloid, fetal, and enteric morphologies.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGIC REVIEW (2 of 5)****Immunohistochemical Staining**

- **Judicious use of IHC is strongly recommended to preserve tissue for molecular testing. IHC should be utilized only after consideration of all data including routine H&E histology, clinical findings, imaging studies, and patient's history.**
- **Although the concordance is generally good between the histologic subtype and the immunophenotype seen in small biopsies compared with surgical resection specimens, caution is advised in attempting to subtype small biopsies with limited material or cases with an ambiguous immunophenotype.**
- **IHC should be used to differentiate primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma from the following: squamous cell carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, metastatic carcinoma, and malignant mesothelioma; to determine whether neuroendocrine differentiation is present.⁹⁻¹¹**
- **Primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma**
 - ▶ **In patients for whom the primary origin of the carcinoma is uncertain, an appropriate panel of immunohistochemical stains is recommended to exclude metastatic carcinoma to the lung.¹²**
 - ▶ **TTF-1 is a homeodomain-containing nuclear transcription protein of the *Nkx2* gene family that is expressed in epithelial cells of the embryonal and mature lung and thyroid. TTF-1 immunoreactivity is seen in primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma in the majority (70%–100%) of non-mucinous adenocarcinoma subtypes.¹³ Metastatic adenocarcinoma to the lung is virtually always negative for TTF-1 except in metastatic thyroid malignancies, in which case thyroglobulin is also positive.**
 - ▶ **Napsin A - an aspartic proteinase expressed in normal type II pneumocytes and in proximal and distal renal tubules - appears to be expressed in >80% of lung adenocarcinomas and may be a useful adjunct to TTF-1.¹²**
 - ▶ **The panel of TTF-1 (or alternatively napsin A) and p63 (or alternatively p40) may be useful in refining the diagnosis to either adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma in small biopsy specimens previously classified as NSCLC NOS.⁸**
- **Neuroendocrine differentiation**
 - ▶ **CD56, chromogranin, and synaptophysin are used to identify neuroendocrine tumors.**
- **Malignant mesothelioma versus pulmonary adenocarcinoma**
 - ▶ **The distinction between pulmonary adenocarcinoma and malignant mesothelioma (epithelial type) can be made by correlation of the histology with the clinical impression, imaging studies, and a limited panel of immunomarkers if needed.¹¹**
 - ◊ **Immunostains relatively sensitive and specific for mesothelioma include WT-1, calretinin, D2-40, HMBE-1, and cytokeratin 5/6 (negative in adenocarcinoma).^{14,15}**
 - ◊ **Antibodies immunoreactive in adenocarcinoma include CEA, B72.3, Ber-EP4, MOC31, CD15, claudin-4, and TTF-1 (negative in mesothelioma).^{8,11}**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGIC REVIEW (3 of 5)****Molecular Diagnostic Studies in Lung Cancer**• **EGFR and KRAS**

- ▶ **EGFR** is normally found on the surface of epithelial cells and is often overexpressed in a variety of human malignancies. Presence of **EGFR**-activating mutations represents a critical biological determinant for proper therapy selection in patients with lung cancer.
- ▶ There is a significant association between **EGFR** mutations—especially exon 19 deletion and exon 21 (L858R, L861), exon 18 (G719X, G719), and exon 20 (S768I) mutations—and sensitivity to EGFR TKIs.¹⁶⁻¹⁹
- ▶ The exon 20 insertion mutation may predict resistance to clinically achievable levels of TKIs.^{20,21}
- ▶ Overlapping **EGFR** and **KRAS** mutations occur in <1% of patients with lung cancer.²²
- ▶ **KRAS** mutations are associated with intrinsic EGFR TKI resistance, and **KRAS** gene sequencing could be useful for the selection of patients as candidates for EGFR TKI therapy.²³ **KRAS** testing may identify patients who may not benefit from further molecular diagnostic testing.
- ▶ The prevalence of **EGFR** mutations in adenocarcinomas is 10% of Western and up to 50% of Asian patients, with higher **EGFR** mutation frequency in non-smokers, women, and non-mucinous cancers. **KRAS** mutations are most common in non-Asians, smokers, and in mucinous adenocarcinoma.²⁴ The most common **EGFR** mutations result in an arginine for leucine substitution at amino acid 858 in exon 21 (L858R) and in frame deletions at exon 19. Mutations are more common in non-mucinous lung adenocarcinoma with lepidic pattern (former BAC pattern) and in lung adenocarcinoma with papillary (and or micropapillary) pattern.
- ▶ Primary resistance to EGFR TKI therapy is associated with **KRAS** mutation. Acquired resistance is associated with second-site mutations within the **EGFR** kinase domain (such as T790M), amplification of alternative kinases (such as **MET**), histologic transformation from NSCLC to SCLC, and epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT).

• **ALK**

- ▶ Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (**ALK**) gene rearrangements represent the fusion between **ALK** and various partner genes, including echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (**EML4**).²⁵ **ALK** fusions have been identified in a subset of patients with NSCLC and represent a unique subset of NSCLC patients for whom **ALK** inhibitors may represent a very effective therapeutic strategy.²⁶ Crizotinib, ceritinib, and alectinib are oral **ALK** inhibitors that are approved by the FDA for patients with metastatic NSCLC who have the **ALK** gene rearrangement (ie, **ALK** positive).
- ▶ **ALK** NSCLC occurs most commonly in a unique subgroup of NSCLC patients who share many of the clinical features of NSCLC patients likely to harbor **EGFR** mutations.^{27,28} However, for the most part, **ALK** rearrangements and **EGFR** mutations are mutually exclusive.^{27, 29-31}
- ▶ The current standard method for detecting **ALK** NSCLC is fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). The appropriate antibody and detection method for **ALK** protein expression can be used for rapid prescreening of **ALK**-rearranged lung adenocarcinomas and selection of cases that will subsequently be confirmed by FISH testing.³²

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGIC REVIEW (4 of 5)****Molecular Diagnostic Studies in Lung Cancer.****• ROS-1**

- ▶ Although ROS1 is a distinct receptor tyrosine kinase, ROS1 has a high degree of homology with ALK (approximately 50% within the kinase domain and 75% within the ATP-binding site).³³
- ▶ The majority of patients with ROS1-positive NSCLC respond to the first-generation ALK inhibitor crizotinib; however, certain other ALK inhibitors such as alectinib do not appear to have activity against ROS1-positive NSCLC.³⁴
- ▶ ROS1 rearrangements occur in 1%–2% of patients with NSCLC.³⁴ Similar to testing for ALK rearrangements, testing for ROS1 is also done using FISH.³⁵

• PD-L1

- ▶ Immune checkpoint inhibitors target programmed death receptor 1 (PD-1) or its ligand, programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1).³⁶
- ▶ PD-1 is expressed by T-cells and regulates the activation of T-cells in peripheral tissues. PD-1 has two ligands, PD-L1 (also known as B7-H1 or CD274) and PD-L2 (B7-DC or CD273). These ligands are expressed on a wide range of immune effector cells, antigen-presenting cells, and tumor cells. PD-1 activation by ligand binding with PD-L1 on the tumor cells produces a number of intracellular effects that result in T-cell inactivity and reduced proliferation.
- ▶ The therapeutic focus in NSCLC has been to interrupt the interaction of PD-1 and its ligand PD-L1 between tumor cells and immune effectors cells using monoclonal antibodies against PD-L1 or PD-1.³⁷
- ▶ Anti-PD-L1 IHC may be a biomarker used to select patients with NSCLC more likely to respond to immune checkpoint inhibitors, but the development of a variety of therapeutics, each with a different anti-PD-L1 IHC assay, has raised concerns among both pathologists and oncologists.^{37,38}
- ▶ The definition of a positive PD-L1 test result varies depending on which biomarker assay is used.³⁷ PD-L1 expression levels of ≥50% are a positive test result for first-line pembrolizumab therapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

PRINCIPLES OF PATHOLOGIC REVIEW (5 of 5) - References

- ¹Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, et al. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 7th ed. New York: Springer; 2010.
- ²Fossella FV, Putnam JB, Komaki R, eds. Lung Cancer. M.D. Anderson Cancer Care Series. New York: Springer; 2003:316.
- ³Schrump DS, Carter D, Kelsey CR, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer. In: DeVita Jr. VT, Lawrence TS, Rosenberg SA, et al., eds. DeVita, Hellman, and Rosenberg's Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011.
- ⁴Cappuzzo F, Ligorio C, Toschi L, et al. EGFR and HER2 gene copy number and response to first-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:423-429.
- ⁵Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, et al. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:5900-5909.
- ⁶Travis WD. WHO classification of tumours of the lung, pleura, thymus and heart. Lyon: IARC Press; 2015.
- ⁷Travis WD, Brambilla E, Nicholson AG, et al. The 2015 World Health Organization classification of lung tumours. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:1243-1260.
- ⁸Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. International association for the study of lung cancer/american thoracic society/european respiratory society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:244-285.
- ⁹Rekhtman N, Ang DC, Sima CS, et al. Immunohistochemical algorithm for differentiation of lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma based on large series of whole-tissue sections with validation in small specimens. *Mod Pathol* 2011;24:1348-1359.
- ¹⁰Mukhopadhyay S, Katzenstein AL. Subclassification of non-small cell lung carcinomas lacking morphologic differentiation on biopsy specimens: Utility of an immunohistochemical panel containing TTF-1, napsin A, p63, and CK5/6. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011;35:15-25.
- ¹¹Husain AN, Colby T, Ordonez N, et al. Guidelines for Pathologic Diagnosis of Malignant Mesothelioma: 2012 Update of the Consensus Statement from the International Mesothelioma Interest Group. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2012 Aug 28. [Epub ahead of print]
- ¹²Jagirdar J. Application of immunohistochemistry to the diagnosis of primary and metastatic carcinoma to the lung. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2008;132:384-396.
- ¹³Goldstein NS, Thomas M. Mucinous and nonmucinous bronchioloalveolar adenocarcinomas have distinct staining patterns with thyroid transcription factor and cytokeratin 20 antibodies. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2001;116:319-325.
- ¹⁴Ordonez NG. D2-40 and podoplanin are highly specific and sensitive immunohistochemical markers of epithelioid malignant mesothelioma. *Hum Pathol* 2005;36:372-380.
- ¹⁵Chirieac LR, Pinkus GS, Pinkus JL, et al. The immunohistochemical characterization of sarcomatoid malignant mesothelioma of the pleura. *Am J Cancer Res* 2011;1:14-24.
- ¹⁶Cappuzzo F, Finocchiaro G, Metro G, et al. Clinical experience with gefitinib: an update. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2006;58:31-45.
- ¹⁷Paez JG, Janne PA, Lee JC, et al. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science* 2004;304:1497-1500.
- ¹⁸Sequist LV, Joshi VA, Janne PA, et al. Response to treatment and survival of patients with non-small cell lung cancer undergoing somatic EGFR mutation testing. *Oncologist* 2007;12:90-98.
- ¹⁹Ji H, Li D, Chen L, et al. The impact of human EGFR kinase domain mutations on lung tumorigenesis and in vivo sensitivity to EGFR-targeted therapies. *Cancer Cell* 2006;9:485-495.
- ²⁰Lund-Iverson M, Kleinber L, Fjellbirkeland L, Helland A, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics of 11 NSCLC patients with EGFR-exon 20 mutations. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1471-1413.
- ²¹Yasuda H, Kobayashi S, Costa DB. EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in non-small cell lung cancer: preclinical data and clinical implications. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:e23-31.
- ²²Riely GJ, Politi KA, Miller VA, Pao W. Update on epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:7232-7241.
- ²³Shigematsu H, Gazdar AF. Somatic mutations of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway in lung cancers. *Int J Cancer* 2006;118:257-262.
- ²⁴Finberg KE, Sequist LV, Joshi VA, et al. Mucinous differentiation correlates with absence of EGFR mutation and presence of KRAS mutation in lung adenocarcinomas with bronchioloalveolar features. *J Mol Diagn* 2007;9:320-326.
- ²⁵Cataldo KA, Jalal SM, Law ME, et al. Detection of t(2;5) in anaplastic large cell lymphoma: comparison of immunohistochemical studies, FISH, and RT-PCR in paraffin-embedded tissue. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1999;23:1386-1392.
- ²⁶Kwak EL, Bang YJ, Camidge DR, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1693-1703.
- ²⁷Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Mino-Kenudson M, et al. Clinical features and outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer who harbor EML4-ALK. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4247-4253.
- ²⁸Koivunen JP, Kim J, Lee J, et al. Mutations in the LKB1 tumour suppressor are frequently detected in tumours from Caucasian but not Asian lung cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 2008;99:245-252.
- ²⁹Koivunen JP, Mermel C, Zejnullahu K, et al. EML4-ALK fusion gene and efficacy of an ALK kinase inhibitor in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:4275-4283.
- ³⁰Soda M, Takada S, Takeuchi K, et al. A mouse model for EML4-ALK-positive lung cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008;105:19893-19897.
- ³¹Inamura K, Takeuchi K, Togashi Y, et al. EML4-ALK lung cancers are characterized by rare other mutations, a TTF-1 cell lineage, an acinar histology, and young onset. *Mod Pathol* 2009;22:508-515.
- ³²Wynes MW, Sholl LM, Dietel M, et al. An international interpretation study using the ALK IHC antibody D5F3 and a sensitive detection kit demonstrates high concordance between ALK IHC and ALK FISH and between evaluators. *J Thorac Oncol* 2014;9(5):631-638.
- ³³Robinson DR, Wu YM, Lin SF. The protein tyrosine kinase family of the human genome. *Oncogene* 2000;19:5548-5557.
- ³⁴Shaw AT, Ou SH, Bang YJ, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1963-1971.
- ³⁵Bergethon K, Shaw AT, Ou SH, et al. ROS1 rearrangements define a unique molecular class of lung cancers. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:863-870.
- ³⁶Melosky B, Chu Q, Juergens R, et al. Pointed Progress in Second-Line Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: The Rapidly Evolving Field of Checkpoint Inhibition. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:1676-1688.
- ³⁷Kerr KM, Tsao MS, Nicholson AG, et al. Programmed Death-Ligand 1 Immunohistochemistry in Lung Cancer: In what state is this art? *J Thoracic Oncol* 2015;10:985-989.
- ³⁸Kerr KM, Nicolson MC. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, PD-L1, and the Pathologist. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2016;140:249-254.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF SURGICAL THERAPY (1 of 4)****Evaluation**

- **Determination of resectability, surgical staging, and *pulmonary resection should be performed by board-certified thoracic surgeons who perform lung cancer surgery as a prominent part of their practice.***
- CT and PET used for staging should be within 60 days before proceeding with surgical evaluation.
- Resection is the preferred local treatment modality (other modalities include radiofrequency ablation, cryotherapy, and SABR). Thoracic surgical oncology consultation should be part of the evaluation of any patient being considered for curative local therapy. In cases where SABR is considered for high-risk patients, a multidisciplinary evaluation (including a radiation oncologist) is recommended.
- The overall plan of treatment as well as needed imaging studies should be determined before any non-emergency treatment is initiated.
- Thoracic surgeons should actively participate in multidisciplinary discussions and meetings regarding lung cancer patients (eg, multidisciplinary clinic and/or tumor board).
- Patients who are active smokers should be provided counseling and smoking cessation support ([NCCN Guidelines for Smoking Cessation](#)). While active smokers have a mildly increased incidence of postoperative pulmonary complications, these should not be considered a prohibitive risk for surgery. Surgeons should not deny surgery to patients solely due to smoking status, as surgery provides the predominant opportunity for prolonged survival in patients with early-stage lung cancer.

Resection

- Anatomic pulmonary resection is preferred for the majority of patients with NSCLC.
- Sublobar resection - Segmentectomy and wedge resection should achieve parenchymal resection margins ≥ 2 cm or \geq the size of the nodule.
- Sublobar resection should also sample appropriate N1 and N2 lymph node stations unless not technically feasible without substantially increasing the surgical risk.
- Segmentectomy (preferred) or wedge resection is appropriate in selected patients for the following reasons:
 - ▶ Poor pulmonary reserve or other major comorbidity that contraindicates lobectomy
 - ▶ Peripheral nodule¹ ≤ 2 cm with at least one of the following:
 - ◊ Pure AIS histology
 - ◊ Nodule has $\geq 50\%$ ground-glass appearance on CT
 - ◊ Radiologic surveillance confirms a long doubling time (≥ 400 days)
- VATS or minimally invasive surgery (including robotic-assisted approaches) should be strongly considered for patients with no anatomic or surgical contraindications, as long as there is no compromise of standard oncologic and dissection principles of thoracic surgery.
- In high-volume centers with significant VATS experience, VATS lobectomy in selected patients results in improved early outcomes (ie, decreased pain, reduced hospital length of stay, more rapid return to function, fewer complications) without compromise of cancer outcomes.
- Lung-sparing anatomic resection (sleeve lobectomy) is preferred over pneumonectomy, if anatomically appropriate and margin-negative resection is achieved.
- T3 (invasion) and T4 local extension tumors require en-bloc resection of the involved structure with negative margins. If a surgeon or center is uncertain about potential complete resection, consider obtaining an additional surgical opinion from a high-volume specialized center.

Margins and Nodal Assessment (see [NSCL-B 2 of 4](#))¹Peripheral is defined as the outer one third of the lung parenchyma.**The Role of Surgery in Patients With Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC (see [NSCL-B 2 of 4](#) through [NSCL-B 4 of 4](#))****Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF SURGICAL THERAPY (2 of 4)****Margins and Nodal Assessment**

- **Surgical pathologic correlation is critical to assess apparent close or positive margins, as these may not represent true margins or may not truly represent areas of risk for local recurrence (eg, medial surface of mainstem or bronchus intermedius when separate subcarinal lymph node dissection has been performed; pleural margin adjacent to aorta when no attachment to aorta is present).**
- **N1 and N2 node resection and mapping should be a routine component of lung cancer resections—a minimum of three N2 stations sampled or complete lymph node dissection.**
- **Formal ipsilateral mediastinal lymph node dissection is indicated for patients undergoing resection for stage IIIA (N2) disease.**
- **Complete resection requires free resection margins, systematic node dissection or sampling, and the highest mediastinal node negative for tumor. The resection is defined as incomplete whenever there is involvement of resection margins, unremoved positive lymph nodes, or positive pleural or pericardial effusions. A complete resection is referred to as R0, microscopically positive resection as R1, and macroscopic residual tumor as R2.**
- **Patients with pathologic stage II or greater should be referred to medical oncology for evaluation.**
- **Consider referral to a radiation oncologist for resected stage IIIA.**

The Role of Surgery in Patients With Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC

The role of surgery in patients with pathologically documented N2 disease remains controversial.¹ Two randomized trials evaluated the role of surgery in this population, but neither showed an overall survival benefit with the use of surgery.^{2,3} However, this population is heterogeneous and the panel believes that these trials did not sufficiently evaluate the nuances present with the heterogeneity of N2 disease and the likely oncologic benefit of surgery in specific clinical situations.

- **The presence or absence of N2 disease should be vigorously determined by both radiologic and invasive staging prior to the initiation of therapy since the presence of mediastinal nodal disease has a profound impact on prognosis and treatment decisions. (NSCL-1, NSCL-2, and NSCL-6)**
- **Patients with occult-positive N2 nodes discovered at the time of pulmonary resection should continue with the planned resection along with formal mediastinal lymph node dissection. If N2 disease is noted in patients undergoing VATS, the surgeon may consider stopping the procedure so that induction therapy can be administered before surgery; however, continuing the procedure is also an option.**
- **The determination of the role of surgery in a patient with N2-positive lymph nodes should be made prior to the initiation of any therapy by a multidisciplinary team, including a board-certified thoracic surgeon who has a major part of his/her practice dedicated to thoracic oncology.⁴**
- **The presence of N2-positive lymph nodes substantially increases the likelihood of positive N3 lymph nodes. Pathologic evaluation of the mediastinum must include evaluation of the subcarinal station and contralateral lymph nodes. EBUS +/- EUS are additional techniques for minimally invasive pathologic mediastinal staging that are complementary to mediastinoscopy. Even when these modalities are employed it is important to have an adequate evaluation of the number of stations involved and biopsy and documentation of negative contralateral lymph node involvement prior to a final treatment decision.**

The Role of Surgery in Patients With Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC is continued on [NSCL-B 3 of 4](#) through [NSCL-B 4 of 4](#)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF SURGICAL THERAPY (3 of 4)****The Role of Surgery in Patients With Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC**

- Repeat mediastinoscopy, while possible, is technically difficult and has a lower accuracy compared to primary mediastinoscopy. One possible strategy is to perform EBUS (± EUS) in the initial pretreatment evaluation and reserve mediastinoscopy for nodal restaging after neoadjuvant therapy.⁵
- Patients with a single lymph node smaller than 3 cm can be considered for a multimodality approach that includes surgical resection.^{1,6,7}
- Restaging after induction therapy is difficult to interpret, but CT +/- PET should be performed to exclude disease progression or interval development of metastatic disease.
- Patients with negative mediastinum after neoadjuvant therapy have a better prognosis.^{7,8}
- Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is used in 50% of the NCCN Member Institutions, while neoadjuvant chemotherapy is used in the other 50%. Overall survival appears similar provided RT is given postoperatively, if not given preoperatively.^{5,9} Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is associated with higher rates of pathologic complete response and negative mediastinal lymph nodes.¹⁰ However, that is achieved at the expense of higher rates of acute toxicity and increased cost.
- When neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is used with doses lower than those used for standard definitive therapy, all efforts should be made to minimize any possible breaks in radiotherapy for surgical evaluation. Treatment breaks of more than 1 week are considered unacceptable.
- When timely surgical evaluation is not available, the strategy of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy should not be used. Another option in individual cases, and with the agreement of the thoracic surgeon, is to complete definitive chemoradiotherapy prior to re-evaluation and consideration for surgery.^{11,12} If a surgeon or center is uncertain about the feasibility or safety of resection after definitive doses of radiation, consider obtaining an additional surgical opinion from a high-volume specialized center. These operations may also benefit from additional considerations of soft tissue flap coverage in the radiation field at the time of resection.
- Data from a large multi-institutional trial indicate that pneumonectomy after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy has unacceptable morbidity and mortality.² However, it is not clear if this is also true with neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone. Further, many groups have challenged that cooperative group finding with single-institution experiences demonstrating safety of pneumonectomy after induction therapy.¹³⁻¹⁶ In addition, there is no evidence that adding RT to induction regimens for patients with operable stage IIIA (N2) disease improves outcomes compared to induction chemotherapy.¹⁷

A questionnaire was submitted to the NCCN Member Institutions in 2010 regarding their approach to patients with N2 disease. Their responses indicate the patterns of practice when approaching this difficult clinical problem.

- a) Would consider surgery in patients with one N2 lymph node station involved by a lymph node smaller than 3 cm: (90.5%)
- b) Would consider surgery with more than one N2 lymph node station involved, as long as no lymph node was bigger than 3 cm: (47.6%)
- c) Uses EBUS (+/- EUS) in the initial evaluation of the mediastinum: (80%)
- d) Uses pathologic evaluation of the mediastinum, after neoadjuvant therapy, to make a final decision before surgery: (40.5%)
- e) Would consider neoadjuvant therapy followed by surgery when a patient is likely, based on initial evaluation, to require a pneumonectomy: (54.8%)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF SURGICAL THERAPY (4 of 4)****The Role of Surgery in Patients With Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC - References**

- ¹Martins RG, D'Amico TA, Loo BW Jr, et al. The management of patients with stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer with N2 mediastinal node involvement. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2012;10:599-613.
- ²Albain K, Swann RS, Rusch VW, et al. Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomized controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:379-386.
- ³van Meerbeek JP, Kramer GW, Van Schil PE, et al. Randomized controlled trial of resection versus radiotherapy after induction chemotherapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:442-450.
- ⁴Farjah F, Flum DR, Varghese TK Jr, et al. Surgeon specialty and long-term survival after pulmonary resection for lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009;87:995-1006.
- ⁵Thomas M, Rube C, Hoffknecht P, et al. Effect of preoperative chemoradiation in addition to preoperative chemotherapy: a randomised trial in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:607-608.
- ⁶Andre F, Grunenwald D, Pignon J, et al. Survival of patients with resected N2 non-small-cell lung Cancer: Evidence for a subclassification and implications. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:2981-2989.
- ⁷Decaluwé H, De Leyn P, Vansteenkiste J, et al. Surgical multimodality treatment for baseline resectable stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer. Degree of mediastinal lymph node involvement and impact on survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009;36:433-439.
- ⁸Bueno R, Richards WG, Swanson SJ, et al. Nodal stage after induction therapy for stage IIIA lung cancer determines patient survival. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2000;70:1826-1831.
- ⁹Higgins K, Chino JP, Marks LB, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy versus preoperative chemoradiotherapy for stage III (N2) non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;75:1462-1467.
- ¹⁰de Cabanyes Candela S, Detterbeck FC. A systematic review of restaging after induction therapy for stage IIIa lung cancer: prediction of pathologic stage. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:389-398.
- ¹¹Bauman JE, Mulligan MS, Martins RG, et al. Salvage Lung Resection After Definitive Radiation (>59 Gy) for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Surgical and Oncologic Outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;86:1632-1639.
- ¹²Sonett JR, Suntharalingam M, Edelman MJ, et al. Pulmonary Resection After Curative Intent Radiotherapy (>59 Gy) and Concurrent Chemotherapy in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;78:1200-1205.
- ¹³Evans NR 3rd, Li S, Wright CD, et al. The impact of induction therapy on morbidity and operative mortality after resection of primary lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:991-996.
- ¹⁴Gaissert HA, Keum DY, Wright CD, et al. POINT: Operative risk of pneumonectomy—Influence of preoperative induction therapy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;138:289-294.
- ¹⁵Mansour Z, Kochetkova EA, Ducrocq X, et al. Induction chemotherapy does not increase the operative risk of pneumonectomy! *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;31:181-185.
- ¹⁶Weder W, Collaud S, Eberhardt WEE, et al. Pneumonectomy is a valuable treatment option after neoadjuvant therapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:1424-1430.
- ¹⁷Shah AA, Berry M, Tzao C, et al. Induction chemoradiotherapy is not superior to induction chemotherapy alone in stage IIIA lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;93:1807-1812.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (1 of 10)****General Principles (see [Table 1. Commonly Used Abbreviations in Radiation Therapy](#))**

- Determination of the appropriateness of radiation therapy (RT) should be made by board-certified radiation oncologists who perform lung cancer RT as a prominent part of their practice.
- RT has a potential role in all stages of NSCLC, as either definitive or palliative therapy. Radiation oncology input as part of a multidisciplinary evaluation or discussion should be provided for all patients with NSCLC.
- The critical goals of modern RT are to maximize tumor control and to minimize treatment toxicity. A minimum technologic standard is CT-planned 3D-CRT.¹
- More advanced technologies are appropriate when needed to deliver curative RT safely. These technologies include (but are not limited to) 4D-CT and/or PET/CT simulation, IMRT/VMAT, IGRT, motion management, and proton therapy (<https://www.astro.org/Daily-Practice/Reimbursement/Model-Policies/Model-Policies/>). Nonrandomized comparisons of using advanced technologies versus older techniques demonstrate reduced toxicity and improved survival.²⁻⁴ In a prospective trial of definitive chemo/RT for stage III NSCLC (RTOG 0617), IMRT was associated with a nearly 60% decrease in high-grade radiation pneumonitis and similar survival and tumor control outcomes despite a higher proportion of stage IIIB and larger treatment volumes compared to 3D-CRT;⁵ as such, IMRT is preferred over 3D-CRT in this setting.
- Centers using advanced technologies should implement and document modality-specific quality assurance measures. The ideal is external credentialing of both treatment planning and delivery such as required for participation in RTOG clinical trials employing advanced technologies. Useful references include the ACR Practice Parameters and Technical Standards (<http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/PGTS/toc.pdf>).

Early-Stage NSCLC (Stage I, selected node negative Stage IIA)

- SABR (also known as SBRT) is recommended for patients who are medically inoperable or who refuse to have surgery after thoracic surgery evaluation. SABR has achieved primary tumor control rates and overall survival, comparable to lobectomy and higher than 3D-CRT in nonrandomized and population-based comparisons in medically inoperable or older patients.⁶⁻¹¹
- SABR is also an appropriate option for patients with high surgical risk (able to tolerate sublobar resection but not lobectomy [eg, age ≥75 years], poor lung function). SABR and sublobar resection achieve comparable cancer-specific survival and primary tumor control.¹²⁻¹³
- A combined analysis of two randomized trials (that individually did not complete accrual) of SABR vs. lobectomy in operable patients found similar cancer-specific outcomes and improved toxicity profile and survival for SABR compared to surgery.¹⁴ This analysis does not provide sufficient data to change the standard of care for good surgical candidates but strengthens the indication for SABR in patients with relative contraindications for surgery or who refuse surgery.
- For institutions without an established SABR program, more modestly hypofractionated or dose-intensified conventionally fractionated 3D-CRT regimens are less preferred alternatives.¹⁵⁻¹⁷
- In patients treated with surgery, postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) is not recommended unless there are positive margins or upstaging to N2 (see *Locally Advanced NSCLC* in this section).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (2 of 10)****Locally Advanced NSCLC (Stage II-III)**

- The standard of care for patients with inoperable stage II (node positive) and stage III is concurrent chemotherapy/RT.¹⁸⁻²⁰ RT interruptions and dose reductions for manageable acute toxicities should be avoided by employing supportive care.
- Sequential chemotherapy/RT or RT alone is appropriate for frail patients unable to tolerate concurrent therapy.^{21,22} Accelerated RT regimens may be beneficial, particularly if concurrent chemotherapy would not be tolerated (ie, in a sequential or RT-only approach).^{23,24}
- RT has a role before or after surgery.
 - ▶ Preoperative concurrent chemotherapy/RT is an option for patients with resectable stage IIIA (minimal N2 and treatable with lobectomy)²⁵ and is recommended for resectable superior sulcus tumors.^{26,27}
 - ▶ Preoperative chemotherapy and postoperative RT is an alternative for patients with resectable stage IIIA.^{28,29} The optimal timing of RT in trimodality therapy (preoperative with chemotherapy or postoperative) is not established and is controversial.^{30,31}
 - ▶ The determination of resectability in trimodality therapy should be made prior to initiation of all treatment. Upfront multidisciplinary consultation is particularly important when considering surgical treatment of stage III NSCLC.
 - ▶ In patients with clinical stage I/II upstaged surgically to N2+, PORT appears to improve survival significantly as an adjunct to postoperative chemotherapy in non-randomized analyses.^{32,33} Although the optimal sequence is not established, PORT is generally administered after postoperative chemotherapy. PORT with concurrent chemotherapy can be administered safely in medically fit patients³⁴⁻³⁶ and is recommended for positive resection margins.³⁷
 - ▶ PORT is not recommended for patients with pathologic stage N0-1 disease, because it has been associated with increased mortality, at least when using older RT techniques.³⁸

Advanced/Metastatic NSCLC (Stage IV)

- RT is recommended for local palliation or prevention of symptoms (such as pain, bleeding, or obstruction).
- Definitive local therapy to isolated or limited metastatic sites (oligometastases) (including but not limited to brain, lung, and adrenal gland) achieves prolonged survival in a small proportion of well-selected patients with good performance status who have also received radical therapy to the intrathoracic disease. Definitive RT to oligometastases, particularly SABR, is an appropriate option in such cases if it can be delivered safely to the involved sites.^{39,40}
- See the [NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers](#) regarding RT for brain metastases.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (3 of 10)****Target Volumes, Prescription Doses, and Normal Tissue Dose Constraints** ([See Tables 2–5 on NSCL-C 7 of 10](#) and [NSCL-C 8 of 10](#))

- ICRU Reports 62 and 83 detail the current definitions of target volumes for 3D-RT and IMRT. GTV comprises the known extent of disease (primary and nodal) on imaging and pathologic assessment, CTV includes regions of presumed microscopic extent or dissemination, and PTV comprises the ITV (which includes margin for target motion) plus a setup margin for positioning and mechanical variability. <http://www.rtog.org/CoreLab/ContouringAtlases/LungAtlas.aspx>
- PTV margin can be decreased by immobilization, motion management, and IGRT techniques.
- Consistent delineation of normal structures is critical for evaluating plans for safety. The RTOG consensus lung-contouring atlas is a useful resource. <http://www.rtog.org/CoreLab/ContouringAtlases/LungAtlas.aspx>
- Commonly used prescription doses and normal tissue dose constraints are summarized in Tables 2 through 5. These are based on published experience, ongoing trials, historical data, modeling, and empirical judgment.^{41,42} Useful references include the recent reviews of normal organ dose responses from the QUANTEC project.⁴³⁻⁴⁷

Node-Negative Early-Stage SABR

- The high-dose intensity and conformity of SABR require minimizing the PTV.
- For SABR, intensive regimens of BED ≥ 100 Gy are associated with significantly better local control and survival than less intensive regimens.⁴⁸ In the United States, only regimens of ≤ 5 fractions meet the arbitrary billing code definition of SBRT, but slightly more protracted regimens are appropriate as well.^{48,49} For centrally located tumors (defined variably as within 2 cm of the proximal bronchial tree and/or abutting mediastinal pleura) and even ultra-central tumors (defined as abutting the proximal bronchial tree), 4 to 10 fraction risk-adapted SABR regimens appear to be effective and safe,⁵⁰⁻⁵³ while 54 to 60 Gy in 3 fractions is unsafe and should be avoided.⁵⁴ The maximum tolerated dose for 5-fraction regimens was studied prospectively in RTOG 0813, preliminary results demonstrate no high-grade toxicities at 50 Gy in 5 fractions.⁵⁵
- SABR is most commonly used for tumors up to 5 cm in size, though selected larger isolated tumors can be treated safely if normal tissue constraints are respected.^{54,56}
- Prescription doses incompletely describe the actual delivered doses, which also strongly depend on how the dose is prescribed (to the isocenter vs. an isodose volume covering a proportion of the PTV), the degree of dose heterogeneity, whether tissue density heterogeneity corrections are used, and the type of dose calculation algorithm.^{57,58} All of these must be considered when interpreting or emulating regimens from prior studies.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (4 of 10)****Locally Advanced Stage/Conventionally Fractionated RT**

- IFI omitting ENI allows tumor dose escalation and is associated with a low risk of isolated nodal relapse, particularly in PET/CT–staged patients.⁵⁹⁻⁶³ Two randomized trials found improved survival for IFI versus ENI, possibly because it enabled dose escalation.⁶⁴ IFI is reasonable in order to optimize definitive dosing to the tumor.⁶⁵
- The most commonly prescribed doses for definitive RT are 60 to 70 Gy in 2 Gy fractions. Doses of at least 60 Gy should be given.⁶⁶ Dose escalation in RT alone,⁶⁷ sequential chemo/RT,⁶⁸ or concurrent chemo/RT⁶⁹ is associated with better survival in non-randomized comparisons. While optimal RT dose intensification remains a valid question, higher doses of 74 Gy are not currently recommended for routine use.⁷⁰⁻⁷⁴ A meta-analysis demonstrated improved survival with accelerated fractionation RT regimens,⁷⁵ and individualized accelerated RT dose intensification is now being evaluated in a randomized trial (RTOG 1106).
- Doses of 45 to 54 Gy in 1.8 to 2 Gy fractions are standard preoperative doses.⁷⁶ Definitive RT doses delivered as preoperative chemoRT can safely be administered and achieve promising nodal clearance and survival rates,⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰ but require experience in thoracic surgical techniques to minimize the risk of surgical complications after high-dose RT.
- In PORT, the CTV includes the bronchial stump and high-risk draining lymph node stations.⁸¹ Standard doses after complete resection are 50 to 54 Gy in 1.8 to 2 Gy fractions, but a boost may be administered to high-risk regions including areas of nodal extracapsular extension or microscopic positive margins.^{32,33,82} Lung dose constraints should be more conservative as tolerance appears to be reduced after surgery. The ongoing European LungART trial provides useful guidelines for PORT technique.⁸³

Advanced Stage/Palliative RT

- The dose and fractionation of palliative RT should be individualized based on goals of care, symptoms, performance status, and logistical considerations. Shorter courses of RT provide similar pain relief as longer courses, but with a higher potential need for retreatment,⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷ and are preferred for patients with poor performance status and/or shorter life expectancy. For palliation of thoracic symptoms, higher dose/longer-course thoracic RT (eg, ≥30 Gy in 10 fractions) is associated with modestly improved survival and symptoms, particularly in patients with good performance status.^{88,89} When higher doses (>30 Gy) are warranted, technologies to reduce normal tissue irradiation (at least 3D-CRT and including IMRT or proton therapy as appropriate) should be used.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (5 of 10)****Radiation Therapy Simulation, Planning, and Delivery**

- **Simulation should be performed using CT scans obtained in the RT treatment position with appropriate immobilization devices. IV contrast with or without oral contrast is recommended for better target/organ delineation whenever possible in patients with central tumors or nodal disease. Because IV contrast can affect tissue heterogeneity correction calculations, density masking or use of a pre-contrast scan may be needed when intense enhancement is present.**
- **PET/CT significantly improves targeting accuracy,⁹⁰ especially for patients with significant atelectasis and when IV CT contrast is contraindicated. A randomized trial of PET/CT versus CT-only RT planning demonstrated improved preemption of futile radical RT, decreased recurrences, and a trend toward improved overall survival with PET/CT RT planning.⁹¹ Given the potential for rapid progression of NSCLC,^{92,93} PET/CT should be obtained preferably within 4 weeks before treatment. It is ideal to obtain PET/CT in the treatment position.**
- **Tumor and organ motion, especially owing to breathing, should be assessed or accounted for at simulation. Options include fluoroscopy, inhale/exhale or slow scan CT, or, ideally, 4D-CT.**
- **Photon beam energy should be individualized based on the anatomic location of the tumors and beam paths. In general, photon energies between 4 to 10 MV are recommended for beams passing through low-density lung tissue before entering the tumor. When there is no air gap before the beam enters the tumor (such as for some large mediastinal tumors or tumors attached to the chest wall), higher energies may improve the dose distribution, especially when using a smaller number of fixed beam angles.**
- **Tissue heterogeneity correction and accurate dose calculation algorithms that account for buildup and lateral electron scatter effects in heterogeneous density tissues are recommended. Heterogeneity correction with simple pencil beam algorithms is not recommended.⁶⁰**
- **Respiratory motion should be managed when motion is excessive. This includes (but is not limited to) forced shallow breathing with abdominal compression, accelerator beam gating with the respiratory cycle, dynamic tumor tracking, active breathing control (ABC), or coaching/biofeedback techniques. If motion is minimal or the ITV is small, motion-encompassing targeting is appropriate. A useful resource for implementation of respiratory motion management is the report of AAPM Task Group 76.⁹⁴**
- **IGRT—including (but not limited to) orthogonal pair planar imaging and volumetric imaging (such as CBCT or CT on rails)—is recommended when using SABR and 3D-CRT/IMRT with steep dose gradients around the target, when OARs are in close proximity to high-dose regions, and when using complex motion management techniques.**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (6 of 10)

Table 1. Commonly Used Abbreviations in Radiation Therapy

RT	Radiation Therapy or Radiotherapy	ICRU	International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements
2D-RT	2-Dimensional RT	IFI	Involved Field Irradiation
3D-CRT	3-Dimensional Conformal RT	IGRT	Image-Guided RT
4D-CT	4-Dimensional Computed Tomography	IMRT	Intensity-Modulated RT
AAPM	American Association of Physicists in Medicine	ITV*	Internal Target Volume
ABC	Active Breathing Control	OAR	Organ at Risk
ACR	American College of Radiology	OBI	On-Board Imaging
ASTRO	American Society for Radiation Oncology	PORT	Postoperative RT
BED	Biologically Effective Dose	PTV*	Planning Target Volume
CBCT	Cone-Beam CT	QUANTEC	Quantitative Analysis of Normal Tissue Effects in the Clinic
CTV*	Clinical Target Volume	ROG	Radiation Therapy Oncology Group now part of NRG Oncology
ENI	Elective Nodal Irradiation	SABR	Stereotactic Ablative RT, also known as Stereotactic Body RT (SBRT)
GTV*	Gross Tumor Volume	VMAT	Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy

*Refer to ICRU Report 83 for detailed definitions.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (7 of 10)

Table 2. Commonly Used Doses for SABR

Total Dose	# Fractions	Example Indications
25–34 Gy	1	Peripheral, small (<2 cm) tumors, esp. >1 cm from chest wall
45–60 Gy	3	Peripheral tumors and >1 cm from chest wall
48–50 Gy	4	Central or peripheral tumors <4–5 cm, especially <1 cm from chest wall
50–55 Gy	5	Central or peripheral tumors, especially <1 cm from chest wall
60–70 Gy	8–10	Central tumors

Table 3. Maximum Dose Constraints for SABR*

OAR/Regimen	1 Fraction	3 Fractions	4 Fractions	5 Fractions
Spinal Cord	14 Gy	18 Gy (6 Gy/fx)	26 Gy (6.5 Gy/fx)	30 Gy (6 Gy/fx)
Esophagus	15.4 Gy	27 Gy (9 Gy/fx)	30 Gy (7.5 Gy/fx)	105% of PTV prescription [^]
Brachial Plexus	17.5 Gy	24 Gy (8 Gy/fx)	27.2 Gy (6.8 Gy/fx)	32 Gy (6.4 Gy/fx)
Heart/ Pericardium	22 Gy	30 Gy (10 Gy/fx)	34 Gy (8.5 Gy/fx)	105% of PTV prescription [^]
Great Vessels	37 Gy	NS	49 Gy (12.25 Gy/fx)	105% of PTV prescription [^]
Trachea & Proximal Bronchi	20.2 Gy	30 Gy (10 Gy/fx)	34.8 Gy (8.7 Gy/fx)	105% of PTV prescription [^]
Rib	30 Gy	30 Gy (10 Gy/fx)	40 Gy (10 Gy/fx)	NS
Skin	26 Gy	24 Gy (8 Gy/fx)	36 Gy (9 Gy/fx)	32 Gy (6.4 Gy/fx)
Stomach	12.4 Gy	NS	27.2 Gy (6.8 Gy/fx)	NS

*Based on constraints used in recent RTOG SABR trials (RTOG 0618, 0813, & 0915).

[^]for central tumor location. NS = not specified

Please note - Tables 2–5 provide doses and constraints used commonly or in past clinical trials as useful references rather than specific recommendations.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY (8 of 10)

Table 4. Commonly Used Doses for Conventionally Fractionated and Palliative RT

Treatment Type	Total Dose	Fraction Size	Treatment Duration	
Definitive RT with or without chemotherapy	60–70 Gy	2 Gy	6–7 weeks	
Preoperative RT	45–54 Gy	1.8–2 Gy	5 weeks	
Postoperative RT	50–54 Gy	1.8–2 Gy	5–6 weeks	
	54–60 Gy	1.8–2 Gy	6 weeks	
• Negative margins				
• Extracapsular nodal extension or microscopic positive margins				
• Gross residual tumor	60–70 Gy	2 Gy	6–7 weeks	
Palliative RT				
	• Obstructive disease (SVC syndrome or obstructive pneumonia)	30–45 Gy	3 Gy	2–3 weeks
	• Bone metastases with soft tissue mass	20–30 Gy	4–3 Gy	1–2 weeks
	• Bone metastases without soft tissue mass	8–30 Gy	8–3 Gy	1 day–2 weeks
	• Brain metastases	CNS GLs* 17 Gy	CNS GLs* 8.5 Gy	CNS GLs* 1–2 weeks
	• Symptomatic chest disease in patients with poor PS			
• Any metastasis in patients with poor PS	8–20 Gy	8–4 Gy	1 day–1 week	

*[NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers](#)

Please note: Tables 2–5 provide doses and constraints used commonly or in past clinical trials as useful references rather than specific recommendations.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.


Table 5. Normal Tissue Dose-Volume Constraints for Conventionally Fractionated RT

OAR	Constraints in 30–35 Fractions
Spinal cord	Max ≤50 Gy
Lung	V20 ≤35%; V5 ≤65%; MLD ≤20 Gy
Heart**	V40 ≤80%; V45 ≤60%; V60 ≤30%; Mean ≤35 Gy
Esophagus	Mean ≤34 Gy; Max ≤105% of prescription dose
Brachial plexus	Max ≤66 Gy

Vxx = % of the whole OAR receiving ≥xx Gy.

**RTOG 0617 data suggest that even lower radiation doses to the heart than previously appreciated may be detrimental to survival after thoracic RT, and more stringent constraints may be appropriate.

Figure 1. ICRU Report 62 Schema of Target Volume Definitions



©Journal of the ICRU. Report 62 Prescribing, Recording and Reporting Photon Beam Therapy (Supplement to ICRU Report 50) 1999, Figure 2.16 from p 16.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

- 1Chen AB, Neville BA, Sher DJ, et al. Survival outcomes after radiation therapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer after adoption of computed tomography-based simulation. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2305-2311.
- 2Liao ZX, Komaki RR, Thames HD, et al. Influence of Technologic Advances on Outcomes in Patients With Unresectable, Locally Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Receiving Concomitant Chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:775-781.
- 3Sejpal S, Komaki R, Tsao A, et al. Early findings on toxicity of proton beam therapy with concurrent chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2011;117:3004-3013.
- 4Chang JY, Komaki R, Lu C, et al. Phase 2 study of high-dose proton therapy with concurrent chemotherapy for unresectable stage III non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2011;117:4707-4713.
- 5Chun SG, Hu C, Choy H, et al. Impact of intensity-modulated radiation therapy technique for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a secondary analysis of the NRG Oncology RTOG 0617 randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2016; October 3 Epub.
- 6Timmerman R, Paulus R, Galvin J, et al. Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Inoperable Early Stage Lung Cancer. *JAMA* 2010;303:1070-1076.
- 7Baumann P, Nyman J, Hoyer M, et al. Outcome in a prospective phase II trial of medically inoperable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3290-3296.
- 8Onishi H, Shirato H, Nagata Y, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: can SBRT be comparable to surgery? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;81:1352-1358.
- 9Grutters JPC, Kessels AGH, Pijls-Johannesma M, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of radiotherapy with photons, protons and carbon-ions for non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Radiother Oncol* 2010;95:32-40.
- 10Palma D, Visser O, Lagerwaard FJ, et al. Impact of introducing stereotactic lung radiotherapy for elderly patients with stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a population-based time-trend analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:5153-5159.
- 11Shrivani SM, Jiang J, Chang JY, et al. Comparative effectiveness of 5 treatment strategies for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer in the elderly. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;84:1060-1070.
- 12Grills IS, Mangona VS, Welsh R, et al. Outcomes After Stereotactic Lung Radiotherapy or Wedge Resection for Stage I Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:928-935.
- 13Crabtree TD, Denlinger CE, Meyers BF, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy versus surgical resection for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;140:377-386.
- 14Chang JY, Senan S, Paul MA, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy versus lobectomy for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of two randomized trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:630-637.
- 15Bogart JA, Hodgson L, Seagren SL, et al. Phase I study of accelerated conformal radiotherapy for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer in patients with pulmonary dysfunction: CALGB 39904. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:202-206.
- 16Zhao L, West BT, Hayman JA, et al. High radiation dose may reduce the negative effect of large gross tumor volume in patients with medically inoperable early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;68:103-110.
- 17Cheung P, Faria S, Ahmed S, et al. Phase II study of accelerated hypofractionated three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for stage T1-3 N0 M0 non-small cell lung cancer: NCIC CTG BR.25. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014;106:1-8.
- 18Aupérin A, Le Péchoux C, Rolland E, et al. Meta-analysis of concomitant versus sequential radiochemotherapy in locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:2181-2190.
- 19O'Rourke N, Roqué I, Figuls M, Farré Bernadó N, Macbeth F. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010;CD002140.
- 20Curran WJ Jr, Paulus R, Langer CJ, et al. Sequential vs. concurrent chemoradiation for stage III non-small cell lung cancer: randomized phase III trial RTOG 9410. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:1452-1460.
- 21Sause W, Kolesar P, Taylor S IV, et al. Final results of phase III trial in regionally advanced unresectable non-small cell lung cancer: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, and Southwest Oncology Group. *Chest* 2000;117:358-364.
- 22Dillman RO, Herndon J, Seagren SL, et al. Improved survival in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: seven-year follow-up of cancer and leukemia group B (CALGB) 8433 trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1996;88:1210-1215.
- 23Baumann M, Herrmann T, Koch R, et al. Final results of the randomized phase III CHARTWEL-trial (ARO 97-1) comparing hyperfractionated-accelerated versus conventionally fractionated radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Radiother Oncol* 2011;100:76-85.
- 24Mauguen A, Le Péchoux C, Saunders MI, et al. Hyperfractionated or accelerated radiotherapy in lung cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2788-2797.
- 25Albain KS, Swann RS, Rusch VW, et al. Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:379-386.
- 26Kunitoh H, Kato H, Tsuboi M, et al. Phase II trial of preoperative chemoradiotherapy followed by surgical resection in patients with superior sulcus non-small-cell lung cancers: report of Japan Clinical Oncology Group trial 9806. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:644-649.
- 27Rusch VW, Giroux DJ, Kraut MJ, et al. Induction chemoradiation and surgical resection for superior sulcus non-small-cell lung carcinomas: long-term results of Southwest Oncology Group Trial 9416 (Intergroup Trial 0160). *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:313-318.
- 28Thomas M, Rube C, Hoffknecht P, et al. Effect of preoperative chemoradiation in addition to preoperative chemotherapy: a randomized trial in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:607-608.
- 29Higgins K, Chino JP, Marks LB, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy versus preoperative chemoradiotherapy for stage III (N2) non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Biol Phys* 2009;75:1462-1467.
- 30Sher DJ, Fidler MJ, Liptay MJ, & Koshy M. Comparative effectiveness of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy versus chemotherapy alone followed by surgery for patients with stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;88:267-274.
- 31Shah AA, Berry MF, Tzao C, et al. Induction chemoradiation is not superior to induction chemotherapy alone in stage IIIA lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;93:1807-1812.
- 32Douillard J-Y, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Impact of postoperative radiation therapy on survival in patients with complete resection and stage I, II, or IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: the adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association (ANITA) Randomized Trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;72:695-701.
- 33Lally BE, Zelterman D, Colasanto JM, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for stage II or III non-small-cell lung cancer using the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results database. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:2998-3006.
- 34Feigenberg SJ, Hanlon AL, Langer C, et al. A phase II study of concurrent carboplatin and paclitaxel and thoracic radiotherapy for completely resected stage II and IIIA non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:287-292.
- 35Bradley JD, Paulus R, Graham MV, et al. Phase II trial of postoperative adjuvant paclitaxel/carboplatin and thoracic radiotherapy in resected stage II and IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer: promising long-term results of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group--RTOG 9705. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3480-3487.
- 36Keller SM, Adak S, Wagner H, et al. A randomized trial of postoperative adjuvant therapy in patients with completely resected stage II or IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:1217-1222.
- 37Hancock JG, Rosen JE, Antonicelli A, et al. Impact of adjuvant treatment for microscopic residual disease after non-small cell lung cancer surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;99:406-416.
- 38Burdett S, Stewart L, Group PM-a. Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: update of an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Lung Cancer* 2005;47:81-83.
- 39Milano MT, Katz AW, Okunieff P. Patterns of recurrence after curative-intent radiation for oligometastases confined to one organ. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2010;33:157-163.
- 40Salama JK, Chmura SJ, Mehta N, et al. An initial report of a radiation dose-escalation trial in patients with one to five sites of metastatic disease. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:5255-5259.
- 41Kong FM, Pan C, Eisbruch A, Ten Haken RK. Physical models and simpler dosimetric descriptors of radiation late toxicity. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2007;17:108-120.
- 42Timmerman RD. An overview of hypofractionation and introduction to this issue of seminars in radiation oncology. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2008;18:215-222.
- 43Marks LB, Yorke ED, Jackson A, et al. Use of normal tissue complication probability models in the clinic. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S10-19.
- 44Marks LB, Bentzen SM, Deasy JO, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the lung. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S70-76.
- 45Werner-Wasik M, Yorke E, Deasy J, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the esophagus. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S86-93.
- 46Gagliardi G, Constine LS, Moiseenko V, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the heart. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S77-85.
- 47Kirkpatrick JP, van der Kogel AJ, Schultheiss TE. Radiation dose-volume effects in the spinal cord. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S42-49.
- 48Onishi H, Shirato H, Nagata Y, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HypoFXSRT) for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: updated results of 257 patients in a Japanese multi-institutional study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007; 2:S94-100.
- 49Lagerwaard FJ, Haasbeek CJA, Smit EF, et al. Outcomes of risk-adapted fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;70:685-692.
- 50Chang JY, Li QQ, Xu QY, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for centrally located early stage or isolated parenchymal recurrences of non-small-cell lung cancer: how to fly in a "no fly zone". *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2014;88:1120-1128.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



- ⁵¹Timmerman R, McGarry R, Yiannoutsos C, et al. Excessive toxicity when treating central tumors in a phase II study of stereotactic body radiation therapy for medically inoperable early-stage lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24:4833-4839.
- ⁵²Chaudhuri AA, Tang C, Binkley MS, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for treatment of central and ultra-central lung tumors. *Lung Cancer* 2015;89:50-56.
- ⁵³Haseltine JM, Rimner A, Gelblum DY, et al. Fatal complications after stereotactic body radiation therapy for central lung tumors abutting the proximal bronchial tree. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2016;6:e27-33.
- ⁵⁴Woody NM, Stephans KL, Marwaha G, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for non-small cell lung cancer tumors greater than 5 cm: safety and efficacy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015;92:325-331.
- ⁵⁵Bezjak A, Paulus R, Gaspar LE, et al. Primary study endpoint analysis for NRG Oncology/RTOG 0813 trial of stereotactic body radiation therapy for centrally located non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;94:5-6.
- ⁵⁶Fakiris AJ, McGarry RC, Yiannoutsos CT, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small-cell lung carcinoma: four-year results of a prospective phase II study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;75:677-682.
- ⁵⁷Xiao Y, Papiez L, Paulus R, et al. Dosimetric evaluation of heterogeneity corrections for RTOG 0236: stereotactic body radiotherapy of inoperable stage I-II non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;73:1235-1242.
- ⁵⁸Liu MB, Eclow NC, Trakul N, et al. Clinical impact of dose overestimation by effective path length calculation in stereotactic ablative radiation therapy of lung tumors. *Practical Radiation Oncology* 2012 In press.
- ⁵⁹Belderbos JS, Kepka L, Kong FM, et al. Report from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) consultants' meeting on elective nodal irradiation in lung cancer: non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;72:335-342.
- ⁶⁰Bradley J, Bae K, Choi N, et al. A phase II comparative study of gross tumor volume definition with or without PET/CT fusion in dosimetric planning for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): primary analysis of radiation therapy oncology group (RTOG) 0515. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;82:435-441.
- ⁶¹Sanuki-Fujimoto N, Sumi M, Ito Y, et al. Relation between elective nodal failure and irradiated volume in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with radiotherapy using conventional fields and doses. *Radiother Oncol* 2009; 91:433-437.
- ⁶²Sulman EP, Komaki R, Klopp AH, et al. Exclusion of elective nodal irradiation is associated with minimal elective nodal failure in non-small cell lung cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2009;4:5-11.
- ⁶³Rosenzweig KE, Sura S, Jackson A, Yorke E. Involved-field radiation therapy for inoperable non small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:5557-5561.
- ⁶⁴Yuan S, Sun X, Li M, et al. A randomized study of involved-field irradiation versus elective nodal irradiation in combination with concurrent chemotherapy for inoperable stage III nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2007;30:239-244.
- ⁶⁵Chen M, Bao Y, Ma HL, et al. Involved-field radiotherapy versus elective nodal irradiation in combination with concurrent chemotherapy for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective randomized study. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;37:11819.
- ⁶⁶Perez CA, Pajak TF, Rubin P, et al. Long-term observations of the patterns of failure in patients with unresectable non-oat cell carcinoma of the lung treated with definitive radiotherapy. Report by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Cancer* 1987; 59:1874-1881.
- ⁶⁷Kong FM, Ten Haken RK, Schipper MJ, et al. High-dose radiation improved local tumor control and overall survival in patients with inoperable/unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a radiation dose escalation study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;63:324-333.
- ⁶⁸Rengan R, Rosenzweig KE, Venkatraman E, et al. Improved local control with higher doses of radiation in large-volume stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004;60:741-747.
- ⁶⁹Machtay M, Bae K, Movsas B, et al. Higher biologically effective dose of radiotherapy is associated with improved Outcomes for Locally Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma Treated with Chemoradiation: An Analysis of the radiation therapy oncology group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;82:425-434.
- ⁷⁰Schild SE, McGinnis WL, Graham D, et al. Results of a Phase I trial of concurrent chemotherapy and escalating doses of radiation for unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006; 65:1106-1111.
- ⁷¹Socinski MA, Blackstock AW, Bogart JA, et al. Randomized phase II trial of induction chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemotherapy and dose-escalated thoracic conformal radiotherapy (74 Gy) in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: CALGB 30105. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:2457-2463.
- ⁷²Stinchcombe TE, Lee CB, Moore DT, et al. Long-term follow-up of a phase I/II trial of dose escalating three-dimensional conformal thoracic radiation therapy with induction and concurrent carboplatin and paclitaxel in unresectable stage IIIA/B non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2008; 3:1279-1285.
- ⁷³Bradley JD, Bae K, Graham MV, et al. Primary analysis of the phase II component of a phase I/II dose intensification study using three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy and concurrent chemotherapy for patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer: RTOG 0117. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:2475-2480.
- ⁷⁴Bradley JD, Paulus R, Komaki R, et al. Standard-dose versus high-dose conformal radiotherapy with concurrent and consolidation carboplatin plus paclitaxel with or without cetuximab for patients with stage IIIA or IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer (RTOG 0617): a randomised, two-by-two factorial phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:187-199.
- ⁷⁵Maugen A, Le Pechoux C, Saunders M, et al. Hyperfractionated or accelerated radiotherapy in lung cancer: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2788-2797.
- ⁷⁶Sher DJ, Fidler MJ, Seder CW, et al. Relationship between radiation therapy dose and outcome in patients treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy and surgery for stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer: a population-based, comparative effectiveness analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015;92:307-316.
- ⁷⁷Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Jones VL, Cerfolio RM. Pulmonary resection after concurrent chemotherapy and high dose (60Gy) radiation for non-small cell lung cancer is safe and may provide increased survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009; 35:718-723; discussion 723.
- ⁷⁸Kwong KF, Edelman MJ, Suntharalingam M, et al. High-dose radiotherapy in trimodality treatment of Pancoast tumors results in high pathologic complete response rates and excellent long-term survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;129:1250-1257.
- ⁷⁹Sonett JR, Suntharalingam M, Edelman MJ, et al. Pulmonary resection after curative intent radiotherapy (>59 Gy) and concurrent chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;78:1200-1205.
- ⁸⁰Suntharalingam M, Paulus R, Edelman MJ, et al. Radiation therapy oncology group protocol 02-29: a phase II trial of neoadjuvant therapy with concurrent chemotherapy and full-dose radiation therapy followed by surgical resection and consolidative therapy for locally advanced non-small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;84:456-463.
- ⁸¹Kelsey CR, Light KL, Marks LB. Patterns of failure after resection of non-small-cell lung cancer: implications for postoperative radiation therapy volumes. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;65:1097-1105.
- ⁸²Corso CD, Rutter CE, Wilson LD, et al. Re-evaluation of the role of postoperative radiotherapy and the impact of radiation dose for non-small-cell lung cancer using the National Cancer Database. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:148-155.
- ⁸³Spoelstra FOB, Senan S, Le Pécoux C, et al. Variations in target volume definition for postoperative radiotherapy in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: analysis of an international contouring study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010; 76:1106-1113.
- ⁸⁴Chow E, Harris K, Fan G, et al. Palliative radiotherapy trials for bone metastases: a systematic review. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:1423-1436.
- ⁸⁵Lutz S, Berk L, Chang E, et al. Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases: an ASTRO evidence-based guideline. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;79:965-976.
- ⁸⁶Cross CK, Berman S, Buswell L, et al. Prospective study of palliative hypofractionated radiotherapy (8.5 Gy x 2) for patients with symptomatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004; 58:1098-1105.
- ⁸⁷Medical Research Council Lung Cancer Working Party. A Medical Research Council (MRC) randomised trial of palliative radiotherapy with two fractions or a single fraction in patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and poor performance status. *Medical Research Council Lung Cancer Working Party. Br J Canc* 1992; 65:934-941.
- ⁸⁸Rodrigues G, Videtic GMM, Sur R, et al. Palliative thoracic radiotherapy in lung cancer: An American Society for Radiation Oncology evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2011;1:60-71.
- ⁸⁹Koshy M, Malik R, Mahmood U, et al. Comparative effectiveness of aggressive thoracic radiation therapy and concurrent chemoradiation therapy in metastatic lung cancer. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2015;5:374-382.
- ⁹⁰MacManus M, Nestle U, Rosenzweig KE, et al. Use of PET and PET/CT for radiation therapy planning: IAEA expert report 2006-2007. *Radiother Oncol* 2009;91:85-94.
- ⁹¹Ung YC, Gu C-S, Cline K, et al. An Ontario Clinical Oncology Group (OCOG) randomized trial (PET START) of FDG PET/CT in patients with stage 3 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): impact of PET on radiation treatment volumes [Abstract]. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:S428.
- ⁹²Everitt S, Herschtal A, Callahan J, et al. High rates of tumor growth and disease progression detected on serial pretreatment positroxyglucose-positron emission tomography/computed tomography scans in radical radiotherapy candidates with nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2010;116:5030-5037.
- ⁹³Mohammed N, Kestin LL, Grills IS, et al. Rapid disease progression with delay in treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;79:466-472.
- ⁹⁴Keall PJ, Mageras GS, Balter JM, et al. The management of respiratory motion in radiation oncology report of AAPM Task Group 76. *Med Phys* 2006;33:3874-3900.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR NEOADJUVANT AND ADJUVANT THERAPY

- Cisplatin 50 mg/m² days 1 and 8; vinorelbine 25 mg/m² days 1, 8, 15, 22, every 28 days for 4 cycles^a
- Cisplatin 100 mg/m² day 1; vinorelbine 30 mg/m² days 1, 8, 15, 22, every 28 days for 4 cycles^{b,c}
- Cisplatin 75–80 mg/m² day 1; vinorelbine 25–30 mg/m² days 1 + 8, every 21 days for 4 cycles
- Cisplatin 100 mg/m² day 1; etoposide 100 mg/m² days 1–3, every 28 days for 4 cycles^b
- Cisplatin 75 mg/m² day 1; gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² days 1, 8, every 21 days for 4 cycles^d
- Cisplatin 75 mg/m² day 1; docetaxel 75 mg/m² day 1 every 21 days for 4 cycles^e
- Cisplatin 75 mg/m² day 1, pemetrexed 500 mg/m² day 1 for nonsquamous every 21 days for 4 cycles^f

Chemotherapy Regimens for Patients with Comorbidities or Patients Not Able to Tolerate Cisplatin

Paclitaxel 200 mg/m² day 1, carboplatin AUC 6 day 1, every 21 days^g

^aWinton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2589-2597.

^bArriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. The International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial Collaborative Group. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:351-360.

^cDouillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB-IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:719-727.

^dPérol M, Chouaid C, Pérol D, et al. Randomized, phase III study of gemcitabine or erlotinib maintenance therapy versus observation, with predefined second-line treatment, after cisplatin-gemcitabine induction chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3516-3524.

^eFossella F, Pereira JR, von Pawel J, et al. Randomized, multinational, phase III study of docetaxel plus platinum combinations versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TAX 326 study group. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:3016-3024.

^fKreuter M, Vansteenkiste J, Fishcer JR, et al. Randomized phase 2 trial on refinement of early-stage NSCLC adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed versus cisplatin and vinorelbine: the TREAT study. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24:986-992.

^gStrauss GM, Herndon III JE, Maddaus MA, et al. Adjuvant paclitaxel plus carboplatin compared with observation in stage IB non-small cell lung cancer: CALGB 9633 with the Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, and North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study Groups. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:5043-5051.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS USED WITH RADIATION THERAPY****Concurrent Chemotherapy/RT Regimens**

- Cisplatin 50 mg/m² on days 1, 8, 29, and 36; etoposide 50 mg/m² days 1–5, 29–33; concurrent thoracic RT^{a,b,*,**}
- Cisplatin 100 mg/m² days 1 and 29; vinblastine 5 mg/m²/weekly x 5; concurrent thoracic RT^{b,*,**}
- Carboplatin AUC 5 on day 1, pemetrexed 500 mg/m² on day 1 every 21 days for 4 cycles; concurrent thoracic RT^c (nonsquamous)^{*,**}
- Cisplatin 75 mg/m² on day 1, pemetrexed 500 mg/m² on day 1 every 21 days for 3 cycles; concurrent thoracic RT^{d,e} (nonsquamous)^{*,**} ± additional 4 cycles of pemetrexed 500 mg/m²^{**}
- Paclitaxel 45–50 mg/m² weekly; carboplatin AUC 2, concurrent thoracic RT^{f,*,**} ± additional 2 cycles of paclitaxel 200 mg/m² and carboplatin AUC 6^{**}

Sequential Chemotherapy/RT Regimens (Adjuvant)

- Cisplatin 100 mg/m² on days 1 and 29; vinblastine 5 mg/m²/weekly on days 1, 8, 15, 22, and 29; followed by RT^b
- Paclitaxel 200 mg/m² over 3 hours on day 1; carboplatin AUC 6 over 60 minutes on day 1 every 3 weeks for 2 cycles followed by thoracic RT^g

*Regimens can be used as neoadjuvant/preoperative/induction chemoradiotherapy.

**Regimens can be used as adjuvant or definitive concurrent chemotherapy/RT.

^aAlbain KS, Crowley JJ, Turrisi AT III, et al. Concurrent cisplatin, etoposide, and chest radiotherapy in pathologic stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: A Southwest Oncology Group Phase II Study, SWOG 9019. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:3454-3460.

^bCurran WJ Jr, Paulus R, Langer CJ, et al. Sequential vs. concurrent chemoradiation for stage III non-small cell lung cancer: randomized phase III trial RTOG 9410. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2011;103:1452-1460.

^cGovindan R, Bogart J, Stinchcombe T, et al. Randomized phase II study of pemetrexed, carboplatin, and thoracic radiation with or without cetuximab in patients with locally advanced unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: Cancer and Leukemia Group B trial 30407. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:3120-3125.

^dChoy H, Gerber DE, Bradley JD, et al. Concurrent pemetrexed and radiation therapy in the treatment of patients with inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review of completed and ongoing studies. *Lung Cancer* 2015;87:232-240

^eSenan S, Brade A, Wang LH, et al. PROCLAIM: randomized phase III trial of pemetrexed-cisplatin or etoposide-cisplatin plus thoracic radiation therapy followed by consolidation chemotherapy in locally advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:953-962.

^fBradley JD, Paulus R, Komaki R, et al. Standard-dose versus high-dose conformal radiotherapy with concurrent and consolidation carboplatin plus paclitaxel with or without cetuximab for patients with stage IIIA or IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer (RTOG 0617): a randomised, two-by-two factorial phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:187-199.

^gBelani CP, Choy H, Bonomi P, et al. Combined chemoradiotherapy regimens of paclitaxel and carboplatin for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomized phase II locally advanced multi-modality protocol. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23:5883-5891.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR ADVANCED OR METASTATIC DISEASE (1 OF 4)

ADVANCED DISEASE:

- The drug regimen with the highest likelihood of benefit with toxicity deemed acceptable to both the physician and the patient should be given as initial therapy for advanced lung cancer.
- Stage, weight loss, performance status, and gender predict survival.
- Platinum-based chemotherapy prolongs survival, improves symptom control, and yields superior quality of life compared to best supportive care.
- Histology of NSCLC is important in the selection of systemic therapy.
- New agent/platinum combinations have generated a plateau in overall response rate ($\approx 25\%$ – 35%), time to progression (4–6 mo), median survival (8–10 mo), 1-year survival rate (30%–40%), and 2-year survival rate (10%–15%) in fit patients.
- Unfit patients of any age (performance status 3–4) do not benefit from cytotoxic treatment, except erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib for *EGFR* mutation-positive and crizotinib for *ALK*-positive tumors of nonsquamous NSCLC or NSCLC NOS.

First-line Therapy

- There is superior efficacy and reduced toxicity for cisplatin/pemetrexed in patients with nonsquamous histology, in comparison to cisplatin/gemcitabine.
- There is superior efficacy for cisplatin/gemcitabine in patients with squamous histology, in comparison to cisplatin/pemetrexed.
- Two drug regimens are preferred; a third cytotoxic drug increases response rate but not survival. Single-agent therapy may be appropriate in select patients.
- Response assessment after 2 cycles, then every 2–4 cycles with CT of known sites of disease with or without contrast or when clinically indicated.

Maintenance Therapy

- Continuation maintenance refers to the use of at least one of the agents given in first line, beyond 4–6 cycles, in the absence of disease progression. Switch maintenance refers to the initiation of a different agent, not included as part of the first-line regimen, in the absence of disease progression, after 4–6 cycles of initial therapy.

Subsequent Therapy

- Response assessment with CT of known sites of disease with or without contrast every 6–12 weeks.

[See First-line Systemic Therapy Options for Adenocarcinoma, Large cell, NSCLC NOS on NSCL-F \(2 of 4\)](#)

[See First-line Systemic Therapy Options for Squamous Cell Carcinoma on NSCL-F \(3 of 4\)](#)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR ADVANCED OR METASTATIC DISEASE (2 of 4)†

First-line Systemic Therapy Options

Adenocarcinoma, Large Cell, NSCLC NOS (PS 0-1)

- Bevacizumab/carboplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)^{1,*,**,***}
- Bevacizumab/carboplatin/pemetrexed^{2,*,**,***}
- Bevacizumab/cisplatin/pemetrexed^{3,**,***}
- Carboplatin/albumin-bound paclitaxel (category 1)⁴
- Carboplatin/docetaxel (category 1)⁵
- Carboplatin/etoposide (category 1)^{6,7}
- Carboplatin/gemcitabine (category 1)⁸
- Carboplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)⁹
- Carboplatin/pemetrexed (category 1)¹⁰
- Cisplatin/docetaxel (category 1)⁵
- Cisplatin/etoposide (category 1)¹¹
- Cisplatin/gemcitabine (category 1)^{9,12}
- Cisplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)¹³
- Cisplatin/pemetrexed (category 1)¹²
- Gemcitabine/docetaxel (category 1)¹⁴
- Gemcitabine/vinorelbine (category 1)¹⁵

Adenocarcinoma, Large Cell, NSCLC NOS (PS 2)

- Albumin-bound paclitaxel¹⁶
- Carboplatin/albumin-bound paclitaxel^{17,18}
- Carboplatin/docetaxel⁵
- Carboplatin/etoposide^{6,7}
- Carboplatin/gemcitabine⁸
- Carboplatin/paclitaxel⁹
- Carboplatin/pemetrexed¹⁰
- Docetaxel^{19,20}
- Gemcitabine²¹⁻²³
- Gemcitabine/docetaxel¹⁴
- Gemcitabine/vinorelbine¹⁵
- Paclitaxel²⁴⁻²⁶
- Pemetrexed²⁷

†Albumin-bound paclitaxel may be substituted for either paclitaxel or docetaxel in patients who have experienced hypersensitivity reactions after receiving paclitaxel or docetaxel despite premedication, or for patients where the standard premedications (ie, dexamethasone, H2 blockers, H1 blockers) are contraindicated.

*Bevacizumab should be given until progression.

**Any regimen with a high risk of thrombocytopenia and the potential risk of bleeding should be used with caution in combination with bevacizumab.

***Criteria for treatment with bevacizumab: non-squamous NSCLC, and no recent history of hemoptysis. Bevacizumab should not be given as a single agent, unless as maintenance if initially used with chemotherapy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR ADVANCED OR METASTATIC DISEASE (3 of 4)^{†,††}

First-line Systemic Therapy Options

Squamous Cell Carcinoma (PS 0-1)

- Carboplatin/albumin-bound paclitaxel (category 1)⁴
- Carboplatin/docetaxel (category 1)⁵
- Carboplatin/gemcitabine (category 1)⁸
- Carboplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)⁹
- Cisplatin/docetaxel (category 1)⁵
- Cisplatin/etoposide (category 1)¹¹
- Cisplatin/gemcitabine (category 1)^{9,12}
- Cisplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)¹³
- Gemcitabine/docetaxel (category 1)¹⁴
- Gemcitabine/vinorelbine (category 1)¹⁵

Squamous Cell Carcinoma (PS 2)

- Albumin-bound paclitaxel¹⁶
- Carboplatin/albumin-bound paclitaxel^{17,18}
- Carboplatin/docetaxel⁵
- Carboplatin/etoposide^{6,7}
- Carboplatin/gemcitabine⁸
- Carboplatin/paclitaxel⁹
- Docetaxel^{19,20}
- Gemcitabine²¹⁻²³
- Gemcitabine/docetaxel¹⁴
- Gemcitabine/vinorelbine¹⁵
- Paclitaxel²⁴⁻²⁶

[†]Albumin-bound paclitaxel may be substituted for either paclitaxel or docetaxel in patients who have experienced hypersensitivity reactions after receiving paclitaxel or docetaxel despite premedication, or for patients where the standard premedications (ie, dexamethasone, H2 blockers, H1 blockers) are contraindicated.

^{††}Cisplatin/gemcitabine/necitumumab in the first-line setting and erlotinib or afatinib in the second-line setting are not used at NCCN institutions for these indications related to the efficacy and safety of these agents compared to the efficacy and safety of other available agents.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

SYSTEMIC THERAPY FOR ADVANCED OR METASTATIC DISEASE (4 of 4)

- ¹Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:2542-2550.
- ²Patel JD, Socinski MA, Garon EB, et al. Pointbreak: a randomized phase III study of pemetrexed plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance pemetrexed and bevacizumab versus paclitaxel plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance bevacizumab in patients with stage IIIB or IV nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:4349-4357.
- ³Barlesi F, Scherpereel A, Rittmeyer A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of maintenance bevacizumab with or without pemetrexed after first-line induction with bevacizumab, cisplatin, and pemetrexed in advanced nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer: AVAPERL. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3004-3011.
- ⁴Socinski MA, Bondarenko I, Karaseva NA, et al. Weekly nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin versus solvent-based paclitaxel plus carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: final results of a phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2055-2062.
- ⁵Fossella F, Periera JR, von Pawel J, et al. Randomized, multinational, phase III study of docetaxel plus platinum combinations versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TAX 326 study group. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21(16):3016-3024.
- ⁶Klastersky J, Sculier JP, Lacroix H, et al. A randomized study comparing cisplatin or carboplatin with etoposide in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Protocol 07861. *J Clin Oncol* 1990;8:1556-1562.
- ⁷Frasci G, Comella P, Panza N, et al. Carboplatin-oral etoposide personalized dosing in elderly non-small cell lung cancer patients. Gruppo Oncologico Cooperativo Sud-Italia. *Eur J Cancer* 1998;34:1710-1714.
- ⁸Danson S, Middleton MR, O'Byrne KJ, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine and carboplatin versus mitomycin, ifosfamide, and cisplatin or mitomycin, vinblastine, and cisplatin in patients with advanced non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003;98:542-553.
- ⁹Ohe Y, Ohashi Y, Kubota K, et al. Randomized phase III study of cisplatin plus irinotecan versus carboplatin plus paclitaxel, cisplatin plus gemcitabine, and cisplatin plus vinorelbine for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Four-Arm Cooperative Study in Japan. *Ann Oncol* 2007;18:317-323.
- ¹⁰Scagliotti GV, Kortsik C, Dark GG, et al. Pemetrexed combined with oxaliplatin or carboplatin as first-line treatment in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a multicenter, randomized, phase II trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:690-696.
- ¹¹Cardenal F, Lopez-Cabrerizo MP, Anton A, et al. Randomized phase III study of gemcitabine-cisplatin versus etoposide-cisplatin in the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:12-18.
- ¹²Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, von Pawel J, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naive patients with advanced-stage NSCLC. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:3543-3551.
- ¹³Pujol JL, Breton JL, Gervais R, et al. Gemcitabine-docetaxel versus cisplatin-vinorelbine in advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III study addressing the case for cisplatin. *Ann Oncol* 2005;16:602-610.
- ¹⁴Tan EH, Szczesna A, Krzakowski M, et al. Randomized study of vinorelbine-gemcitabine versus vinorelbine-carboplatin in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2005;49:233-240.
- ¹⁵Green M, Manikhas G, Orlov S, et al. Abraxane®, a novel Cremophor®-free, albumin-bound particle form of paclitaxel for the treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2006;17:1263-1268.
- ¹⁶Rizvi N, Riely G, Azzoli C, et al. Phase I/II Trial of Weekly Intravenous 130-nm Albumin-Bound Paclitaxel As Initial Chemotherapy in Patients With Stage IV Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:639-643.
- ¹⁷Socinski MA, Bondarenko I, Karaseva NA, et al. Weekly nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin versus solvent-based paclitaxel plus carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: final results of a phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2055-2062.
- ¹⁸Fossella FV, DeVore R, Kerr RN, et al. Randomized phase III trial of docetaxel versus vinorelbine or ifosfamide in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer previously treated with platinum-containing chemotherapy regimens. The TAX 320 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:2354-2362.
- ¹⁹Fidias PM, Dakhlil SR, Lyss AP, et al. Phase III study of immediate compared with delayed docetaxel after front-line therapy with gemcitabine plus carboplatin in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:591-598.
- ²⁰Zatloukal P, Kanitz E, Magyar P, et al. Gemcitabine in locally advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: the Central European phase II study. *Lung Cancer* 1998;22:243-250.
- ²¹Sederholm C, Hillerdal G, Lamberg K, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine plus carboplatin versus single agent gemcitabine in the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: the Swedish Lung Cancer Study group. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:8380-8288.
- ²²Perol M, Chouaid C, Perol D, et al. Randomized, phase III study of gemcitabine or erlotinib maintenance therapy versus observation, with predefined second-line treatment, after cisplatin-gemcitabine induction chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3516-3524.
- ²³Lilenbaum RC, Herndon JE, List MA, et al. Single-agent versus combination chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: the cancer and leukemia group B (study 9730). *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:190-196.
- ²⁴Ceresoli GL, Gregorc V, Cordio S, et al. Phase II study of weekly paclitaxel as second-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2004;44:231-239.
- ²⁵Yasuda K, Igishi T, Kawasaki Y, et al. Phase II study of weekly paclitaxel in patients with non-small cell lung cancer who have failed previous treatments. *Oncology* 2004;66:347-352.
- ²⁶Hanna NH, Sheperd FA, Fossella FV, et al. Randomized phase III study of pemetrexed versus docetaxel in patients with non-small cell lung cancer previously treated with chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:1589-1597.
- ²⁷Schiller JH, Harrington D, Belani CP, et al. Comparison of four chemotherapy regimens for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:92-98.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



CANCER SURVIVORSHIP CARE

NSCLC Long-term Follow-up Care

- **Cancer Surveillance**
 - ▶ H&P and a chest CT scan ± contrast every 6–12 months for 2 years, then H&P and a non-contrast–enhanced chest CT scan annually
 - ▶ Smoking status assessment at each visit; counseling and referral for cessation as needed.
- **Immunizations**
 - ▶ Annual influenza vaccination
 - ▶ Herpes zoster vaccine
 - ▶ Pneumococcal vaccination with revaccination as appropriate
- Counseling Regarding Health Promotion and Wellness¹
 - Maintain a healthy weight
 - Adopt a physically active lifestyle (Regular physical activity: 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity on most days of the week)
 - Consume a healthy diet with emphasis on plant sources
 - Limit consumption of alcohol if one consumes alcoholic beverages

Additional Health Monitoring

- Routine blood pressure, cholesterol, and glucose monitoring
- Bone health: Bone density testing as appropriate
- Dental health: Routine dental examinations
- Routine sun protection

Resources

- National Cancer Institute Facing Forward: Life After Cancer Treatment
<http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/life-after-treatment/allpages>

Cancer Screening Recommendations^{2,3}

These recommendations are for average-risk individuals and high-risk patients should be individualized.

- **Colorectal Cancer:**
[See NCCN Guidelines for Colorectal Cancer Screening](#)
- **Prostate Cancer:**
[See NCCN Guidelines for Prostate Cancer Early Detection](#)
- **Breast Cancer:**
[See NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening](#)

¹ACS Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for Cancer Prevention:

<http://www.cancer.org/healthy/eathealthygetactive/acsguidelinesonnutritionphysicalactivityforcancerprevention/index?sitearea=PED>.

²Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Screening Guidelines: <https://www.mskcc.org/cancer-care/risk-assessment-screening/screening-guidelines>.

³American Cancer Society Guidelines for Early Detection of Cancer:

<http://www.cancer.org/healthy/findcancerearly/cancerscreeningguidelines/american-cancer-society-guidelines-for-the-early-detection-of-cancer?sitearea=PED>.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

EMERGING TARGETED AGENTS FOR PATIENTS WITH GENETIC ALTERATIONS

Genetic Alteration (ie, Driver event)	Available Targeted Agents with Activity Against Driver Event in Lung Cancer
<i>BRAF</i> V600E mutation* *Non-V600E mutations have variable kinase activity and response to these agents.	vemurafenib ^{1,2} dabrafenib ^{2,3} dabrafenib + trametinib ⁴
High-level <i>MET</i> amplification or <i>MET</i> exon 14 skipping mutation	crizotinib ⁵⁻⁹
<i>RET</i> rearrangements	cabozantinib ^{10,11} vandetanib ¹²
<i>HER2</i> mutations	trastuzumab ¹³ (category 2B) afatinib ¹⁴ (category 2B)

¹Hyman DM, Puzanov I, Subbiah V, et al. Vemurafenib in multiple nonmelanoma cancers with BRAF V600 mutations. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:726-736.

²Gautschi O, Milia J, Cabarrou B, et al. Targeted Therapy for Patients with BRAF-Mutant Lung Cancer: Results from the European EURAF Cohort. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:1451-1457.

³Planchard D, Kim TM, Mazieres J, et al. Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF (V600E)-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a single arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;17:642-650.

⁴Planchard D, Besse B, Groen HJ, et al. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously treated BRAF(V600E)-mutant metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: an open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;17:984-993.

⁵Ou SH, Kwak EL, Siwak-Tapp C, et al. Activity of crizotinib (PF02341066), a dual mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor, in a non-small cell lung cancer patient with de novo MET amplification. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:942-946.

⁶Camidge RD, Ou S-HI, Shapiro G, et al. Efficacy and safety of crizotinib in patients with advanced c-MET-amplified non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32(Suppl 5): Abstract 8001.

⁷Frampton GM, Ali SM, Rosenzweig M, et al. Activation of MET via diverse exon 14 splicing alterations occurs in multiple tumor types and confers clinical sensitivity to MET inhibitors. *Cancer Discov* 2015;5:850-859.

⁸Paik PK, Drilon A, Fan PD, et al. Response to MET inhibitors in patients with stage IV lung adenocarcinomas harboring MET mutations causing exon 14 skipping. *Cancer Discov* 2015;5:842-849.

⁹Awad MM, Oxnard GR, Jackman DM, et al. MET exon 14 mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer are associated with advanced age and stage-dependent MET genomic amplification and cMET overexpression. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:721-730.

¹⁰Drilon A, Wang L, Hasanovic A, et al. Response to cabozantinib in patients with RET fusion-positive lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Discov* 2013; 3:630-635.

¹¹Drilon AE, Sima CS, Somwar R, et al. Phase II study of cabozantinib for patients with advanced RET-rearranged lung cancers. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33: Abstract 8007.

¹²Lee S-H, Lee J-K, Ahn M-J, et al. A phase II study of vandetanib in patients with non-small cell lung cancer harboring RET rearrangement [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34: Abstract 9013.

¹³Cappuzzo F, Bemis L, Varella-Garcia M. HER2 mutation and response to trastuzumab therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:2619-2621.

¹⁴Mazieres J, Peters S, Lepage B, et al. Lung cancer that harbors an HER2 mutation: epidemiologic characteristics and therapeutic perspectives. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1997-2003.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any patient with cancer is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

**Table 1. Definitions for T, N, M***

T	Primary Tumor	N	Regional Lymph Nodes
TX	Primary tumor cannot be assessed, or tumor proven by the presence of malignant cells in sputum or bronchial washings but not visualized by imaging or bronchoscopy	NX	Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
T0	No evidence of primary tumor	N0	No regional lymph node metastasis
Tis	Carcinoma in situ	N1	Metastasis in ipsilateral peribronchial and/or ipsilateral hilar lymph nodes and intrapulmonary nodes, including involvement by direct extension
T1	Tumor ≤3 cm in greatest dimension, surrounded by lung or visceral pleura, without bronchoscopic evidence of invasion more proximal than the lobar bronchus (i.e., not in the main bronchus) ^a	N2	Metastasis in ipsilateral mediastinal and/or subcarinal lymph node(s)
	T1a Tumor ≤2 cm in greatest dimension	N3	Metastasis in contralateral mediastinal, contralateral hilar, ipsilateral or contralateral scalene, or supraclavicular lymph node(s)
	T1b Tumor >2 cm but ≤3 cm in greatest dimension		
T2	Tumor >3 cm but ≤7 cm or tumor with any of the following features: ^b	M	Distant Metastasis
	Involves main bronchus, ≥2 cm distal to the carina	MX	Distant metastasis cannot be assessed
	Invades visceral pleura	M0	No distant metastasis
	Associated with atelectasis or obstructive pneumonitis that extends to the hilar region but does not involve the entire lung	M1	Distant metastasis
	T2a Tumor >3 cm but ≤5 cm in greatest dimension	M1a	Separate tumor nodule(s) in a contralateral lobe; tumor with pleural nodules or malignant pleural (or pericardial) effusion ^c
	T2b Tumor >5 cm but ≤7 cm in greatest dimension	M1b	Distant metastasis
T3	Tumor >7 cm or one that directly invades any of the following: chest wall (including superior sulcus tumors), diaphragm, phrenic nerve, mediastinal pleura, parietal pericardium; or tumor in the main bronchus <2 cm distal to the carina ^a but without involvement of the carina; or associated atelectasis or obstructive pneumonitis of the entire lung or separate tumor nodule(s) in the same lobe		
T4	Tumor of any size that invades any of the following: mediastinum, heart, great vessels, trachea, recurrent laryngeal nerve, esophagus, vertebral body, carina; separate tumor nodule(s) in a different ipsilateral lobe		

^aThe uncommon superficial spreading tumor of any size with its invasive component limited to the bronchial wall, which may extend proximally to the main bronchus, is also classified as T1.

^bT2 tumors with these features are classified T2a if ≤5 cm or if size cannot be determined, and T2b if >5 cm but ≤7 cm.

^cMost pleural (and pericardial) effusions with lung cancer are due to tumor. In a few patients, however, multiple cytopathologic examinations of pleural (pericardial) fluid are negative for tumor, and the fluid is nonbloody and is not an exudate. Where these elements and clinical judgment dictate that the effusion is not related to the tumor, the effusion should be excluded as a staging element and the patient should be classified as T1, T2, T3, or T4.

*Used with permission. Goldstraw P, Crowley J, Chansky K, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM classification of malignant tumors. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:706-714.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Staging Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Table 2. Anatomic Stage and Prognostic Groups

Occult Carcinoma	TX	N0	M0	Stage IIIA	T1a	N2	M0	
					T1b	N2	M0	
Stage 0	Tis	N0	M0		T2a	N2	M0	
Stage IA	T1a	N0	M0		T2b	N2	M0	
	T1b	N0	M0		T3	N1	M0	
Stage IB	T2a	N0	M0		T3	N2	M0	
					T4	N0	M0	
Stage IIA	T2b	N0	M0		T4	N1	M0	
	T1a	N1	M0		Stage IIIB	T1a	N3	M0
	T1b	N1	M0			T1b	N3	M0
T2a	N1	M0	T2a	N3		M0		
Stage IIB	T2b	N1	M0	T2b		N3	M0	
	T3	N0	M0	T3		N3	M0	
				T4		N2	M0	
				T4	N3	M0		
Stage IIB	T2b	N1	M0	Stage IV	Any T	Any N	M1a	
	T3	N0	M0		Any T	Any N	M1b	

Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original and primary source for this information is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010) published by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC (SBM). (For complete information and data supporting the staging tables, visit www.springer.com.) Any citation or quotation of this material must be credited to the AJCC as its primary source. The inclusion of this information herein does not authorize any reuse or further distribution without the expressed, written permission of Springer SBM, on behalf of the AJCC.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Staging Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Table 3. Descriptors, T and M Categories, and Stage Grouping*

6th Edition T/M Descriptor	7th Edition T/M	N0	N1	N2	N3
T1 (≤2 cm)	T1a	IA	IIA	IIIA	IIIB
T1 (<2–3 cm)	T1b	IA	IIA	IIIA	IIIB
T2 (≤5 cm)	T2a	IB	IIA	IIIA	IIIB
T2 (<5–7 cm)	T2b	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB
T2 (>7 cm)	T3	IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB
T3 invasion		IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB
T4 (same lobe nodules)		IIB	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB
T4 extension	T4	IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIB
M1 (ipsilateral lung)		IIIA	IIIA	IIIB	IIIB
T4 (pleural effusion)	M1a	IV	IV	IV	IV
M1 (contralateral lung)		IV	IV	IV	IV
M1 (distant)	M1b	IV	IV	IV	IV

Cells in bold indicate a change from the sixth edition for a particular TNM category.

*Used with permission. Goldstraw P, Crowley J, Chansky K, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM classification of malignant tumors. J Thorac Oncol 2007;2:706-714.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Discussion

This discussion is being updated to correspond with the newly updated algorithm. Last updated 01/11/16

NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus

Category 1: Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2A: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents

Overview.....	MS-3
Literature Search Criteria and Guidelines Update Methodology	3
Risk Factors	MS-3
Smoking Cessation	MS-4
Lung Cancer Screening.....	MS-5
Classification and Prognostic Factors.....	MS-5
Diagnostic Evaluation of Lung Nodules.....	MS-5
Larger Tumors.....	MS-6


Pathologic Evaluation of Lung Cancer	MS-7
Adenocarcinoma	MS-7
Immunohistochemical Staining	MS-8
Staging	MS-9
Predictive and Prognostic Biomarkers	MS-9
EGFR Mutations.....	MS-11
ALK Gene Rearrangements	MS-12
KRAS Mutations.....	MS-14
Treatment Approaches	MS-14
Surgery	MS-14
Lymph Node Dissection	MS-15
Stage IIIA N2 Disease.....	MS-16
Thorascopic Lobectomy.....	MS-16
Radiation Therapy	MS-17
General Principles	MS-17
Target Volumes, Prescription Doses, and Normal Tissue Dose Constraints	MS-18
Radiation Simulation, Planning, and Delivery.....	MS-19
Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy	MS-19
Whole Brain RT and Stereotactic Radiosurgery	MS-20
Combined Modality Therapy.....	MS-21



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017

Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Surgery Followed by Chemotherapy: Trial Data	MS-22	Trial Data	MS-45
Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Surgery: Trial Data	23	Number of Cycles of First-Line Systemic Therapy	MS-46
Chemoradiation: Trial Data	MS-24	Maintenance Therapy	MS-47
Chemotherapy: Trial Data	MS-24	Continuation of Erlotinib, Gefitinib, or Afatinib After Progression ...	47
Targeted Therapies	MS-26	Second-Line and Beyond (Subsequent) Systemic Therapy	MS-48
Maintenance Therapy	MS-32	References	MS-52
Clinical Evaluation	MS-34		
Additional Pretreatment Evaluation	MS-34		
Mediastinoscopy	MS-34		
Other Imaging Studies	MS-35		
Initial Therapy	MS-36		
Stage I, Stage II, and Stage IIIA Disease	MS-36		
Multiple Lung Cancers	MS-37		
Stage IIIB Disease	MS-38		
Stage IV Disease	MS-38		
Adjuvant Treatment	MS-39		
Chemotherapy or Chemoradiation	MS-39		
Radiation Therapy	MS-41		
Surveillance	MS-42		
Treatment of Recurrences and Distant Metastases	MS-43		



Overview

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in the United States. In 2015, an estimated 221,200 new cases (115,610 in men and 105,590 in women) of lung and bronchial cancer will be diagnosed, and 158,040 deaths (86,380 in men and 71,660 in women) are estimated to occur because of the disease.¹ Only 17.4% of all patients with lung cancer are alive 5 years or more after diagnosis.² However, much progress has been made recently for lung cancer such as screening, minimally invasive techniques for diagnosis and treatment, advances in radiation therapy (RT) including stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR), targeted therapies, and immunotherapies.³⁻⁶ Common symptoms of lung cancer include cough, dyspnea, weight loss, and chest pain; patients with symptoms are more likely to have chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.⁷

The NCCN Guidelines® for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) are updated at least once a year by the NCCN Panel (eg, there were 7 updates from January to December 2015). These NCCN Guidelines were first published in 1996.⁸ The *Summary of the Guidelines Updates* describes the most recent revisions to the algorithms, which have been incorporated into this updated Discussion text. By definition, the NCCN Guidelines cannot incorporate all possible clinical variations and are not intended to replace good clinical judgment or individualization of treatments.

Literature Search Criteria and Guidelines Update Methodology

Before the update of this version of the NCCN Guidelines for NSCLC, an electronic search of the PubMed database was performed to obtain key literature in NSCLC, published between June 1, 2014 and July 1, 2015 using the following search term: NSCLC. The PubMed database was chosen, because it is the most widely used resource for medical

literature and indexes only peer-reviewed biomedical literature. The search results were narrowed by selecting studies in humans published in English. Results were confined to the following article types: Clinical Trial, Phase 2; Clinical Trial, Phase 3; Clinical Trial, Phase 4; Guideline; Meta-Analysis; Randomized Controlled Trial; Systematic Reviews; and Validation Studies.

The PubMed search resulted in 245 citations and their potential relevance was examined. The data from key PubMed articles selected by the NCCN Panel for review during the NCCN Guidelines update meeting, as well as articles from additional sources deemed as relevant to these Guidelines and discussed by the NCCN Panel, have been included in this version of the Discussion section (eg, e-publications ahead of print, meeting abstracts). If high-level evidence is lacking, recommendations are based on the panel's review of lower-level evidence and expert opinion. The complete details of the development and update of the NCCN Guidelines are available on the NCCN [webpage](#).

Risk Factors

The primary risk factor for lung cancer is smoking tobacco, which accounts for most lung cancer-related deaths.⁹⁻¹³ Cigarette smoke contains many carcinogenic chemicals (eg, nitrosamines, benzo(a)pyrene diol epoxide).^{12,14} The risk for lung cancer increases with the number of packs of cigarettes smoked per day and with the number of years spent smoking (ie, pack-years of smoking history). Exposed nonsmokers also have an increased relative risk (RR = 1.24) of developing lung cancer from *secondhand smoke*; other studies have reported a modest risk (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.05).^{10,14-17}

Other possible risk factors for lung cancer include disease history (eg, COPD), cancer history, family history of lung cancer, and exposure to

other carcinogens (see the NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening, available at NCCN.org).^{18,19} The International Agency for Research on Cancer lists several agents known to cause lung cancer, including arsenic, chromium, asbestos, nickel, cadmium, beryllium, silica, and diesel fumes.²⁰⁻²² Asbestos is a known carcinogen that increases the risk for lung cancer in people exposed to airborne fibers, especially in individuals who smoke. It is estimated that about 3% to 4% of lung cancers are caused by asbestos exposure.²³ Asbestos also causes malignant pleural mesothelioma (see the NCCN Guidelines for Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma, available at NCCN.org). Radon gas, a radioactive gas that is produced by the decay of radium 226, also seems to cause lung cancer.

It is not clear whether hormone replacement therapy (HRT) affects the risk for lung cancer in women. More than 20 studies have been published, but the results have been inconsistent. In a large randomized controlled study,²⁴ no increase in the incidence of lung cancer was found among postmenopausal women treated with estrogen plus progestin HRT; however, the risk of death from NSCLC increased.²⁴ In women who received estrogen alone, the incidence or risk of death from lung cancer did not increase.²⁵

Smoking Cessation

Approximately 85% to 90% of cases of lung cancer are caused by cigarette smoking.¹¹ Active smoking and secondhand smoke both cause lung cancer. There is a causal relationship between active smoking and lung cancer and also between other cancers (eg, esophageal, oral cavity, laryngeal, pharyngeal, bladder, pancreatic, gastric, kidney, ovarian cancer, colorectal, and cervical cancers) and other diseases and conditions.¹¹ Smoking harms nearly every organ in the body; smokers have increased mortality compared with nonsmokers.²⁶ Those

who live with someone who smokes have an increased risk for lung cancer.¹⁵ Further complicating this problem, cigarettes also contain nicotine, which is a highly addictive substance.

Oncologists should encourage smoking cessation, especially in patients with cancer.²⁷⁻³⁰ The 5 A's framework is a useful tool (that is, Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange).³¹ It is in the best interest of patients to quit smoking. Persistent smoking is associated with second primary cancers, treatment complications, and decreased survival.³² Some surgeons will not operate on a current smoker. Programs using behavioral counseling combined with medications that promote smoking cessation (approved by the FDA) can be very useful.³³ For example, the American Cancer Society (ACS) has a *Guide to Quitting Smoking* as well as The E-Quit Study, which uses email to help smokers quit smoking.

Agents that can be used to promote smoking cessation include nicotine replacement (eg, gum, inhaler, lozenge, nasal spray, patch), bupropion sustained release, and varenicline.^{34,35} A recent study suggests that cytisine is more efficacious than nicotine replacement therapy, although more side effects were reported with cytisine such as nausea, vomiting, and sleep disorders.³⁶ Studies have shown that varenicline is better than bupropion or nicotine patch for smoking cessation.³⁷⁻³⁹ The effectiveness of varenicline for preventing relapse has not been clearly established.⁴⁰ The FDA has issued an alert for varenicline regarding neuropsychiatric symptoms. Varenicline has also been associated with other disorders (eg, visual disturbances, movement disorders, unconsciousness, cardiovascular disorders) and, therefore, is banned in truck and bus drivers, pilots, and air traffic controllers.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ Other side effects with varenicline include nausea, abnormal dreams, insomnia, and headache.^{39,45,46} Bupropion may also be associated with similar serious neuropsychiatric symptoms. Nicotine replacement has fewer

adverse effects than varenicline or bupropion.⁴⁷ However, in spite of the potential adverse effects, it is probably more beneficial for motivated patients to use agents to promote smoking cessation.⁴⁷

Lung Cancer Screening

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide, and late diagnosis is a major obstacle to improving lung cancer outcomes.^{48,49} Because localized cancer can be managed with curative intent, and because the mortality rate in other solid tumors (eg, cervix, colon) seems to be decreased by screening and early detection, lung cancer is an appropriate candidate for a population-based screening approach.

The National Lung Screening Trial (NLST) (ACRIN Protocol A6654) was a randomized controlled study involving more than 53,000 current or former heavy smokers that assessed the risks and benefits of low-dose CT scans compared with chest radiographs for detecting lung cancer.⁵⁰ Data from the NLST showed that screening individuals with high-risk factors using low-dose CT decreased the mortality rate from lung cancer by 20%.⁵¹ Individuals with high-risk factors were either current or former smokers with a 30 or more pack-year smoking history (former smokers had quit up to 15 years before enrollment), were 55 to 74 years of age, and had no evidence of lung cancer.^{50,52} The NCCN, ACS, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, American College of Chest Physicians, European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), and other organizations recommend lung cancer screening using low-dose CT for select high-risk current and former smokers (see the NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening, available at [NCCN.org](#)).⁵³⁻⁵⁶ It is important to note that low-dose CT screening and follow-up is not a substitute for smoking cessation; patients should be offered smoking cessation counseling (see NCCN Guidelines for Smoking Cessation, available at [NCCN.org](#)).

Classification and Prognostic Factors

The WHO divides lung cancer into 2 major classes based on its biology, therapy, and prognosis: NSCLC (discussed in this guideline) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (see the NCCN Guidelines for Small Cell Lung Cancer, available at [NCCN.org](#)). NSCLC accounts for more than 83% of all lung cancer cases, and it includes 2 major types: 1) non-squamous carcinoma (including adenocarcinoma, large-cell carcinoma, and other cell types); and 2) squamous cell (epidermoid) carcinoma.² Adenocarcinoma is the most common type of lung cancer seen in the United States and is also the most frequently occurring histology in nonsmokers. In 2011, an international panel revised the classification of lung adenocarcinoma (see the *Pathologic Evaluation of Lung Cancer* in this Discussion).⁵⁷ Certain prognostic factors are predictive of survival in patients with NSCLC. Good prognostic factors include early-stage disease at diagnosis, good performance status (PS) (ECOG 0, 1, or 2), no significant weight loss (not more than 5%), and female gender.⁵⁸

Diagnostic Evaluation of Lung Nodules

Because lung cancer screening is now recommended for early diagnosis, algorithms for evaluating suspicious lung nodules are included in the NCCN Guidelines (see *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁹ The diagnostic algorithm for pulmonary nodules in the NCCN Guidelines for NSCLC incorporates information from the NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening. Risk assessment is used to determine which individuals are at high risk for lung cancer and thus are candidates for low-dose CT.

Solid and subsolid nodules are the 2 main types of pulmonary nodules that may be seen on low-dose CT scans. The Fleischner Society has recommendations for patients with solid and subsolid nodules.^{60,61}

Subsolid nodules include 1) nonsolid nodules also known as ground-glass opacities (GGOs) or ground-glass nodules (GGNs); and 2) part-solid nodules, which contain both ground-glass and solid components.^{60,62-64} Nonsolid nodules are mainly adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) or minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA), formerly known as bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (BAC) (see *Adenocarcinoma* in this Discussion); patients have 5-year disease-free survival of 100% if these nonsolid nodules are completely resected.^{57,60,62,63,65,66} Data suggest that many nonsolid nodules discovered incidentally on CT imaging will resolve and many of those that persist may not progress to clinically significant cancer.^{67,68} Solid and part-solid nodules are more likely to be invasive, faster-growing cancers, factors that are reflected in the increased suspicion and follow-up of these nodules (see *Follow-up* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁹⁻⁶¹

All findings and patient factors need to be carefully evaluated in a multidisciplinary diagnostic team before establishing a diagnosis of lung cancer and before starting treatment. The NCCN Guidelines recommend biopsy or surgical excision for highly suspicious nodules seen on low-dose CT scans or further surveillance for nodules with a low suspicion of cancer depending on the type of nodule and a multidisciplinary evaluation of other patient factors (see *Risk Assessment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For patients having repeat scans, the most important radiologic factor is change or stability of a nodule when compared with a previous imaging study. False-positive results (eg, benign intrapulmonary lymph nodes, noncalcified granulomas) frequently occurred with low-dose CT when using the original cutoffs for nodule size deemed suspicious for malignancy from the NLST.⁵¹ However, it is anticipated that the revised cutoff values for suspicious nodules recently recommended by the

American College of Radiology will decrease the false-positive rate from low-dose CT.^{69,70}

Larger Tumors

The NCCN Guidelines recommend that the diagnostic strategy should be individualized for each patient depending on the size and location of the tumor, the presence of mediastinal or distant disease, patient characteristics (eg, comorbidities), and local expertise. The diagnostic strategy needs to be decided in a multidisciplinary setting. Decisions regarding whether a biopsy (including what type of biopsy) or surgical excision is appropriate depend on several factors as outlined in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For example, a preoperative biopsy may be appropriate if an intraoperative diagnosis seems to be difficult or very risky. The preferred biopsy technique depends on the site of disease and is described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation*). For example, radial endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS; also known as endosonography), navigational bronchoscopy, or transthoracic needle aspiration (TTNA) are recommended for patients with suspected peripheral nodules.⁷¹ PET imaging is useful before selecting a biopsy site, because it is better to biopsy the site that will confer the highest stage. Patients with suspected nodal disease should be assessed by endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA), EBUS-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA), navigational bronchoscopy, or mediastinoscopy (see *Mediastinoscopy* in this Discussion and *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). EBUS provides access to nodal stations 2R/2L, 4R/4L, 7, 10R/10L, and other hilar nodal stations. EUS provides access to nodal stations 5, 7, 8, and 9.

If pathology results from biopsy or surgical excision indicate a diagnosis of NSCLC, then further evaluation and staging need to be done so that the patient's health care team can determine the most appropriate and effective treatment plan (see *Pathologic Evaluation of Lung Cancer* and *Staging* in this Discussion and the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Diagnosis, staging, and planned resection (eg, lobectomy) are ideally one operative procedure for patients with early-stage disease (see the *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). A preoperative or intraoperative tissue diagnosis of lung cancer should be established before doing a lobectomy.

Pathologic Evaluation of Lung Cancer

Pathologic evaluation is performed to classify the histologic type of the lung cancer, determine the extent of invasion, determine whether it is primary lung cancer or metastatic cancer, establish the cancer involvement status of the surgical margins (ie, positive or negative margins), and do molecular diagnostic studies to determine whether certain gene alterations are present (eg, epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR] mutations) (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁷² Data show that targeted therapy is potentially very effective in patients with specific gene mutations or rearrangements (see *EGFR Mutations* and *ALK Gene Rearrangements* in this Discussion).^{5,73-78} Preoperative evaluations include examination of the following: bronchial brushings, bronchial washings, sputum, FNA biopsy, core needle biopsy, endobronchial biopsy, and transbronchial biopsy.^{71,79} Minimally invasive techniques can be used to obtain specimens in patients with advanced unresectable NSCLC;^{80,81} however, diagnosis may be more difficult when using small biopsies and cytology.⁶⁵ The mediastinal lymph nodes are systematically sampled to determine the staging and therapeutic

options. Other lung diseases also need to be ruled out (eg, tuberculosis, sarcoidosis).^{82,83}

Lobectomy or pneumonectomy specimens are evaluated intraoperatively to determine the surgical resection margin status, diagnose incidental nodules discovered at the time of surgery, or evaluate the regional lymph nodes. Postoperative evaluation provides the pathology characteristics necessary for the classification of tumor type, staging, and prognostic factors. The surgical pathology report should include the WHO histologic classification for carcinomas of the lung.⁸⁴ In 2011, the classification for lung adenocarcinoma was revised by an international panel (see *Adenocarcinoma* in this Discussion).⁵⁷ The revised classification requires immunohistochemical, histochemical, and molecular studies (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁸⁵ In addition, the revised classification recommends that use of general categories (eg, NSCLC) should be minimized, because more effective treatment can be selected when the histology is known.

Adenocarcinoma

In the revised classification for adenocarcinoma, the categories of BAC or mixed subtype adenocarcinoma are no longer used.⁵⁷ If necessary, *former BAC* can be used. The categories for adenocarcinoma include: 1) AIS (formerly BAC), which is a preinvasive lesion; 2) MIA; 3) invasive adenocarcinoma (includes formerly nonmucinous BAC); and 4) variants of invasive adenocarcinoma (includes formerly mucinous BAC). Both AIS and MIA are associated with excellent survival if they are resected. The international panel and NCCN recommend that all patients with adenocarcinoma be tested for EGFR mutations; the NCCN Panel also recommends that these patients be tested for anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene rearrangements and other genetic alterations. The

terms---AIS, MIA, and large cell carcinoma---should not be used for small samples because of challenges with cytology specimens.⁵⁷

Immunohistochemical Staining

Immunostains are used to differentiate primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma from metastatic adenocarcinoma to the lung (eg, breast, prostate, colorectal), to distinguish adenocarcinoma from malignant mesothelioma, and to determine the neuroendocrine status of tumors. Immunohistochemical staining is described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). However, limited use of IHC in small tissue samples is recommended to conserve tumor tissue for molecular studies, especially in patients with advanced disease.^{81,86} For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel added a recommendation that IHC should be judiciously used to preserve tissue for molecular testing. Before using IHC, all findings should be assessed including routine H&E histology, clinical findings, imaging studies, and the patient's history. Although cytology can be used to distinguish adenocarcinomas from squamous cell carcinomas, IHC is also useful for poorly differentiated NSCLC in small biopsy and/or cytology specimens.^{57,87} Squamous cell carcinomas are often TTF-1 negative and p63 positive, whereas adenocarcinomas are usually TTF-1 positive.⁵⁷ These 2 markers may be sufficient to distinguish adenocarcinomas from squamous cell carcinomas.^{57,87} Other markers (eg, p40, Napsin A) may also be useful in distinguishing adenocarcinoma from squamous cell carcinoma.^{88,89}

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is valuable for distinguishing between malignant mesothelioma and lung adenocarcinoma.^{90,91} However, the NCCN Panel feels that malignant mesothelioma and lung adenocarcinoma can be distinguished using clinical impression, imaging, and a limited panel of immunomarkers (if needed) to preserve

tissue for molecular testing. The stains that are positive for adenocarcinoma include carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), B72.3, Ber-EP4, MOC-31, CD15, claudin-4, and TTF-1; these stains are negative for mesothelioma.⁹² Stains that are sensitive and specific for mesothelioma include WT-1, calretinin, D2-40 (podoplanin antibody),⁹³ HMBW-1, and cytokeratin 5/6.^{90,91} If needed, a panel of 4 markers can be used to distinguish mesothelioma from adenocarcinoma—2 are positive in mesothelioma and 2 are positive in adenocarcinoma but negative in mesothelioma—including calretinin, cytokeratin 5/6 (or WT-1), CEA, and MOC-31 (or B72.3, Ber-EP4, or BG-8).^{90,91,94}

An appropriate panel of immunohistochemical stains is recommended to rule out metastatic carcinoma to the lung if the primary origin of the carcinoma is uncertain. TTF-1 is very important for distinguishing primary lung adenocarcinoma from metastatic adenocarcinoma, because most primary adenocarcinomas are TTF-1 positive. TTF-1 is typically negative for squamous cell carcinoma.⁸⁷ However, TTF-1 is positive in tumors from patients with thyroid cancer.⁹⁵ In addition, thyroglobulin is present in tumors from patients with thyroid cancer, while it is negative in lung cancer tumors. Pulmonary adenocarcinoma of the lung is usually CK7+ and CK20-, whereas metastatic adenocarcinoma of the colorectum is usually CK7- and CK20+. CDX2 is a marker for metastatic gastrointestinal malignancies that can be used to differentiate them from primary lung tumors. All typical and atypical carcinoid tumors are positive for chromogranin and synaptophysin, whereas SCLC is negative in 25% of cases.

Although the cytologic diagnosis of NSCLC is generally reliable, it is more difficult to diagnose SCLC.^{71,87,96} However, many patients with SCLC have characteristic CT and clinical findings (eg, massive lymphadenopathy, mediastinal invasion). Most SCLCs are immunoreactive for TTF-1; they are typically negative for CK34βE12

and p63.^{97,98} Many SCLCs also stain positively for markers of neuroendocrine differentiation, including chromogranin A, neuron-specific enolase, neural cell adhesion molecule, and synaptophysin. However, these markers alone cannot be used to distinguish SCLC from NSCLC, because approximately 10% of NSCLCs are immunoreactive for at least one of these neuroendocrine markers.⁹⁹ Data suggest that microRNA expression can be used to distinguish SCLC from NSCLC.¹⁰⁰

Staging

The NCCN Guidelines use the AJCC (7th edition) staging system for lung cancer.¹⁰¹ The definitions for TNM and the stage grouping are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 of the staging tables (see *Definitions for T,N,M and Staging* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). The descriptors of the TNM classification scheme are summarized in Table 3 of the staging tables (see *Staging*). The lung cancer staging system was revised by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC)^{102,103} and was adopted by the AJCC.^{104,105} With the AJCC staging, locally advanced disease is stage III; advanced disease is stage IV. Pathologic staging uses both clinical staging information (which is noninvasive and includes medical history, physical examination, and imaging) and other invasive staging procedures (eg, thoracotomy, examination of lymph nodes using mediastinoscopy).¹⁰¹

From 2005 to 2011, the overall 5-year relative survival rate for lung cancer was 17.4% in the United States.² Of lung and bronchial cancer cases, 16% were diagnosed while the cancer was still confined to the primary site; 22% were diagnosed after the cancer had spread to regional lymph nodes or directly beyond the primary site; 57% were diagnosed after the cancer had already metastasized; and for the

remaining 5% the staging information was unknown. The corresponding 5-year relative survival rates were 54.8% for localized, 27.4% for regional, 4.2% for distant, and 7.5% for unstaged.² However, these data include SCLC, which has a poorer prognosis.

Five-year survival after lobectomy for pathologic stage I NSCLC ranges from 45% to 65%, depending on whether the patient has stage 1A or 1B disease and on the location of the tumor.¹⁰⁶ Another study in patients with stage I disease (n = 19,702) found that 82% had surgical resection and their 5-year overall survival was 54%; however, for untreated stage I NSCLC, 5-year overall survival was only 6%.¹⁰⁷ Of patients with stage I disease who refused surgery (although it was recommended), 78% died of lung cancer within 5 years.

Predictive and Prognostic Biomarkers

Several biomarkers have emerged as predictive and prognostic markers for NSCLC. A *predictive* biomarker is indicative of therapeutic efficacy, because there is an interaction between the biomarker and therapy on patient outcome. A *prognostic* biomarker is indicative of patient survival independent of the treatment received, because the biomarker is an indicator of the innate tumor aggressiveness (see *KRAS Mutations* at the end of this section).

Predictive biomarkers include the ALK fusion oncogene (fusion between ALK and other genes [eg, echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4]) and sensitizing EGFR mutations (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Emerging biomarkers include HER2 (also known as ERBB2) and BRAF V600E mutations, ROS1 and RET gene rearrangements, and high-level MET amplification or MET exon 14 skipping mutation (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). The presence

of the EGFR exon 19 deletion or exon 21 L858R mutation is predictive of treatment benefit from EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI) therapy; therefore, these mutations are referred to as *sensitizing* EGFR mutations (see *EGFR Mutations* in this Discussion).^{108,109} However, the presence of EGFR exon 19 deletions (LREA) or exon 21 L858R mutations does not appear to be prognostic of survival for patients with NSCLC, independent of therapy.¹¹⁰ The ALK fusion oncogene (ie, ALK gene rearrangement) is a predictive biomarker that has been identified in a small subset of patients with NSCLC (see *ALK Gene Rearrangements* in this Discussion and *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Other gene rearrangements (ie, gene fusions) have recently been identified (such as ROS1, RET) that are susceptible to targeted therapies.¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁶

Testing for ALK gene rearrangements and EGFR mutations is recommended (category 1) in the NSCLC algorithm for patients with nonsquamous NSCLC or NSCLC not otherwise specified (NOS) so that patients with these genetic abnormalities can receive effective treatment with targeted agents such as erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, crizotinib, ceritinib, and alectinib (see *Targeted Therapies* in this Discussion and in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).¹¹⁷⁻¹²¹ Although rare, patients with ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations can have mixed squamous cell histology.^{122,123} Therefore, testing for ALK rearrangements and EGFR mutations can be considered in patients with squamous cell histology if they are never smokers, small biopsy specimens were used for testing, or mixed histology was reported. EGFR, KRAS, and ALK genetic alterations do not usually overlap.^{124,125}

Patients with NSCLC may have other genetic alterations (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{73,126,127} Mutation screening

assays for detecting multiple biomarkers simultaneously (eg, Sequenom's MassARRAY® system, SNaPshot® Multiplex System) have been developed that can detect more than 50 point mutations, including EGFR.^{128,129} However, these multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) systems do not detect gene rearrangements, because they are not point mutations. ALK gene rearrangements can be detected using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (see *ALK Gene Rearrangements* in this Discussion). Broad molecular profiling systems, such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) (also known as massively parallel sequencing), can detect panels of mutations and gene rearrangements if the NGS platforms have been designed and validated to detect these genetic alterations.¹³⁰⁻¹³⁷ It is important to recognize that NGS requires quality control as much as any other diagnostic technique; because it is primer dependent, the panel of genes and abnormalities detected with NGS will vary depending on the design of the NGS platform. For example, some NGS platforms can detect both mutations and gene rearrangements, as well as copy number variation, but they are not uniformly present in all NGS assays being conducted either commercially or in institutional laboratories.

Other driver mutations and gene rearrangements (ie, driver events) are being identified such as HER2 (also known as ERBB2) and BRAF V600E mutations, ROS1 and RET gene rearrangements, and high-level MET amplification or MET exon skipping mutation.^{111,112,114,116,138-147} Targeted agents are available for patients with NSCLC who have these other genetic alterations, although they are FDA approved for other indications (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{135,148} Thus, the NCCN Panel strongly endorses broader molecular profiling (also known as precision medicine) to identify rare driver mutations to ensure that patients receive the most appropriate

treatment; patients may be eligible for clinical trials for some of these targeted agents.¹²⁰ Several online resources are available that describe NSCLC driver events such as DIRECT (DNA-mutation Inventory to Refine and Enhance Cancer Treatment)¹⁴⁹ and *My Cancer Genome*.^{128,150} The KRAS oncogene is a prognostic biomarker. The presence of KRAS mutations is prognostic of poor survival for patients with NSCLC when compared to the absence of KRAS mutations, independent of therapy (see *KRAS Mutations* in this Discussion).¹⁵¹ KRAS mutations are also predictive of lack of benefit from platinum/vinorelbine chemotherapy or EGFR TKI therapy.^{108,152,153} EGFR, KRAS, and ALK genetic alterations do not usually overlap.^{124,125} TKI therapy is not effective in patients with KRAS mutations and ALK gene rearrangements.

EGFR Mutations

In patients with NSCLC, the most commonly found EGFR mutations are deletions in exon 19 (Exon19del [with conserved deletion of the LREA sequence] in 45% of patients with EGFR mutations) and a mutation in exon 21 (L858R in 40%). Both mutations result in activation of the tyrosine kinase domain, and both are associated with sensitivity to the small molecule TKIs, such as erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib (see *Targeted Therapies* in this Discussion).¹⁵⁴ Thus, these mutations are referred to as sensitizing EGFR mutations. Previously, erlotinib was commonly used in the United States in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations because of restrictions on the use of gefitinib. However, gefitinib was recently re-approved by the FDA based on a phase 4 study and is now available in the United States.¹¹⁸ Afatinib is an oral TKI that inhibits the entire ErbB/HER family of receptors including EGFR and HER2.^{155,156} The FDA has approved afatinib for first-line treatment of patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC who have sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{157,158}

These sensitizing EGFR mutations are found in approximately 10% of Caucasian patients with NSCLC and up to 50% of Asian patients.¹⁵⁹ Other drug-sensitive mutations include point mutations at exon 21 (L861Q) and exon 18 (G719X).¹⁶⁰ Primary resistance to TKI therapy is associated with KRAS mutations and ALK gene rearrangements. Patients with exon 20 insertion mutations are also resistant to TKIs.¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶⁴ The EGFR T790M mutation is associated with acquired resistance to TKI therapy and has been reported in about 60% of patients with disease progression after initial response to erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib.^{134,165-171} Most patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations become resistant to erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib after about 8 to 16 months of TKI therapy.¹⁶⁶ However, studies suggest the T790M mutation may also occur in patients who have not previously received TKI therapy, although this is a rare event.¹⁷² For the 2016 update (Version 2), the NCCN Panel added a recommendation for osimertinib as second-line and beyond (subsequent) therapy for patients with EGFR T790M mutations who have progressed on sensitizing EGFR TKI therapy (eg, erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib) (see *Osimertinib* in this Discussion). Acquired resistance may be associated with histologic transformation from NSCLC to SCLC and with epithelial to mesenchymal transition (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁵

DNA mutational analysis is the preferred method to assess for EGFR status.¹⁷⁶⁻¹⁷⁸ Various DNA mutation detection assays can be used to determine the EGFR mutation status in tumor cells.¹⁷⁹ Direct sequencing of DNA corresponding to exons 18 to 21 (or just testing for exons 19 and 21) is a reasonable approach; however, more sensitive methods are available.^{159,177,180-182} Mutation screening assays using multiplex PCR (eg, Sequenom's MassARRAY® system, SNaPshot®

Multiplex System) can detect more than 50 point mutations, including EGFR.¹²⁹ NGS can also be used to detect EGFR mutations.¹³⁶

The predictive effects of the drug-sensitive EGFR mutations—Exon19del (LREA deletion) and L858R—are well defined. Patients with these mutations have a significantly better response to erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib.¹⁵⁴ Retrospective studies have shown an objective response rate of approximately 80% with a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 13 months to single-agent therapy in patients with a bronchioloalveolar variant of adenocarcinoma and a sensitizing EGFR mutation.¹⁰⁸ A prospective study has shown that the objective response rate in North American patients with non-squamous NSCLC and sensitizing EGFR mutations (53% Exon19del [LREA deletion], 26% L858R, and 21% other mutations) is 55% with a median PFS of 9.2 months.¹⁰⁹ EGFR mutation testing is not usually recommended in patients with pure squamous cell carcinoma unless they never smoked, if only a small biopsy specimen (ie, not a surgical resection) was used to assess histology, or if the histology is mixed.¹²² Data suggest that EGFR mutations can occur in patients with adenosquamous carcinoma, which is harder to discriminate from squamous cell carcinoma in small specimens.¹²²

Recent data suggest that erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib (instead of standard first-line chemotherapy) should be used as first-line systemic therapy in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations documented before first-line therapy.^{158,183-187} Data show that PFS is improved with use of EGFR TKI in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations when compared with standard chemotherapy, although overall survival is not statistically different.^{158,183,188} Patients receiving erlotinib have fewer treatment-related severe side effects and deaths when compared with those receiving chemotherapy.^{183,189} A recent phase 4 trial showed that gefitinib is safe and effective in patients with sensitizing EGFR

mutations.¹¹⁸ Based on these data and the FDA approvals, erlotinib and gefitinib are recommended (category 1) as first-line systemic therapy in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{118,183} In a phase 3 randomized trial, patients receiving afatinib had decreased cough, decreased dyspnea, and improved health-related quality of life when compared with those receiving cisplatin/pemetrexed.¹⁸⁹ Based on these data and the FDA approval, afatinib is also recommended (category 1) as first-line systemic therapy in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations.¹⁵⁸ However, afatinib was potentially associated with 4 treatment-related deaths, whereas there were none in the chemotherapy group.¹⁵⁸ A combined analysis (LUX 3 and LUX 6) reported a survival advantage in patients with exon 19 deletions who received afatinib when compared with chemotherapy.¹⁹⁰

ALK Gene Rearrangements

Estimates are that 2% to 7% of patients with NSCLC have ALK gene rearrangements, about 10,000 of whom live in the United States.⁷⁸ Patients with ALK rearrangements are resistant to EGFR TKIs but have similar clinical characteristics to those with EGFR mutations (ie, adenocarcinoma histology, never smokers, light smokers) except they are more likely to be men and may be younger.¹²⁷ In these selected populations, estimates are that about 30% of patients will have ALK rearrangements.^{127,191} ALK rearrangements are not routinely found in patients with squamous cell carcinoma. Although rare, patients with ALK gene rearrangements can have mixed squamous cell histology.¹²³ It can be challenging to accurately determine histology in small biopsy specimens; thus, patients may have mixed squamous cell histology (or squamous components) instead of pure squamous cell. The NCCN Panel recommends testing for ALK rearrangements if small biopsy specimens were used to assess histology, mixed histology was reported, or patients never smoked. A molecular diagnostic test (using

FISH) has been approved by the FDA for detecting ALK rearrangements and is a prerequisite before treatment with crizotinib. Studies suggest that IHC can be used to screen for ALK rearrangements; if positive, FISH analysis can be done to confirm ALK positivity.^{121,125,192-199} NGS can also be used to assess whether ALK rearrangements are present, if the platform has been appropriately designed and validated to detect ALK rearrangements.^{200,201}

Crizotinib—an inhibitor of ALK, ROS1, and some MET tyrosine kinases (high-level MET amplification or MET exon 14 skipping mutation)—is approved by the FDA for patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who have ALK gene rearrangements (ie, ALK-positive disease).^{111,202-206} Crizotinib yields very high response rates (>60%) when used in patients with advanced NSCLC who have ALK rearrangements, including those with brain metastases.^{78,202,207-209} Crizotinib has relatively few side effects (eg, eye disorders, edema, transient changes in renal function).^{208,210,211} However, a few patients have had life-threatening pneumonitis; crizotinib should be discontinued in these patients.²⁰⁴ Patients have responded rapidly to crizotinib with improvement in symptoms (eg, cough, dyspnea, pain); median time to progression on crizotinib is about 7 months to 1 year.^{212,213} Randomized phase 3 trials have compared crizotinib with standard second-line (ie, subsequent) chemotherapy (PROFILE 1007) and with standard first-line therapy (PROFILE 1014).^{5,202,214} First-line therapy with crizotinib improved PFS, response rate (74% vs. 45%; $P < .001$), lung cancer symptoms, and quality of life when compared with chemotherapy (pemetrexed with either cisplatin or carboplatin).²⁰² Based on this trial, crizotinib is recommended (category 1) for first-line therapy in patients with ALK-positive NSCLC (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Subsequent therapy with crizotinib improved PFS (7.7 vs. 3.0 months; $P < .001$) and response rate (65% vs. 20%; $P < .001$)

when compared with single-agent therapy (either docetaxel or pemetrexed) in patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who had progressed after first-line chemotherapy.²⁰³ Based on this trial, crizotinib is recommended as subsequent therapy in patients with ALK-positive disease. The phrase *subsequent* therapy was recently substituted for the terms *second-line or beyond* systemic therapy, because the line of therapy may vary depending on previous treatment with targeted agents.

For patients who progress on crizotinib, new ALK inhibitors include ceritinib and alectinib; others are in development.^{117,215-223} Ceritinib is an orally active TKI of ALK, which also inhibits the insulin-like growth factor--1 (IGF-1) receptor but not MET. A recent expanded phase 1 trial showed that ceritinib was very active in 122 patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who have ALK gene rearrangements.²¹⁷ The overall response rate to ceritinib was 56% in patients who had previously received crizotinib; the median PFS was 7 months. Based on this study, ceritinib was recently approved by the FDA for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who progress on or are intolerant to crizotinib. The NCCN Panel recommends ceritinib for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who have progressed on crizotinib or are intolerant to crizotinib based on the data from Shaw et al and the recent FDA approval.²¹⁷

Alectinib is another oral TKI of ALK, which also inhibits RET but not MET or ROS1. Two recent phase 2 trials in patients with ALK rearrangements showed that alectinib was very active in those who had progressed on crizotinib.^{117,224} In the larger trial (138 patients) by Ou et al, patients on alectinib had a response rate of 50% (95% CI, 41% to 59%), and median duration of response of 11.2 months (95% CI, 9.6 months to not reached).¹¹⁷ For central nervous system (CNS) disease, the control rate was 83% (95% CI, 74% to 91%), and the median

duration of response was 10.3 months (95% CI, 7.6 to 11.2 months). Of 84 patients with baseline CNS metastases, 23 (27%) had a complete CNS response to alectinib. Of 23 patients with baseline CNS metastases and no previous brain RT, 10 (43%) had a complete CNS response to alectinib. Most adverse events were only grade 1 to 2 (constipation, fatigue, and peripheral edema; 4 patients (3%) had grade 3 dyspnea. One death due to intestinal perforation may have been related to alectinib. The other phase 2 trial in 87 patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who had progressed on crizotinib reported that 48% of patients had an objective response to alectinib.²²⁴ Of 16 patients with baseline CNS metastases, 4 (25%) achieved a complete response in the CNS; 11 of these patients had previously received RT.²²⁴ One treatment-related death occurred due to hemorrhage. Based on these studies, alectinib was recently approved by the FDA for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who progress on or are intolerant to crizotinib. The NCCN Panel recommends alectinib (category 2A) for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who have progressed on crizotinib or are intolerant to crizotinib based on these 2 trials and recent FDA approval.^{117,224}

ALK rearrangements and sensitizing EGFR mutations are generally mutually exclusive.^{125,225,226} Thus, erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib are not recommended as subsequent therapy in patients with ALK rearrangements who relapse on crizotinib (see *ALK Positive: Subsequent Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{126,127} Likewise, crizotinib, ceritinib, and alectinib are not recommended for patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who relapse on erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib. For patients who progress on crizotinib, subsequent treatment for ALK-positive NSCLC includes ceritinib or alectinib (see *Ceritinib* and *Alectinib* in this Discussion and the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{117,208,227,228}

Continuing crizotinib may also be appropriate for patients who progress on crizotinib.²²⁹

KRAS Mutations

Data suggest that approximately 25% of patients with adenocarcinomas in a North American population have KRAS mutations; KRAS is the most common mutation.^{76,108,135,148,153} KRAS mutation prevalence is associated with cigarette smoking.²³⁰ Patients with KRAS mutations appear to have a shorter survival than patients with wild-type KRAS; therefore, KRAS mutations are prognostic biomarkers.^{151,153,231} KRAS mutational status is also predictive of lack of therapeutic efficacy with EGFR-TKIs; however, it does not appear to affect chemotherapeutic efficacy.^{76,108,152} Overlapping EGFR and KRAS mutations generally do not occur (<1%).^{124,125,232} Therefore, KRAS testing may identify patients who may not benefit from further molecular testing.^{120,152} Targeted therapy is not currently available for patients with KRAS mutations, although MEK inhibitors are in clinical trials.^{148,216,233}

Treatment Approaches

Surgery, RT, and systemic therapy are the 3 modalities most commonly used to treat patients with NSCLC. They can be used either alone or in combination depending on the disease status. In the following sections, the clinical trials are described that have led to the standard treatments.

Surgery

In general, for patients with stage I or II disease, surgery provides the best chance for cure.²³⁴ Thoracic surgical oncology consultation should be part of the evaluation of any patient being considered for curative local therapy. The overall plan of treatment and the necessary imaging studies should be determined before any nonemergency treatment is initiated. It is essential to determine whether patients can tolerate

surgery or whether they are medically inoperable; some patients deemed inoperable may be able to tolerate minimally invasive surgery and/or sublobar resection.²³⁴⁻²³⁸ Although frailty is an increasingly recognized predictor of surgical and other treatment morbidity, a preferred frailty assessment system has not been established.²³⁹⁻²⁴¹

The *Principles of Surgical Therapy* are described in the NSCLC algorithm and are summarized here (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Determination of resectability, surgical staging, and pulmonary resection should be performed by board-certified thoracic surgeons who should participate in multidisciplinary clinics and/or tumor boards for patients with lung cancer. Surgery may be appropriate for select patients with uncommon types of lung cancer (eg, superior sulcus, chest wall involvement) (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).²⁴² Patients with pathologic stage II or greater disease can be referred to a medical oncologist for evaluation. For resected stage IIIA, consider referral to a radiation oncologist. Treatment delays, because of poor coordination among specialists, should be avoided.

The surgical procedure used depends on the extent of disease and on the cardiopulmonary reserve of the patient. Lung-sparing anatomic resection (sleeve lobectomy) is preferred over pneumonectomy, if anatomically appropriate and if margin-negative resection can be achieved; lobectomy or pneumonectomy should be done if physiologically feasible.^{234,243,244} Sublobular resection, either segmentectomy (preferred) or wedge resection, is appropriate in select patients; the parenchymal resection margins are defined in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).²⁴⁵⁻²⁴⁹ Resection (including wedge resection) is preferred over ablation.^{234,244} Wide wedge resection may improve outcomes.²⁵⁰ Patients with medically inoperable disease may

be candidates for SABR, also known as stereotactic body RT (SBRT).²⁵¹ If SABR is considered for patients at high risk, a multidisciplinary evaluation is recommended (see *Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy* in this Discussion).²⁵²⁻²⁵⁴

Lymph Node Dissection

A randomized trial (ACOSOG Z0030) compared systematic mediastinal lymph node sampling versus complete lymphadenectomy during pulmonary resection in patients with either N0 (no demonstrable metastasis to regional lymph nodes) or N1 (metastasis to lymph nodes in the ipsilateral peribronchial and/or hilar region, including direct extension) NSCLC disease. In patients with early-stage disease who had negative nodes by systematic lymph node dissection, complete mediastinal lymph node dissection did not improve survival.^{255,256} Thus, systematic lymph node sampling is appropriate during pulmonary resection; one or more nodes should be sampled from all mediastinal stations. For right-sided cancers, an adequate mediastinal lymphadenectomy should include stations 2R, 4R, 7, 8, and 9. For left-sided cancers, stations 4L, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 should be sampled.²⁵⁵ Patients should have N1 and N2 node resection and mapping (American Thoracic Society map) with a minimum of 3 N2 stations sampled or a complete lymph node dissection. The lymph node map from the IASLC may be useful.²⁵⁷ Formal ipsilateral mediastinal lymph node dissection is indicated for patients undergoing resection for stage IIIA (N2) disease. For patients undergoing sublobular resection, the appropriate N1 and N2 lymph node stations should be sampled unless not technically feasible because sampling would substantially increase the surgical risk.

Sublobular resection, either segmentectomy (preferred) or wedge resection, is appropriate in select patients (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer): 1)

those who are not eligible for lobectomy; and 2) those with a peripheral nodule 2 cm or less with very low-risk features. Segmentectomy (preferred) or wedge resection should achieve parenchymal resection margins that are: 1) 2 cm or more; or 2) the size of the nodule or more.

Stage IIIA N2 Disease

The role of surgery in patients with pathologically documented stage IIIA (N2) disease is described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) and summarized here. Before treatment, it is essential to carefully evaluate for N2 disease using radiologic and invasive staging (ie, EBUS-guided procedures, mediastinoscopy, thorascopic procedures) and to discuss whether surgery is appropriate in a multidisciplinary team (which should include a board-certified thoracic surgeon).^{258,259} Randomized controlled trials suggest that surgery does not increase survival in these patients.^{260,261} However, one of these trials (EORTC) only enrolled patients with unresectable disease.²⁶¹ Most clinicians agree that resection is appropriate for patients with negative preoperative mediastinal nodes and with a single positive node (<3 cm) found at thoracotomy.²⁶² Neoadjuvant therapy is recommended for select patients. The optimal timing of RT in trimodality therapy (preoperative with chemotherapy or postoperative) is not established and controversial.^{263,264} In patients with N2 disease, 50% of the NCCN Member Institutions use neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy whereas 50% use neoadjuvant chemotherapy.²⁶⁵ However, there is no evidence that adding RT to induction regimens improves outcomes for patients with stage IIIA (N2) disease when compared with using chemotherapy alone.²⁶⁴ Clinicians also agree that resection is not appropriate for patients with multiple pathologically proven malignant lymph nodes greater than 3 cm; definitive chemoradiotherapy is recommended for these patients.

The NCCN Panel believes that surgery may be appropriate for select patients with N2 disease, especially those who respond to induction chemotherapy (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{258,266} However, it is controversial whether pneumonectomy after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy is appropriate.^{260,266-272} Patients with resectable N2 disease should not be excluded from surgery, because some of them may have long-term survival or may be cured.^{266,273}

Thorascopic Lobectomy

Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS), which is also known as thorascopic lobectomy, is a minimally invasive surgical treatment that is currently being investigated in all aspects of lung cancer (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{274,275} Published studies suggest that thorascopic lobectomy has several advantages over standard thoracotomy.²⁷⁶⁻²⁸⁰ Acute and chronic pain associated with thorascopic lobectomy is minimal; thus, this procedure requires a shorter length of hospitalization.^{281,282} Thorascopic lobectomy is also associated with low postoperative morbidity and mortality, minimal risk of intraoperative bleeding, or minimal locoregional recurrence.²⁸³⁻²⁸⁷ Thorascopic lobectomy is associated with less morbidity, fewer complications, and more rapid return to function than lobectomy by thoracotomy.²⁸⁸⁻²⁹¹

In patients with stage I NSCLC who had thorascopic lobectomy with lymph node dissection, the 5-year survival rate, long-term survival, and local recurrence were comparable to those achieved by routine open lung resection.²⁹²⁻²⁹⁶ Thorascopic lobectomy has also been shown to improve discharge independence in older populations and patients at high risk.^{297,298} Data show that thorascopic lobectomy improves the ability of patients to complete postoperative chemotherapy regimens.^{299,300} Based on its favorable effects on postoperative recovery

and morbidity, thorascopic lobectomy (including robotic-assisted approaches) is recommended in the NSCLC algorithm as an acceptable approach for patients who are surgically resectable (and have no anatomic or surgical contraindications) as long as standard principles of thoracic surgery are not compromised (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).³⁰¹⁻³⁰⁴ Robotic VATS seems to be more expensive with longer operating times than conventional VATS.^{305,306}

Radiation Therapy

The *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NSCLC algorithm include the following: 1) general principles for early-stage, locally advanced, and advanced NSCLC; 2) target volumes, prescription doses, and normal tissue dose constraints for early-stage, locally advanced, and advanced NSCLC; and 3) RT simulation, planning, and delivery.³⁰⁷⁻³¹² These RT principles are summarized in this section. Whole brain RT and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) for brain metastases are also discussed in this section. The abbreviations for RT are defined in the NSCLC algorithm (see Table 1 in *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

General Principles

Treatment recommendations should be made by a multidisciplinary team. Because RT has a potential role in all stages of NSCLC, as either definitive or palliative therapy, input from board-certified radiation oncologists who perform lung cancer RT as a prominent part of their practice should be part of the multidisciplinary evaluation or discussion for all patients with NSCLC. Uses of RT for NSCLC include: 1) definitive therapy for locally advanced NSCLC, generally combined with chemotherapy; 2) definitive therapy for early-stage NSCLC in patients with contraindications for surgery; 3) preoperative or postoperative therapy for selected patients treated with surgery; 4) therapy for limited

recurrences and metastases; and/or 5) palliative therapy for patients with incurable NSCLC.^{254,313-320} The goals of RT are to maximize tumor control and to minimize treatment toxicity. Advanced technologies such as 4D-conformal RT simulation, intensity-modulated RT/volumetric modulated arc therapy (IMRT/VMAT), image-guided RT, motion management strategies, and proton therapy have been shown to reduce toxicity and increase survival in nonrandomized trials.³²¹⁻³²⁵ CT-planned 3D-conformal RT is now considered to be the minimum standard.

Definitive RT, particularly SABR, is recommended for patients with early-stage NSCLC (ie, stage I-II, N0) who are medically inoperable or those who refuse surgery (see *Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy* in this Discussion).^{251,254,320,326} Interventional radiology ablation is an option for selected patients who are medically inoperable.^{234,327,328} By extrapolation from surgical data, adjuvant chemotherapy (category 2B) may be considered after definitive RT/SABR in patients with high-risk factors for recurrence (eg, large tumors >4 cm in size).^{252,329} SABR is also an option for patients at high surgical risk who cannot tolerate a lobectomy (eg, major medical comorbidity or severely limited lung function). However, resection is recommended for patients with early-stage NSCLC who are medically fit (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).³³⁰ Definitive chemoradiation is recommended for patients with stage II to III disease who are not appropriate surgical candidates.³³¹ Involved-field RT (also known as involved-field irradiation or IFI) is an option for treating nodal disease in patients with locally advanced NSCLC; IFI may offer advantages over elective nodal irradiation (ENI).³³²⁻³³⁵

For patients with advanced lung cancer (ie, stage IV) with extensive metastases, systemic therapy is recommended; palliative RT can be used for symptom relief and potentially for prophylaxis at primary or

distant sites.^{320,336-338} Shorter courses of palliative RT are preferred for patients with symptomatic chest disease who have poor PS and/or shorter life expectancy (eg, 17 Gy in 8.5 Gy fractions) (see Table 4 in the *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Higher dose and longer course thoracic RT (eg, ≥30 Gy in 10 fractions) are associated with modestly improved survival and symptoms, especially in patients with good PS.³³⁶ The RT recommendations for patients with stages I to IV are described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

The indications for using preoperative or postoperative chemoradiation or RT alone are described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). In patients with clinical stage I or II NSCLC who are upstaged to N2+ after surgery, postoperative chemotherapy can be administered followed by postoperative RT (also known as PORT) depending on the margin status (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{309,339} For clinical stage III NSCLC, definitive concurrent chemoradiation is recommended (category 1). However, the optimal management of patients with potentially operable stage IIIA NSCLC is controversial and is discussed in detail in the algorithm (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{258,260,271,340}

For patients undergoing preoperative therapy before surgical resection of stage IIIA NSCLC, some oncologists prefer chemotherapy alone rather than chemoradiotherapy for the preoperative treatment;²⁶⁴ RT should generally be given postoperatively if not given preoperatively. For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel revised the preoperative RT dose to 45 to 54 Gy based on a recent study;²⁶³ previously, the dose had been 45 to 50 Gy. NCCN Member Institutions

are evenly split in their use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy versus neoadjuvant chemoradiation in patients with stage IIIA N2 NSCLC.²⁵⁸ Similarly, some consider the need for pneumonectomy to be a contraindication to a combined modality surgical approach given the excess mortality observed in clinical trials,²⁶⁰ but NCCN Member Institutions are split on this practice as well.

Surgery is associated with potentially greater risk of complications, particularly stump breakdown and bronchopleural fistula, in a field that has had high-dose RT (eg, 60 Gy). Thus, surgeons are often wary of resection in areas that have previously received RT doses of more than 45 to 50 Gy, especially patients who have received definitive doses of concurrent chemoradiation (ie, ≥60 Gy) preoperatively. Soft tissue flap coverage and reduced intraoperative fluid administration and ventilator pressures can reduce the risk of these complications.³⁴¹⁻³⁴³ When giving preoperative RT to less than definitive doses (eg, 45 Gy), one should be prepared up front to continue to a full definitive dose of RT without interruption if the patient does not proceed to surgery for some reason. For these reasons, when considering trimodality therapy, the treatment plan---including assessment for resectability and the type of resection---should be decided before initiation of any therapy.

Target Volumes, Prescription Doses, and Normal Tissue Dose Constraints

The dose recommendations for preoperative, postoperative, definitive, and palliative RT are described in the *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NSCLC algorithm (see Table 4 in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{308,310,317,341-344} After surgery, lung tolerance to RT is much less than for patients with intact lungs. Although the dose volume constraints for conventionally fractionated RT for normal lungs are a useful guide (see Table 5 in *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the

NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer), more conservative constraints should be used for postoperative RT.

For definitive RT, the commonly prescribed dose is 60 to 70 Gy in 2 Gy fractions over 6 to 7 weeks.^{345,346} The use of higher RT doses is discussed in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).³⁴⁷⁻³⁵² Doses up to 74 Gy can be given if normal tissue constraints are respected.³⁵³ However, results from a phase 3 randomized trial (RTOG 0617) suggest that high-dose radiation using 74 Gy with concurrent chemotherapy does not improve survival, and might be harmful, when compared with a standard dose of 60 Gy.^{352,354-356} At higher RT doses, normal tissue constraints become even more important; the absolute numbers in the RT tables may need revising depending on several factors such as location of the tumor, pulmonary function tests, and PS.³⁵⁵

Reports 50, 62, and 83 from the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements provide a formalism for defining RT target volumes based on grossly visible disease, potential microscopic extension, and margins for target motion and daily positioning uncertainty (see Figure 1 in *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer);^{357,358} the ACR-ASTRO guidelines are also a helpful reference.^{321,359,360} It is essential to evaluate the dose volume histogram (DVH) of critical structures and to limit the doses to the organs at risk (such as spinal cord, lungs, heart, esophagus, and brachial plexus) to minimize normal tissue toxicity (see Table 5 in *Principles of Radiation Therapy*).³⁶¹ These constraints are mainly empirical and have for the most part not been validated rigorously.³⁶²⁻³⁶⁹ However, the QUANTEC review provides the most comprehensive estimates from clinical data of dose-response relationships for normal tissue complications.³⁷⁰⁻³⁷⁴ As previously

mentioned, for patients receiving postoperative RT, stricter DVH parameters should be considered for the lungs.

Radiation Simulation, Planning, and Delivery

Treatment planning should be based on CT scans obtained in the treatment position. Intravenous contrast CT scans are recommended for better target delineation whenever possible, especially in patients with central tumors or nodal involvement. FDG PET/CT can significantly improve target delineation accuracy, especially when there is atelectasis or contraindications to intravenous CT contrast.³⁷⁵ In the NSCLC algorithm, recommendations are provided for patients receiving chemoradiation (including those with compromised lung or cardiac function), photon beams, or IMRT (see *Radiation Therapy Simulation, Planning, and Delivery* in the *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{324,376-380} Respiratory motion should be managed. The report from the AAPM Task Group 76 is a useful reference for implementing a broad range of motion management strategies as described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Radiation Therapy Simulation, Planning, and Delivery* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).³⁸¹

Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy

SABR (also known as SBRT) uses short courses of very conformal and dose-intensive RT precisely delivered to limited-size targets.³⁸²⁻³⁸⁴ Studies, including prospective multi-institutional trials, have demonstrated the efficacy of SABR for patients with inoperable stage I NSCLC or for those who refuse surgery.^{254,385-388} With conventionally fractionated RT, 3-year survival is only about 20% to 35% in these patients, with local failure rates of about 40% to 60%.²⁵¹ In prospective clinical trials, local control and overall survival appear to be considerably increased with SABR, generally more than 85% and about 60% at 3 years (median survival, 4 years), respectively, in patients who are

medically inoperable.^{234,251,328,330,380,387,389-394} Substantially higher survival has been observed in patients with potentially operable disease who are treated with SABR; survival is comparable in population-based comparisons to surgical outcomes.^{330,386,395-399} However, it is not yet clear that use of SABR for medically operable patients provides long-term outcomes equivalent to surgery. Late recurrences have been reported more than 5 years after SABR, highlighting the need for careful surveillance.⁴⁰⁰ If possible, biopsy should confirm NSCLC before use of SABR.⁴⁰¹

SABR is recommended in the NSCLC algorithm for patients with stage I and II (T1-3,N0,M0) NSCLC who are medically inoperable; SABR is a reasonable alternative to surgery for patients with potentially operable disease who are high risk, elderly, or refuse surgery after appropriate consultation (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{234,388,390,402,403} Recently, a combined analysis of 2 randomized trials (that did not complete accrual) assessed SABR compared with lobectomy in operable patients.⁴⁰² Although the analysis does not alter the fact that lobectomy is the standard of care for operable patients, it strengthens the indication for SABR for patients with relative contraindications for surgery or those who refuse surgery. SABR can also be used for patients with limited lung metastases or limited metastases to other body sites.^{382,388,404-410} After SABR, assessment of recurrences by imaging can be challenging because of benign inflammatory/fibrotic changes that can remain FDG-PET avid for 2 or more years after treatment, emphasizing the importance of follow-up by a team with experience interpreting such post-treatment effects.^{411,412} This is particularly relevant, because selected patients with localized recurrences after SABR may benefit from surgery or re-treatment with SABR.⁴¹³⁻⁴¹⁷

SABR fractionation regimens and normal tissue constraints are provided in the NSCLC algorithm (see Tables 2 and 3 in the *Principles of Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{385,387,394,418-427} Although none of these dose constraints have been validated as maximally tolerated doses, outcomes of clinical trials to date suggest that they are safe constraints. The bronchial tree, esophagus, and brachial plexus are critical structures for SABR. Centrally located tumors include those within 2 cm in all directions of any mediastinal critical structure including the bronchial tree, esophagus, heart, brachial plexus, major vessels, spinal cord, phrenic nerve, and recurrent laryngeal nerve. Aggressive local therapy of oligometastatic disease in the adrenal gland remains controversial and thus is a category 2B recommendation; SRS or SABR for oligometastases to the brain or other body sites, respectively, may be useful in these settings (see *Stage IV, M1b: Limited Sites/Initial Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{242,388,428,429} However, local therapy combined with targeted therapy is a category 2A recommendation for patients with ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{430,431} Decisions about whether to recommend SABR should be based on multidisciplinary discussion. Hypofractionated or dose-intensified conventional 3D-conformal RT is an option if an established SABR program is not available.⁴³² Current nonrandomized clinical data indicate that local tumor control with SABR is higher than with interventional radiology ablation techniques. However, interventional radiology ablation may be appropriate for selected patients for whom local control is not necessarily the highest priority.^{234,254,328}

Whole Brain RT and Stereotactic Radiosurgery

Many patients with NSCLC have brain metastases (30%–50%), which substantially affect their quality of life.^{7,433} Options for treatment of single

brain metastases include surgery followed by whole brain RT (category 1) for selected patients (eg, with symptomatic metastases or when tumor tissue is needed), surgery followed by SRS, SRS followed by WBRT (category 1), or SRS alone (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and for Central Nervous System Cancers, available at NCCN.org).^{407,433-440} Decisions about whether to recommend surgery, whole brain RT, SRS, or combined modality therapy for brain metastases should be based on multidisciplinary discussion, weighing the potential benefit over the risk for each individual patient.^{434,441-443} Treatment should be individualized for patients with recurrent or progressive brain lesions.⁴⁴⁴

For multiple metastases (eg, >3), WBRT is a standard option, although SRS is also an option (see the NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers, available at NCCN.org).⁴⁴⁵⁻⁴⁴⁷ WBRT is associated with measurable declines in neurocognitive function in clinical trials, particularly with increasing dose and advanced age of the patient.⁴⁴⁸⁻⁴⁵⁰ On the other hand, control of brain metastases confers improved neurocognitive function.^{451,452} For limited metastases, randomized trials have found that the addition of WBRT to SRS decreases intracranial recurrence but does not improve survival and may increase the risk of cognitive decline.^{452,453} Thus, an approach of SRS alone may strike an appropriate balance in patients with limited volume metastases.⁴⁵⁴ Similarly, some have suggested that resection followed by SRS to the cavity (instead of resection followed by WBRT) will decrease the risk of neurocognitive problems.^{455,456} A recent study suggests that using IMRT to avoid the hippocampus may help decrease memory impairment after WBRT.⁴⁵⁷

Combined Modality Therapy

As previously mentioned, surgery provides the best chance for cure for patients with stage I or II disease who are medically fit and can tolerate surgery. However, SABR can be considered for patients with unresectable stage I or II disease or those who refuse surgery if their disease is node negative (see *Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy* in this Discussion and see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). In patients with completely resected NSCLC, adjuvant chemotherapy has been shown to improve survival in patients with early-stage disease.⁴⁵⁸⁻⁴⁶⁰ Some studies suggested that neoadjuvant chemotherapy (also referred to as preoperative chemotherapy or induction chemotherapy) is as effective as and better tolerated than adjuvant chemotherapy (see *Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Surgery: Trial Data* in this Discussion).^{258,461-467} A recent randomized trial found no difference in survival with preoperative versus postoperative chemotherapy.⁴⁶⁸ The NCCN Guidelines state that patients with stage II or IIIA (T3, N1) disease may be treated with induction chemotherapy before surgery if they are candidates for adjuvant therapy after surgery.^{234,469} Concurrent chemoradiation is superior to sequential therapy for patients with unresectable stage III disease.⁴⁷⁰⁻⁴⁷³

For patients with stage IV disease who have a good PS, platinum-based chemotherapy is beneficial.⁴⁷⁴⁻⁴⁷⁹ Data show that early palliative care combined with standard care improves quality of life, mood, and survival in patients with metastatic NSCLC, even though these patients had less aggressive therapy when compared with those receiving standard care alone.⁴⁸⁰ Patients should receive treatment for debilitating symptoms.^{7,481,482} A recent study also suggests that social support, such as being married, is as effective as chemotherapy.⁴⁸³ Surgery is rarely recommended for patients with stage IV disease. However, surgical resection of a solitary brain metastasis may improve survival in selected

patients with stage IV disease and is recommended in the NCCN Guidelines (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and for Central Nervous System Cancers, available at NCCN.org).⁴⁸⁴ Local therapy of a solitary metastasis located in sites other than the brain remains controversial and thus is a category 2B recommendation; however, SRS or SABR may be useful in these settings (see *Stage IV, M1b: Solitary Site/Initial Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{242,388} The trials supporting the recommendations for combined modality therapy are discussed in the following sections.

Surgery Followed by Chemotherapy: Trial Data

In the NSCLC algorithm for stage IA disease, adjuvant chemotherapy is not recommended based on the trials described in the following paragraphs. Adjuvant chemotherapy may be considered for high-risk, margin-negative, stage IB disease (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Recommended chemotherapy regimens for neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy are provided in the NCCN Guidelines.

The International Adjuvant Lung Cancer Trial (IALT) reported a statistically significant survival benefit with cisplatin-based adjuvant therapy in patients with completely resected stage I, II, or III NSCLC.⁴⁵⁸ The study included 1867 patients with surgically resected lung cancer who were randomly assigned either to cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy or to observation, with a median follow-up duration of 56 months. A significantly higher survival rate (45% vs. 40% at 5 years; HR for death, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.76–0.98; $P < .03$) and disease-free survival rate (39% vs. 34% at 5 years; HR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.74–0.94; $P < .003$) were observed for patients assigned to chemotherapy when compared with observation. IALT data suggest that cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy improves survival 5 years after treatment in patients with

completely resected NSCLC. However, after 7.5 years of follow-up, there were more deaths in the chemotherapy group and the benefit of chemotherapy decreased over time.⁴⁸⁵ Data show that adjuvant chemotherapy prevents recurrences.

The NCIC CTG JBR.10 trial and the ANITA trial compared the effectiveness of adjuvant vinorelbine/cisplatin versus observation in early-stage NSCLC. In the JBR.10 trial, 482 patients (ECOG PS of 0–1) with completely resected stage IB (T2, N0) or stage II (T1, N1, or T2, N1) NSCLC were randomly assigned either to vinorelbine/cisplatin or to observation.⁴⁵⁹ Adjuvant chemotherapy significantly prolonged overall survival (94 vs. 73 months, HR for death, 0.69, $P = .04$) and relapse-free survival (not reached vs. 47 months, HR for recurrence, 0.60; $P < .001$) when compared with observation alone. The 5-year survival rates were 69% and 54%, respectively ($P = .03$). When compared with observation alone, adjuvant chemotherapy is beneficial for patients with stage II disease but not for stage IB disease as shown by updated data from JBR.10 after 9 years of follow-up.⁴⁸⁶ In patients with stage II disease receiving adjuvant chemotherapy, median survival is 6.8 versus 3.6 years in those who were only observed. Of note, patients receiving chemotherapy did not have an increased death rate.

In the ANITA trial, 840 patients with stage IB (T2, N0), II, or IIIA NSCLC were randomly assigned either to adjuvant vinorelbine/cisplatin or to observation.⁴⁶⁰ Grade 3/4 toxicities were manageable in the chemotherapy group; however, 7 toxic deaths were reported. After a median follow-up of 76 months, median survival was 66 months in the chemotherapy group and 44 months in the observation group.⁴⁶⁰ Adjuvant chemotherapy significantly improved (8.6%) the 5-year overall survival in patients with completely resected stage II and IIIA disease, although no benefit was observed in stage I. Some clinicians consider vinorelbine/cisplatin to be the preferred regimen for completely resected

early-stage NSCLC based on the number of trials and the amount of use;⁴⁸⁷ however, most clinicians in the United States prefer to use regimens with less toxicity.^{488,489}

A meta-analysis of 4,584 patients (LACE) found that postoperative cisplatin-based chemotherapy increased survival over 5 years (absolute benefit of 5.4%); there was no difference among the chemotherapy regimens (vinorelbine, etoposide, and others).⁴⁹⁰ A subgroup analysis found that cisplatin/vinorelbine also increased survival.⁴⁸⁷ The benefit was greater in patients with stage II and III disease and with good PS. Postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy benefited elderly patients up to 80 years of age.^{237,491}

The CALGB 9633 trial assessed paclitaxel/carboplatin in patients with T2, N0, M0, stage IB lung cancer;⁴⁹² updated results have been reported.^{493,494} In this trial, 344 patients were randomly assigned either to paclitaxel/carboplatin or to observation (within 4–8 weeks of resection) with a median follow-up duration of 74 months. Adjuvant chemotherapy was well tolerated with no chemotherapy-related toxic deaths. Overall survival at 6 years was not significantly different, although 3-year survival was significant (80% vs. 73%, $P = .02$).^{493,494} The original results from CALGB suggested that the paclitaxel/carboplatin regimen improved survival in patients with stage I disease; however, the updated results did not show improved survival (although a subset analysis showed a benefit for tumors 4 cm or more). Thus, the carboplatin/paclitaxel regimen is only recommended for early-stage disease if patients cannot tolerate cisplatin (see *Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁴⁹⁵ However, it is important to note that the CALGB trial was underpowered for patients with stage 1B disease.⁴⁹⁶

Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Followed by Surgery: Trial Data

Data from adjuvant clinical trials in patients with resected NSCLCs indicate that delivery of chemotherapy is an important problem. In the postoperative setting, significant comorbidities and incomplete recovery after surgery often make it difficult for patients to tolerate therapy. This problem was demonstrated in the NATCH phase 3 trial (which compared surgery alone to preoperative or postoperative chemotherapy with paclitaxel/carboplatin), because 90% of the preoperative cohort completed 3 cycles of chemotherapy but only 61% of the postoperative cohort completed chemotherapy; however, survival was equivalent among all 3 arms.⁴⁶⁶ A recent randomized trial found no difference in 3-year overall survival (67.4% vs. 67.7%) with preoperative versus postoperative chemotherapy in patients with early-stage NSCLC; response rate and quality of life were similar in both arms.⁴⁶⁸ Postoperative chemotherapy is considered the standard of care for early-stage disease.²³⁴

Several trials suggest that neoadjuvant therapy is beneficial in patients with N2 disease.^{258,264,465} Other trials suggest that neoadjuvant therapy is beneficial in patients with earlier stage disease.^{462,463,467} A follow-up, randomized intergroup trial (SWOG 9900) evaluated neoadjuvant paclitaxel/carboplatin in 354 patients with stage IB to IIIA (but not N2) disease versus surgery alone. The trial closed prematurely because of practice changes and was therefore not appropriately powered. However, this SWOG trial did show a trend toward improved PFS (33 vs. 20 months) and overall survival (62 vs. 41 months) with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and no difference in resection rates between the 2 arms.⁴⁶⁷

Scagliotti et al published a phase 3 trial of preoperative cisplatin/gemcitabine versus surgery alone in 270 patients with stage IB to IIIA disease. Although the trial closed early, a significant survival

benefit was seen in patients with stages IIB and IIIA disease who received chemotherapy (HR, 0.63).⁴⁶² Song et al published a meta-analysis of all available randomized clinical trials evaluating preoperative chemotherapy in resectable NSCLCs. This meta-analysis evaluated 13 randomized trials and found improvement in overall survival in the neoadjuvant chemotherapy arm when compared with the surgery alone arm (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77–0.92; $P = .0001$).⁴⁶¹ These results are similar to those recently reported in another meta-analysis (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.81–0.98; $P = .02$).⁴⁶² The benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy is similar to that attained with postoperative chemotherapy.^{462,468,490}

Chemoradiation: Trial Data

The major controversies in NSCLC relate to the management of patients with stage IIIA disease (see the *Role of Surgery in Patients with Stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC* [in *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer]). All 3 treatment modalities—surgical resection, chemotherapy, and radiation—may be used in treating stage III disease. The ongoing debate centers on which modalities to use and in what sequence.⁴⁹⁷⁻⁵⁰¹ For patients with unresectable stage IIIA or stage IIIB disease, combined modality therapy (chemoradiation) is superior to radiation alone.^{497,498,500,501} Concurrent chemoradiation is superior to sequential chemoradiation.⁴⁷⁰⁻⁴⁷³ However, concurrent chemoradiation has a higher rate of grade 3 or 4 esophagitis than sequential chemoradiation. Selection of patients should be based not only on the response to therapy but also on how well the patient tolerates therapy. Frail patients may not be able to tolerate concurrent chemoradiation.^{235,502}

Concurrent chemoradiation regimens that may be used for all histologies for initial treatment include cisplatin/etoposide, cisplatin/vinblastine, and carboplatin/paclitaxel (see *Chemotherapy*

Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{354,470,472,503-506} For non-squamous NSCLC, additional concurrent chemoradiation regimens may be used including carboplatin/pemetrexed and cisplatin/pemetrexed.⁵⁰⁷⁻⁵⁰⁹ For the 2016 update (Version 1), a weekly paclitaxel/carboplatin regimen was added as another chemoradiation option.³⁵⁴ In addition, the different options for neoadjuvant/preoperative/induction, definitive, and adjuvant chemotherapy/RT were clarified. The NCCN Panel removed the *preferred* designation for the cisplatin/etoposide and cisplatin/vinblastine regimens based on preliminary data from a phase 3 randomized trial and a recent retrospective assessment of the Veterans Administration data for the 2016 update (Version 1).^{506,510}

Chemotherapy: Trial Data

Patients with stage IV disease who have a good PS benefit from chemotherapy, usually with a platinum-based regimen.⁴⁷⁶⁻⁴⁷⁸ Many drugs are useful for stage IV NSCLC. These drugs include platinum agents (eg, cisplatin, carboplatin), taxanes (eg, paclitaxel, albumin-bound paclitaxel, and docetaxel), vinorelbine, etoposide, pemetrexed, and gemcitabine (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Guidelines now provide lists of all of the combination systemic therapy regimens and single agents that are recommended for patients with metastatic NSCLC depending on histology and PS to clarify use of the agents (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel deleted ifosfamide, mitomycin, and vinblastine from the NCCN Guidelines because these agents are rarely used; however, vinblastine/cisplatin/RT regimens are still recommended. Combinations using many of these drugs produce 1-year survival rates of 30% to 40%

and are superior to single agents.^{495,511-514} In the United States, frequently used first-line regimens for non-squamous NSCLC include: 1) cisplatin (or carboplatin)/pemetrexed; or 2) carboplatin/paclitaxel with (or without) bevacizumab.^{515,516} Gemcitabine/cisplatin is used for patients with squamous cell carcinoma.⁵¹⁴⁻⁵¹⁷ These regimens are recommended based on phase 3 randomized trials (eg, cisplatin/pemetrexed, carboplatin/paclitaxel [with or without bevacizumab], gemcitabine/cisplatin).^{514,518}

For the 2016 update (Version 3), the NCCN Panel added the necitumumab/cisplatin/gemcitabine regimen (category 3) for patients with metastatic squamous cell NSCLC. This category 3 recommendation reflects the fact that the NCCN Panel does not prefer the addition of necitumumab to the regimen based on toxicity, cost, and limited improvement in efficacy when compared with cisplatin/gemcitabine. A recent phase 3 randomized trial only showed a slight improvement in overall survival (11.5 months [95% CI, 10.4-12.6] vs. 9.9 months [8.9-11.1]).⁵¹⁹ The stratified HR was only 0.84 (95% CI, 0.74-0.96; $P=.01$). In addition, there were more grade 3 or higher adverse events in patients receiving the necitumumab regimen (388 [72%] of 538 patients) than in patients receiving only gemcitabine/cisplatin (333 [62%] of 541). Although a recent paper suggests that adding necitumumab to cisplatin/gemcitabine adds value and is cost effective, the NCCN Panel does not agree.⁵²⁰

Recently, many oncologists have been using pemetrexed-based regimens for adenocarcinomas (if patients are not candidates for targeted therapy), because taxane-based regimens are associated with more toxicity (eg, neurotoxicity).^{514,521} There are no agents for the prevention of peripheral neuropathy, and few agents are useful for treatment.⁵²² The POINTBREAK trial showed that carboplatin/pemetrexed/bevacizumab is a reasonable option and

confirmed that taxane-based regimens are more toxic than pemetrexed-based regimens.⁵²³ However, the POINTBREAK trial showed that both regimens are similar in regard to overall survival rates; therefore, oncologists may return to using taxane-based regimens, which are well established. A retrospective cohort study suggests that the addition of bevacizumab (to carboplatin/paclitaxel) does not increase survival in older patients (≥ 65 years) with advanced non-squamous NSCLC.⁵²⁴ However, another retrospective cohort study reported increased survival in older patients.⁵²⁵ A combined analysis of the ECOG 4599 and POINTBREAK trials found survival benefit with the addition of bevacizumab (to carboplatin/paclitaxel) in patients younger than 75 years but no benefit in those older than 75 years.⁵²⁶

For patients with advanced NSCLC who have a PS of 2 (ie, poor PS), single-agent chemotherapy or platinum-based combinations are recommended in the NCCN Guidelines.⁵²⁷ Single-agent chemotherapy includes vinorelbine, gemcitabine, pemetrexed, or taxanes; combination chemotherapy regimens include carboplatin/paclitaxel or carboplatin/pemetrexed.⁵²⁸⁻⁵³⁰ However, patients with a PS of 2 are often just treated with one chemotherapy agent because of concerns about toxicity.⁵³¹ Results from a recent trial reported that treatment with carboplatin/pemetrexed increased median overall survival when compared with pemetrexed alone (9.3 vs. 5.3 months, $P=.001$) in patients with a PS of 2; however, 4 treatment-related deaths occurred in the carboplatin/pemetrexed arm.^{528,532}

Phase 3 randomized trials have shown that many of the platinum-doublet combinations yield similar objective response rates and survival.^{533,534} The platinum-doublet regimens differ slightly for toxicity, convenience, and cost; thus, clinicians can individualize therapy for their patients.^{517,535,536} Other carboplatin-based regimens include gemcitabine/carboplatin, docetaxel/carboplatin, and

pemetrexed/carboplatin;^{511,537-539} non-platinum-based regimens such as gemcitabine/vinorelbine and gemcitabine/docetaxel are also options.⁵⁴⁰⁻⁵⁴³ In spite of the development of new chemotherapy regimens, the prognosis for advanced inoperable lung cancer remains poor.

Note that albumin-bound paclitaxel can be substituted for paclitaxel or docetaxel for patients: 1) who have experienced hypersensitivity reactions after receiving paclitaxel or docetaxel despite premedication; or 2) in whom the standard premedications (ie, dexamethasone, H2 blockers, H1 blockers) to prevent hypersensitivity are contraindicated.^{544,545} A phase 3 randomized trial reported that an albumin-bound paclitaxel/carboplatin regimen is associated with less neurotoxicity and improved response rate, when compared with standard paclitaxel/carboplatin, in patients with advanced NSCLC.⁵⁴⁶ The FDA has approved albumin-bound paclitaxel/carboplatin for patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who are not candidates for curative surgery or RT. Based on the recent trial and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends an albumin-bound paclitaxel/carboplatin regimen as first-line therapy for patients with advanced NSCLC and good PS (0–1).

Targeted Therapies

Specific targeted therapies are available for the treatment of advanced NSCLC.^{119,547,548} Bevacizumab is a recombinant monoclonal antibody that blocks the vascular endothelial growth factor. Erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib are small molecule inhibitors of EGFR. Crizotinib is a small molecule inhibitor that targets ALK, ROS1, and MET (ie, high-level MET amplification, MET exon 14 skipping mutation). Ceritinib is a small molecule inhibitor that targets ALK and IGF-1 receptor. Alectinib is a small molecule inhibitor that targets ALK and RET. Erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, crizotinib, ceritinib, and alectinib are oral TKIs. Other targeted therapies are being developed (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for*

Patients with Genetic Alterations in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Bevacizumab

In 2006, the FDA approved bevacizumab for patients with unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent, or metastatic non-squamous NSCLC. The ECOG recommends bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel/carboplatin for select patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC based on the results of phase 2 to 3 clinical trials (ECOG 4599).⁵¹⁸ To receive treatment with bevacizumab and chemotherapy, patients must meet the following criteria: non-squamous NSCLC and no recent history of hemoptysis. Any regimen with a high risk for thrombocytopenia—and, therefore, possible bleeding—should be used with caution when combined with bevacizumab. For patients with non-squamous NSCLC or NSCLC NOS and PS 0 to 1 who are negative for either ALK gene rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations, bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy is one of the recommended options (see *Sensitizing EGFR Mutation Positive/First-Line Therapy* or *ALK Positive/First-Line Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Erlotinib and Gefitinib

In 2004, erlotinib was approved by the FDA for the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC after progression on at least one prior chemotherapy regimen. The FDA has approved the use of erlotinib as first-line therapy in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations.⁵⁴⁹ Erlotinib and gefitinib are recommended (category 1) in the NSCLC algorithm as first-line therapy in patients with advanced, recurrent, or metastatic non-squamous NSCLC who have known active sensitizing EGFR mutations regardless of their PS (see *Sensitizing EGFR Mutation Positive* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{76,188,550,551} These recommendations are based on the

results of a phase 3 randomized trial (IPASS) in which patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who received gefitinib had increased PFS (24.9% vs. 6.7%), response rate (71.2% vs. 47.3%), and quality of life with fewer side effects (eg, neutropenia) when compared with those receiving chemotherapy (carboplatin/paclitaxel).¹⁸⁸ Updated results from the IPASS study show that overall survival was similar in patients receiving gefitinib or chemotherapy regardless of sensitizing EGFR mutation status.⁵⁵² However, these results probably occurred because patients who had been assigned to first-line chemotherapy were able to receive TKIs as subsequent therapy if they were found to have sensitizing EGFR mutations. A phase 3 randomized trial (EURTAC) in European patients with metastatic NSCLC and sensitizing EGFR mutations showed increased PFS and response rate for those receiving erlotinib when compared with chemotherapy.¹⁸³ For erlotinib, the median PFS was 9.7 months compared with 5.2 months for chemotherapy (HR 0.37, 95% CI, 0.25–0.54; $P < .0001$). Fewer patients receiving erlotinib had severe adverse events or died when compared with those receiving chemotherapy.

TKIs are recommended in patients with metastatic NSCLC and sensitizing EGFR mutations, because quality of life is improved when compared with chemotherapy. Previously, erlotinib was commonly used in the United States in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations because of restrictions on the use of gefitinib. However, gefitinib was recently reapproved by the FDA based on a phase 4 study and is now available in the United States.¹¹⁸ Erlotinib and gefitinib are orally active TKIs that are very well tolerated by most patients.^{553,554} An analysis of 5 clinical trials in patients, mainly from the Western hemisphere, ($n = 223$) with advanced NSCLC (stage IIIB or IV) found that those with sensitizing EGFR mutations who received TKIs had a 67% response rate and an overall survival of about 24 months.⁵⁵⁵ The TORCH trial

suggests that EGFR mutation testing should be done in patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC.⁵⁵⁶ Survival was increased in patients with wild-type EGFR who received first-line chemotherapy compared with those who received erlotinib first followed by subsequent chemotherapy (11.6 vs. 8.7 months). The OPTIMAL trial found that PFS was increased in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who received erlotinib.^{186,187} ASCO recommends that patients be tested for EGFR mutations.⁵⁵⁷ However, the ESMO Guidelines specify that only patients with non-squamous NSCLC (eg, adenocarcinoma) be assessed for EGFR mutations.^{120,527} Patients with pure squamous cell carcinoma are unlikely to have sensitizing EGFR mutations; however, those with adenosquamous carcinoma may have mutations.¹²²

An updated study (CALGB 30406) compared erlotinib alone versus erlotinib/carboplatin/paclitaxel in patients (mainly Caucasian) with advanced NSCLC.⁵⁵⁸ The data showed that erlotinib alone was associated with fewer side effects in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations when compared with erlotinib/chemotherapy. Thus, it is appropriate to interrupt or complete planned chemotherapy and switch to erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib therapy in patients found to have sensitizing EGFR mutations during chemotherapy (see *EGFR Mutation Positive/First-Line Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁵⁹ For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel deleted the recommendation to add erlotinib to current chemotherapy based on this study.⁵⁵⁸ Erlotinib and gefitinib may also be continued in patients who have progressed if patients do not have multiple systemic symptomatic lesions (see *Continuation of Erlotinib, Gefitinib, or Afatinib After Progression* in this Discussion).

Afatinib

A randomized phase 3 trial showed that afatinib improved PFS when compared with cisplatin/pemetrexed in patients with metastatic

adenocarcinoma who have sensitizing EGFR mutations (11.1 vs. 6.9 months, $P = .001$).¹⁵⁸ The FDA has approved afatinib for first-line treatment of patients with metastatic NSCLC who have sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{157,560} Based on this phase 3 randomized trial and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends afatinib for first-line therapy (category 1) in patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC who have sensitizing EGFR mutations (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{155,158,228} Afatinib may also be continued in patients who have progressed if patients do not have multiple systemic symptomatic lesions (see *Continuation of Erlotinib, Gefitinib, or Afatinib After Progression* in this Discussion).¹⁵⁴ However, afatinib is not recommended as subsequent therapy based on a recent phase 3 randomized trial (see *Second-Line and Beyond (Subsequent) Systemic Therapy* in this Discussion).⁵⁶¹

Osimertinib

As previously mentioned, most patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations and metastatic NSCLC typically progress after about 8 to 16 months of erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib therapy.¹⁶⁶ The EGFR T790M mutation is associated with acquired resistance to TKI therapy and has been reported in about 60% of patients with disease progression after initial response to sensitizing EGFR TKI therapy.^{134,165-171} Osimertinib (AZD9291) is an oral TKI that inhibits both EGFR sensitizing mutations and T790M mutations. Preliminary data from recent multicenter, single-arm phase 2 clinical trials (AURA/AURA2) report that osimertinib is associated with a response rate of about 61% and disease control rate of about 91% in patients with EGFR T790M mutations who have progressed on sensitizing EGFR TKI therapy; 18% of patients had grade 3 or higher adverse events with one fatal event.^{562,563} The FDA has approved osimertinib for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC, as detected by an FDA-approved test, who

have progressed on or after EGFR TKI therapy. Based on recent data and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends osimertinib as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC who have progressed on erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib therapy (see *Second-Line and Beyond (Subsequent) Systemic Therapy* in this Discussion). T790M mutations can be assessed using an FDA-approved test or other validated laboratory test done in a CLIA-approved laboratory.

Crizotinib

Crizotinib is approved by the FDA for patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who are positive for the ALK gene rearrangement. The approval is based on a phase 2 trial that showed dramatic response rates (>80%) to crizotinib in patients who had previously progressed.^{204,205} Patients receiving crizotinib reported clinically significant improvements in pain, dyspnea, and cough. A recent phase 3 trial compared first-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in patients with ALK rearrangements; patients receiving crizotinib had improved PFS, quality of life, and response rates when compared with those receiving chemotherapy.²⁰² The NCCN Panel recommends first-line therapy with crizotinib (category 1) based on this phase 3 trial and the FDA approval; the panel also feels that crizotinib is appropriate for patients with PS 0 to 4. Crizotinib may also be continued for patients with ALK rearrangements who have progressed if patients do not have multiple systemic symptomatic lesions.²⁰³

Ceritinib

Ceritinib was recently approved by the FDA for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who have progressed on or are intolerant to crizotinib. The approval is based on a recent expanded phase 1 study showing overall response rates of 56% to ceritinib in patients who had previously received crizotinib.²¹⁷ Some patients with CNS lesions

responded to ceritinib. Based on the study and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends ceritinib as subsequent therapy for patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who have progressed after crizotinib; patients who do not tolerate crizotinib may be switched to ceritinib or alectinib.

Alectinib

Alectinib was recently approved by the FDA for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who have progressed on or are intolerant to crizotinib. The approval is based on two phase 2 trials showing overall response rates of 48% to 50% to alectinib in patients who had previously received crizotinib.^{117,224} In the larger trial by Ou et al, the control rate for CNS disease was 83% (95% CI, 74% to 91%), and the median duration of response was 10.3 months (95% CI, 7.6 to 11.2 months).¹¹⁷ Of 84 patients with baseline CNS metastases, 23 (27%) had a complete CNS response. Of 23 patients with baseline CNS metastases and without previous brain RT, 10 (43%) had a complete CNS response to alectinib. Based on these trials and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends alectinib as subsequent therapy for patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who have progressed after crizotinib; patients who do not tolerate crizotinib may be switched to alectinib or ceritinib.

Cetuximab

Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that targets EGFR. A large phase 3 randomized trial (FLEX) assessed cisplatin/vinorelbine with (or without) cetuximab for patients with advanced NSCLC (most patients had stage IV disease).⁵⁶⁴ Adding cetuximab slightly increased overall survival (11.3 vs. 10.1 months, $P = .04$). Patients receiving cetuximab had increased grade 4 events versus control (62% vs. 52%, $P < .01$); cetuximab was also associated with grade 2 acne-like rash.

The cetuximab/cisplatin/vinorelbine regimen was recently removed from the NCCN Guidelines. The benefits of this cetuximab-based regimen are very slight, it is a difficult regimen to administer, and patients have poorer tolerance for this regimen when compared with other regimens; for example, almost 40% of patients have grade 4 neutropenia.⁴⁷⁴ Patients may also have comorbid conditions that prevent them from receiving cisplatin such as poor kidney function. The cetuximab/cisplatin/vinorelbine regimen is generally not used in the United States because of concerns about toxicity.^{474,488,564} Some oncologists feel that although the FLEX trial results were statistically significant they were not clinically significant.⁴⁷⁴

Nivolumab

The NCCN Panel recommends nivolumab (category 1) as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC who have progressed on or after first-line chemotherapy based on data from a phase 3 randomized trial (CheckMate-057) and recent FDA approval.⁵⁶⁵ For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel recommends immune checkpoint inhibitors as preferred agents for subsequent therapy based on improved overall survival rates, longer duration of response, and fewer adverse events when compared with cytotoxic chemotherapy.⁵⁶⁵⁻⁵⁶⁷ Human immune-checkpoint-inhibitor antibodies inhibit the programmed death (PD-1) receptor, which improves antitumor immunity; PD-1 receptors are expressed on activated cytotoxic T-cells.^{565,568,569} Immune checkpoint inhibitors are associated with a delay in benefit when compared with targeted therapy or cytotoxic chemotherapy. Pseudoprogression has been reported; therefore, traditional RECIST criteria may not be applicable.⁵⁷⁰

For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel revised the recommendation for nivolumab to category 1 (from category 2A) based on the published data from CheckMate-057 and the recent FDA

approval of nivolumab for patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC. For patients receiving nivolumab, median overall survival was 12.2 months compared with 9.4 months for docetaxel (HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.59–0.89; $P = .002$).⁵⁶⁵ The median duration of response was 17.2 months with nivolumab compared with 5.6 months for docetaxel. At 18 months, the overall survival rate was 39% (95% CI, 34%–45%) with nivolumab compared with 23% (95% CI, 19%–28%) with docetaxel. Fewer grade 3 to 5 adverse events were reported for nivolumab (10%) when compared with docetaxel (54%) in the CheckMate-057 trial. Although many patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC benefit from nivolumab, those whose tumors have PD-L1 staining of 1% to 10% or more have overall survival of 17 to 19 months compared with 8 to 9 months for docetaxel. However, the NCCN Panel does not recommend testing for PD-L1, because many patients with metastatic NSCLC benefit from nivolumab. For patients who did not have PD-L1 expression, there was no difference in overall survival for nivolumab versus docetaxel; however, nivolumab was associated with a longer duration of response and fewer side effects. To help clinicians determine which patients with non-squamous NSCLC may benefit most from treatment with nivolumab, the FDA has approved a complementary diagnostic biomarker test to assess for PD-L1 protein expression.⁵⁷¹ Testing for PD-L1 is not required for prescribing nivolumab but may provide useful information.⁵⁷² Current or former smoking status correlated with the response rate to immune checkpoint inhibitors.⁵⁶⁵ Recent data suggest that mismatch repair deficiency is associated with response to immune checkpoint inhibitors.⁵⁷³

The NCCN Panel also recommends (category 1) nivolumab as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic squamous cell NSCLC who have progressed on or after first-line chemotherapy based on data from a phase 3 randomized trial (CheckMate-017), the recent FDA

approval, and results of a phase 2 trial.^{566,574} In the CheckMate-017 trial, the median overall survival was 9.2 months with nivolumab compared with 6.0 months for docetaxel.⁵⁶⁶ Patients had a response rate of 20% with nivolumab compared with 9% for docetaxel ($P = .008$). PD-L1 expression was not associated with response to nivolumab in patients with squamous cell NSCLC. There were fewer grade 3 to 4 adverse events with nivolumab (7%) when compared with docetaxel (55%). No patients died in the nivolumab arm versus 3 deaths in the docetaxel arm. Immune-related adverse events, such as pneumonitis, may occur with nivolumab.^{569,574-578} Intravenous high-dose corticosteroids should be administered based on the severity of the reaction for patients with immune-mediated adverse events. Nivolumab should be discontinued for patients with severe or life-threatening pneumonitis and should be withheld or discontinued for other severe or life-threatening immune-mediated adverse events when indicated (see prescribing information).

Pembrolizumab

The NCCN Panel recommends pembrolizumab (category 1) as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic non-squamous or squamous NSCLC and PD-L1 expression. For the 2016 update (Version 4), the NCCN Panel revised the recommendation for pembrolizumab to category 1 (from category 2A) as subsequent therapy based on the randomized phase 2/3 trial (KEYNOTE-010).⁵⁶⁷ Previously (Version 1), the NCCN Panel had a category 2A recommendation for pembrolizumab based on the phase 1 KEYNOTE-001 trial and FDA approval.⁵⁷⁹ In addition, the NCCN Panel recommends immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as pembrolizumab and nivolumab, as preferred agents for subsequent therapy. As previously mentioned, human immune-checkpoint--inhibitor antibodies inhibit the programmed death (PD-1) receptor, which improves antitumor immunity; PD-1 receptors are expressed on activated cytotoxic T-cells.^{568,569}

A recent randomized phase 2/3 trial (KEYNOTE-010) assessed pembrolizumab in patients with previously treated advanced non-squamous and squamous NSCLC who were PD-L1 positive ($\geq 1\%$); most were current or former smokers.⁵⁶⁷ There were 3 arms in this trial: pembrolizumab at 2 mg/kg, pembrolizumab at 10 mg/kg, and docetaxel at 75 mg/m² every 3 weeks. The median overall survival was 10.4 months for the lower dose of pembrolizumab, 12.7 months for the higher dose, and 8.5 months for docetaxel. Overall survival was significantly longer for both doses of pembrolizumab when compared with docetaxel (pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg: HR 0.71; 95% CI, 0.58–0.88; $P=.0008$) (pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg: (HR 0.61; CI, 0.49–0.75; $P<.0001$). For those patients with at least 50% PD-L1 expression in tumor cells, overall survival was also significantly longer at either dose of pembrolizumab when compared with docetaxel (pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg: 14.9 vs. 8.2 months; HR 0.54; 95% CI, 0.38–0.77; $P=.0002$) (pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg: 17.3 vs. 8.2 months; HR 0.50; CI, 0.36–0.70; $P<.0001$). When compared with docetaxel, there were fewer grade 3 to 5 treatment-related adverse events at either dose of pembrolizumab (pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg: 13% [43/339] of patients, pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg: 16% [55/343], and docetaxel: 35% [109/309]). A total of 6 treatment-related deaths occurred in patients receiving pembrolizumab (3 at each dose) and 5 treatment-related deaths occurred in the docetaxel arm.

A phase I trial (KEYNOTE-001) assessed the safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab for patients with metastatic NSCLC.⁵⁷⁹ Among all patients, the response rate was 19%, the median duration of response was 12.5 months, PFS was 3.7 months, and median overall survival was 12.0 months. Patients with a PD-L1 expression score of at least 50% had a response rate of 45%, PFS of 6.3 months, and overall survival was not reached. Current or former smoking status also

correlated with the response rate.^{579,580} Less than 10% of patients had serious grade 3 or more toxicity.

Similar to nivolumab, immune-mediated adverse events may also occur with pembrolizumab.^{575,581} For patients with immune-mediated adverse events, intravenous high-dose corticosteroids should be administered based on the severity of the reaction. Pembrolizumab should also be discontinued for patients with severe or life-threatening pneumonitis and should be withheld or discontinued for other severe or life-threatening immune-mediated adverse events when indicated (see prescribing information). The FDA has approved pembrolizumab as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic NSCLC whose disease has progressed after platinum-based chemotherapy if their tumors express PD-L1. The FDA has approved a companion diagnostic biomarker test for assessing PD-L1 expression and determining which patients are eligible for pembrolizumab therapy.

Ramucirumab

A recent phase 3 randomized trial (REVEL) assessed ramucirumab/docetaxel versus docetaxel alone in patients with metastatic NSCLC that had progressed.⁵⁸² The median overall survival was slightly increased with ramucirumab/docetaxel versus docetaxel alone (10.5 vs. 9.1 months; HR, 0.86, 95% CI, 0.75-0.98; $P<.023$). Ramucirumab in combination with docetaxel is approved by the FDA for patients with metastatic NSCLC with disease progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. The NCCN Panel added ramucirumab/docetaxel (category 2A) as an option for subsequent therapy for metastatic NSCLC that has progressed after first-line chemotherapy based on the phase 3 randomized trial and the FDA approval. Some panel members feel that the data are statistically significant but not clinically relevant. More than 70% of patients had grade 3 or higher adverse events in both groups (79% for

ramucirumab/docetaxel versus 71% for docetaxel alone). Adverse events of special concern with ramucirumab/docetaxel therapy include risk for severe hemorrhage, grade 3 to 4 gastrointestinal bleeding, gastrointestinal perforation or fistula, impaired wound healing, and poorly controlled hypertension. There were 16 deaths from grade 3 or worse pulmonary hemorrhage and other adverse events in the REVEL trial: 8 in the ramucirumab/docetaxel arm and 8 in the docetaxel alone arm.

Maintenance Therapy

Maintenance therapy refers to systemic therapy that may be given for patients with advanced NSCLC after 4 to 6 cycles of first-line chemotherapy.⁵⁸³ However, patients are only candidates for maintenance therapy if they have responded to their previous treatment (ie, tumor response) or have stable disease and their tumors have not progressed. *Continuation maintenance* therapy refers to the use of at least one of the agents that was given in the first-line regimen. *Switch maintenance* (category 2B) therapy refers to the initiation of a different agent that was not included as part of the first-line regimen. Selection of appropriate maintenance therapy depends on several factors (eg, histologic type, presence of mutations or gene rearrangements, PS). Maintenance therapy is an option in the NCCN Guidelines for select patients with tumor response or stable disease and is not considered the standard of care for all patients (eg, not recommended for PS 3–4, those with progression); close observation (category 2A) is also a valid treatment option (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁸⁴

Continuation Maintenance Therapy

For continuation maintenance therapy, select agents (which were initially given in combination with conventional chemotherapy) may be continued until evidence of disease progression or unacceptable

toxicity, as per the design of the clinical trials that led to their approval. Single-agent bevacizumab (category 1) may be continued beyond 4 to 6 cycles of initial therapy (ie, platinum-doublet chemotherapy given with bevacizumab) in patients with non-squamous NSCLC who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{518,585,586} Single-agent pemetrexed (category 1) may also be given as continuation maintenance therapy in patients with non-squamous NSCLC (who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations).^{585,587} A recent phase 3 randomized trial (PARAMOUNT) found that continuation maintenance therapy with pemetrexed slightly increased PFS when compared with placebo (4.1 vs. 2.8 months).⁵⁸⁷ Results show that continuation maintenance therapy with pemetrexed also improves overall survival (13.9 vs. 11.0 months).⁵⁸⁸ Based on the recent trial and the FDA approval, the NCCN Panel recommends single-agent pemetrexed as continuation maintenance therapy (category 1) in patients with non-squamous NSCLC but without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations. Continuation maintenance therapy with cetuximab was recently removed from the NCCN Guidelines, because the first-line regimen of cetuximab/cisplatin/vinorelbine was removed (see *Cetuximab* in this Discussion).

Continuation maintenance therapy using bevacizumab/pemetrexed is also an option in patients with non-squamous NSCLC but without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations; this is a category 2A recommendation. Data from the recent POINTBREAK study showed a very slight improvement in PFS (6 vs. 5.6 months) when comparing bevacizumab/pemetrexed versus bevacizumab alone as maintenance therapy; the initial regimens were either bevacizumab/carboplatin/pemetrexed or bevacizumab/carboplatin/paclitaxel.⁵²³ It is important to note that the

pemetrexed-based arm was associated with less toxicity (eg, less neurotoxicity, less neutropenia, less hair loss) than the paclitaxel-based arm. When using bevacizumab/pemetrexed versus bevacizumab alone as maintenance therapy, data from the recent AVAPERL study showed a 3.7-month increase in PFS (7.4 vs. 3.7 months); the initial regimen was bevacizumab/cisplatin/pemetrexed.^{589,590}

A phase 3 randomized trial compared using maintenance therapy with either gemcitabine or erlotinib after first-line therapy with cisplatin-gemcitabine. Data show that continuation maintenance therapy with single-agent gemcitabine increased PFS to a greater extent (3.8 months) than switch maintenance therapy with erlotinib (2.9 months) when compared with observation (1.9 months).^{591,592} Another phase 3 randomized trial assessed continuation maintenance therapy with gemcitabine versus best supportive care after an initial regimen of cisplatin/gemcitabine.⁵⁹³ The data showed a slight difference in PFS but no difference in overall survival. The NCCN Guidelines recommend using gemcitabine (category 2B) as continuation maintenance therapy regardless of histology in patients without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations.

Use of continuation maintenance therapy depends on several factors such as whether the patient had minimal toxicity during treatment. A drug vacation may be more appropriate for some patients.⁵²¹ Some clinicians feel that continuation maintenance therapy is only appropriate for select patients, because it has not been shown to improve overall survival or quality of life, although it has been shown to improve PFS.^{521,594} In addition, maintenance therapy has not been shown to be superior to subsequent therapy, which is initiated at disease progression. Data from a phase 3 randomized trial suggest that conventional cytotoxic agents should not be continued beyond 4 to 6 cycles of therapy; however, many patients assigned to a longer duration

of therapy did not receive the planned number of cycles (see *Maintenance Therapy* in this Discussion).^{594,595}

Switch Maintenance Therapy

Issues have been raised about switch maintenance therapy, including the design of the trials, modest survival benefits, quality of life, and toxicity.^{521,596} Therefore, switch maintenance therapy is a category 2B recommendation in the NCCN Guidelines. Two phase 3 randomized trials have shown a benefit in PFS and overall survival with the initiation of pemetrexed or erlotinib after first-line chemotherapy (4–6 cycles) in patients with no apparent disease progression.^{597,598} Switch maintenance therapy with pemetrexed is recommended (category 2B) in patients with non-squamous cell carcinoma who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations.⁵⁹⁸ The FDA has approved maintenance therapy with pemetrexed.⁵⁹⁹ Likewise, switch maintenance therapy with erlotinib is recommended (category 2B) in patients with non-squamous NSCLC but without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations.^{592,597} For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel deleted the recommendation for switch maintenance therapy with erlotinib in patients with squamous cell NSCLC, because overall survival and quality of life were not improved.^{591,600} Both erlotinib and pemetrexed have a category 2B recommendation for switch maintenance therapy in patients with non-squamous NSCLC. The FDA has approved maintenance therapy with erlotinib.⁶⁰¹ A phase 3 trial assessed switch maintenance therapy with docetaxel given either immediately after chemotherapy or delayed until progression.⁶⁰² Switch maintenance therapy with docetaxel is a category 2B recommendation in the NCCN Guidelines for patients with squamous cell carcinoma, because many patients in the delayed chemotherapy arm did not receive docetaxel.

Clinical Evaluation

As previously described, low-dose CT screening is now recommended for asymptomatic select patients who are at high risk for lung cancer (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and for Lung Cancer Screening, available at NCCN.org). Low-dose CT screening may find lung nodules that are suspicious for cancer; the workup and evaluation of these lung nodules is described in the NSCLC algorithm (see *Diagnostic Evaluation of Lung Nodules* in this Discussion and see *Principles of Diagnostic Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

After patients are confirmed to have NSCLC based on a pathologic diagnosis, a clinical evaluation needs to be done (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). In patients with symptoms, the clinical stage is initially determined from disease history (ie, cough, dyspnea, chest pain, weight loss) and physical examination together with a limited battery of tests (see *Evaluation* and *Clinical Stage* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). The NCCN Panel also recommends that smoking cessation advice, counseling, and pharmacotherapy be provided to patients.^{28,603-605} After the clinical stage is determined, the patient is assigned to one of the pathways that are defined by the stage, specific subdivision of the particular stage, and location of the tumor. Note that for some patients, diagnosis, staging, and surgical resection are done during the same operative procedure. A multidisciplinary evaluation should be done before treatment.

Additional Pretreatment Evaluation

Mediastinoscopy

As previously noted, evaluation of the mediastinal nodes is a key step in the further staging of the patient. FDG PET/CT scans can be used as an initial assessment of the hilar and mediastinal nodes (ie, the

presence of N1, N2, or N3, which are key determinants of stage II and stage III disease); however, CT scans have known limitations for evaluating the extent of lymph node involvement in lung cancer (see *Mediastinoscopy* and *Other Imaging Studies* in this Discussion).⁶⁰⁶⁻⁶⁰⁹ Mediastinoscopy is the gold standard for evaluating mediastinal nodes. Thus, mediastinoscopy is encouraged as part of the initial evaluation, particularly if the results of imaging are not conclusive and the probability of mediastinal involvement is high (based on tumor size and location). Therefore, mediastinoscopy is appropriate for patients with T2 to T3 lesions even if the FDG PET/CT scan does not suggest mediastinal node involvement. Mediastinoscopy may also be appropriate to confirm mediastinal node involvement in patients with a positive FDG PET/CT scan. In patients with solid tumors less than 1 cm or for nonsolid tumors (ie, GGOs) less than 3 cm, pathologic mediastinal lymph node evaluation is not required if the nodes are FDG-PET/CT negative.⁶¹⁰ In patients with peripheral T2a, central T1ab, or T2 lesions with negative FDG PET/CT scans, the risk for mediastinal lymph node involvement is higher and mediastinoscopy and/or EUS-FNA and EBUS-TBNA are recommended (see *Other Imaging Studies* in this Discussion and the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Dillemans et al have reported a selective mediastinoscopy strategy, proceeding straight to thoracotomy without mediastinoscopy for T1 peripheral tumors without enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes on preoperative CT.⁶¹¹ This strategy resulted in a 16% incidence of positive N2 nodes discovered only at the time of thoracotomy. For identifying N2 disease, chest CT scans had sensitivity and specificity rates of 69% and 71%, respectively. However, using both the chest CT scan plus mediastinoscopy was significantly more accurate (89% vs. 71%) than using the chest CT scan alone for identifying N2 disease. When using CT scans, node positivity is based on the size of the lymph nodes.

Therefore, the CT scan will miss small metastases that do not result in node enlargement. To address this issue, Arita et al specifically examined lung cancer metastases to normal size mediastinal lymph nodes in 90 patients and found an incidence of 16% (14/90) false-negative chest CT scans with histologic identification of occult N2 or N3 disease.⁶¹²

Bronchoscopy is used in diagnosis and local staging of both central and peripheral lung lesions and is recommended for pretreatment evaluation of stage I to IIIA tumors. However, in patients who present with a solitary pulmonary nodule where the suspicion of malignancy is high, surgical resection without prior invasive testing may be reasonable.

Other Imaging Studies

As previously mentioned, CT scans have known limitations for evaluating the extent of lymph node involvement in lung cancer.⁶⁰⁷ PET scans have been used to help evaluate the extent of disease and to provide more accurate staging. The NCCN Panel reviewed the diagnostic performance of CT and PET scans. The NCCN Panel believes that PET scans can play a role in the evaluation and more accurate staging of NSCLC, for example, in identifying stage I (peripheral and central T1–2, N0), stage II, stage III, and stage IV diseases.^{606,613,614} However, FDG PET/CT is even more sensitive and is recommended by NCCN.⁶¹⁵⁻⁶¹⁷

The NCCN Panel assessed studies that examined the sensitivity and specificity of chest CT scans for mediastinal lymph node staging.⁶¹⁸ Depending on the clinical scenario, a sensitivity of 40% to 65% and a specificity of 45% to 90% were reported.⁶¹⁹ Because they detect tumor physiology, as opposed to anatomy, PET scans may be more sensitive than CT scans. Moreover, if postobstructive pneumonitis is present, there is little correlation between the size of the mediastinal lymph

nodes and tumor involvement.⁶²⁰ Chin et al found that PET, when used to stage the mediastinal nodes, was 78% sensitive and 81% specific with a negative predictive value of 89%.⁶²¹ Kernstine et al compared PET scan to CT scan for identifying N2 and N3 disease in NSCLC.⁶²² The PET scan was found to be more sensitive than the CT scan in identifying mediastinal node disease (70% vs. 65%). FDG PET/CT has been shown to be useful in restaging patients after adjuvant therapy.^{623,624}

When patients with early-stage disease are accurately staged using FDG PET/CT, inappropriate surgery is avoided.⁶¹⁵ However, positive FDG PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation (eg, MRI of bone). If the FDG PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, the lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation.^{606,625} Transesophageal EUS-FNA and EBUS-TBNA have proven useful to stage patients or to diagnose mediastinal lesions; these techniques can be used instead of invasive staging procedures in select patients.⁶²⁶⁻⁶²⁹ When compared with CT and PET, EBUS-TBNA has a high sensitivity and specificity for staging mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes in patients with lung cancer.⁶³⁰ In patients with positive nodes on CT or PET, EBUS-TBNA can be used to clarify the results.^{631,632} However, in patients with negative findings on EBUS-TBNA, conventional mediastinoscopy can be done to confirm the results.^{627,632-634} Note that EBUS is also known as endosonography.

The routine use of bone scans (to exclude bone metastases) is not recommended. Brain MRI (with contrast), to rule out asymptomatic brain metastases, is recommended for patients with stage II, III, and IV disease to rule out metastatic disease if aggressive combined-modality therapy is being considered.⁶³⁵ Patients with stage IB NSCLC are less likely to have brain metastases; therefore, brain MRI is only a category 2B recommendation in this setting. If brain MRI cannot be done, then

CT of the head with contrast is an option. Note that PET scans are not recommended for assessing the presence of brain metastases (see the NCCN Guidelines for Central Nervous System Cancers, available at [NCCN.org](#)).

Initial Therapy

Before treatment, it is strongly recommended that determination of tumor resectability be made by board-certified thoracic surgeons who perform lung cancer surgery as a prominent part of their practice (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). *Principles of Radiation Therapy* recommends doses for RT (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). In addition, the NCCN Guidelines also recommend regimens for chemotherapy and chemoradiation (see *Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy*, *Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy*, and *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Stage I, Stage II, and Stage IIIA Disease

Depending on the extent and type of comorbidity present, patients with stage I or a subset of stage II (T1–2, N1) tumors are generally candidates for surgical resection and mediastinal lymph node dissection. Definitive RT, particularly SABR, is recommended for patients with early-stage NSCLC who are medically inoperable or refuse surgery, and can be considered as an alternative to surgery in patients at high risk of complications (see *Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy* in this Discussion and see *Initial Treatment for Stage I and II* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{234,251,254,320,326,636} In some instances, positive mediastinal nodes (N2) are discovered at surgery; in this setting, an additional assessment of staging and tumor resectability

must be made, and the treatment (ie, inclusion of systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection) must be modified accordingly. Therefore, the NCCN Guidelines include 2 different tracks for T1–3, N2 disease (ie, stage IIIA disease): 1) T1–3, N2 disease discovered unexpectedly at surgical exploration; and 2) T1–3, N2 disease confirmed before thoracotomy. In the second case, an initial brain MRI (with contrast) and FDG PET/CT scan (if not previously done) are recommended to rule out metastatic disease.

For patients with clinical stage IIB (T3, N0) and stage IIIA tumors who have different treatment options (surgery, RT, or chemotherapy), a multidisciplinary evaluation is recommended. For the subsets of stage IIB (T3, N0) and stage IIIA (T4, N0–1) tumors, treatment options are organized according to the location of the tumor such as the superior sulcus, chest wall, proximal airway, or mediastinum.²⁴² For each location, a thoracic surgeon needs to determine whether the tumor is resectable (see *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

For patients with resectable tumors (T3 invasion, N0–1) in the superior sulcus, the NCCN Panel recommends preoperative concurrent chemoradiation therapy followed by surgical resection and chemotherapy (see *Initial Treatment for Superior Sulcus Tumors* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Preoperative concurrent chemoradiation followed by surgical resection of a superior sulcus tumor has shown 2-year survival in the 50% to 70% range.^{242,342,344,637-640} The overall 5-year survival rate is approximately 40%.³⁴⁴ Patients with possibly resectable superior sulcus tumors should undergo preoperative concurrent chemoradiation before surgical re-evaluation. For patients with unresectable tumors (T4 extension, N0–1) in the superior sulcus, definitive concurrent chemoradiation is

recommended followed by 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy if full-dose chemotherapy was not initially given concurrently with RT.^{505,641}

Surgical resection is the preferred treatment option for patients with tumors of the chest wall, proximal airway, or mediastinum (T3–4, N0–1). Other treatment options include chemotherapy or concurrent chemoradiation before surgical resection. For unresectable T4, N0–1 tumors without pleural effusion, definitive concurrent chemoradiation (category 1) is recommended.^{260,470} If full-dose chemotherapy was not given initially as concurrent treatment, then an additional 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy can be administered (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{260,345,470,505}

Multimodality therapy is recommended for most patients with stage III NSCLC.⁵⁰² For patients with stage IIIA disease and positive mediastinal nodes (T1–3, N2), treatment is based on the findings of pathologic mediastinal lymph node evaluation (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Patients with negative mediastinal biopsy findings are candidates for surgery. For those patients with resectable lesions, mediastinal lymph node dissection or lymph node sampling should be performed during the operation. Those individuals who are medically inoperable should be treated according to the clinical stage (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For patients with (T1–2 or T3) N2 node-positive disease, a brain MRI (with contrast) and FDG PET/CT scan (if not done previously) are recommended to search for distant metastases. When distant metastases are not present, the NCCN Panel recommends that the patient be treated with definitive concurrent chemoradiation therapy (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{319,471} Recommended therapy for metastatic disease depends on whether disease is in a solitary site or is widespread (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

When a lung metastasis is present, it usually occurs in patients with other systemic metastases; the prognosis is poor. Therefore, many of these patients are not candidates for surgery; however, systemic therapy is recommended. Although uncommon, patients with lung metastases but without systemic metastases have a better prognosis and are candidates for surgery (see *Multiple Lung Cancers* in this Discussion).⁶⁴² Patients with separate pulmonary nodule(s) in the same lobe (T3, N0-1) or ipsilateral non-primary lobe (T4, N0-1) without other systemic metastases are potentially curable by surgery; 5-year survival rates are about 30%.⁶⁴³ Intrapulmonary metastases were downstaged in the TNM staging (ie, AJCC 7th edition).^{105,643,644} For those with N2 nodes after surgery, concurrent chemoradiation is recommended for those with positive margins and a R2 resection; either sequential or concurrent chemoradiation is recommended after an R1 resection. Most NCCN Member Institutions favor concurrent chemoradiation for positive margins, but sequential chemoradiation is reasonable in frailer patients.⁶⁴⁵ For those with N2 nodes and negative margins, sequential chemotherapy (category 1) with RT is recommended. Chemotherapy alone is recommended for those with N0-1 nodes (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). In patients with synchronous solitary nodules (contralateral lung), the NCCN Panel recommends treating them as 2 primary lung tumors if both are curable, even if the histology of the 2 tumors is similar (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁶⁴⁶

Multiple Lung Cancers

Patients with a history of lung cancer or those with biopsy-proven synchronous lesions may be suspected of having multiple lung cancers (see *Clinical Presentation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{647,648} It is important to determine whether the multiple lung cancers are metastases or separate lung primaries (synchronous

or metachronous), because most multiple lung tumors are metastases.^{57,242,649,650} Therefore, it is essential to determine the histology of the lung tumor (see *Principles of Pathologic Review* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Infection and other benign diseases also need to be ruled out (eg, inflammatory granulomas).^{651,652} Although criteria have been established for diagnosing multiple lung cancers, no definitive method has been established before treatment.⁶⁵²⁻⁶⁵⁵ The Martini and Melamed criteria are often used to diagnose multiple lung cancers as follows: 1) the histologies are different; 2) the histologies are the same but there is no lymph node involvement and no extrathoracic metastases.⁶⁵⁵

Treatment of multiple lung cancers depends on status of the lymph nodes (eg, N0–1) and on whether the lung cancers are asymptomatic, symptomatic, or at high risk of becoming symptomatic (see *Initial Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{649,656-658} In patients eligible for definitive local therapy, parenchymal-sparing resection is preferred (see the *Principles of Surgical Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{648,649} VATS or SABR are reasonable options depending on the number and distribution of the tumors requiring local treatment.⁶⁵⁹ Multiple lung nodules (eg, solid, subsolid nodules) may also be detected on low-dose CT scans; some of these nodules can be followed with imaging, whereas others need to be biopsied or excised (see the NCCN Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening, available at NCCN.org).⁶⁶⁰

Stage IIIB Disease

Stage IIIB tumors comprise 2 groups, including: 1) T1–3, N3 tumors; and 2) T4, N2–3 tumors, which are unresectable and include contralateral mediastinal nodes (T4, N3). Surgical resection is not recommended in patients with T1–3, N3 disease. However, in patients

with suspected N3 disease, the NCCN Guidelines recommend pathologic confirmation of nodal status (see *Pretreatment Evaluation* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{661,662} In addition, FDG PET/CT scans (if not previously done) and brain MRI (with contrast) should also be included in the pretreatment evaluation. If these tests are negative, then treatment options for the appropriate nodal status should be followed (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). If N3 disease is confirmed, definitive concurrent chemoradiation (category 1) is recommended followed by 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy if full-dose chemotherapy was not initially given concurrently with RT.^{260,470,505,663,664} For metastatic disease that is confirmed by FDG PET/CT scan and brain MRI (with contrast), treatment is described in the NCCN Guidelines.

For patients with T4, N2–3 disease (stage IIIB), surgical resection is not generally recommended. The initial workup includes biopsies of the N3 and N2 nodes. If these biopsies are negative, the same treatment options may be used as for stage IIIA (T4, N0–1) disease (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). If either the contralateral or ipsilateral mediastinal node is positive, definitive concurrent chemoradiation therapy is recommended (category 1) followed by 2 cycles of full-dose chemotherapy if full-dose chemotherapy was not given concurrently with RT as initial treatment (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{260,470,505,663-665}

Stage IV Disease

In general, systemic therapy is recommended for patients with metastatic disease (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁵⁹ This section focuses on patients with limited metastatic disease;

management of widespread distant metastases is described in another section (see *Treatment of Recurrences and Distant Metastases* in this Discussion and *Systemic Therapy for Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Pleural or pericardial effusion is a criterion for stage IV, M1a disease. T4 with pleural effusion is classified as stage IV, M1a (see Table 3 in *Staging* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).¹⁰⁵ Although pleural effusions are malignant in 90% to 95% of patients, they may be related to obstructive pneumonitis, atelectasis, lymphatic or venous obstruction, or a pulmonary embolus. Therefore, pathologic confirmation of a malignant effusion by using thoracentesis or pericardiocentesis is recommended. In certain cases, where thoracentesis is inconclusive, thoracoscopy may be performed. In the absence of nonmalignant causes (eg, obstructive pneumonia), an exudate or sanguinous effusion is considered malignant regardless of the results of cytologic examination. If the pleural effusion is considered negative, recommended treatment is based on the confirmed T and N stage (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). However, all pleural effusions, whether malignant or not, are associated with unresectable disease in 95% of cases.⁶⁶⁶ In patients with effusions that are positive for malignancy, the tumor is treated as M1a with local therapy (ie, ambulatory small catheter drainage, pleurodesis, and pericardial window) in addition to treatment as for stage IV disease (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁶⁶⁷

Management of patients with distant metastasis in limited sites (ie, stage IV, M1b) depends on the location of the metastases—a few nodules in the brain or adrenal gland—the diagnosis of which is aided by mediastinoscopy, bronchoscopy, FDG PET/CT scan, and brain MRI (with contrast). The increased sensitivity of FDG PET/CT scans, compared with other imaging methods, may identify additional

metastases and, thus, spare some patients from unnecessary surgery. However, positive FDG PET/CT scan findings for distant disease need pathologic or other radiologic confirmation. If the FDG PET/CT scan is positive in the mediastinum, the lymph node status needs pathologic confirmation. Patients with limited oligometastatic disease (eg, single brain or adrenal metastasis) and otherwise limited disease in the chest may benefit from aggressive local therapy to both the primary chest and metastatic sites.^{668,669} Aggressive local therapy may comprise surgery or definitive RT including SABR to each site, and may be preceded or followed by chemotherapy. Recent data suggest that erlotinib combined with SABR or SRS may also be useful.⁴³⁰

Metastases to the adrenal gland from lung cancer are a common occurrence, with approximately 33% of patients having such disease at autopsy. In patients with otherwise resectable primary tumors, however, many solitary adrenal masses are not malignant. Any adrenal mass found on a preoperative CT scan in a patient with lung cancer should be biopsied to rule out benign adenoma. Local therapy (category 2B) of the adrenal lesion has produced some long-term survivors when an adrenal metastasis has been found and the lung lesion has been curable (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁶⁷⁰⁻⁶⁷³ Some NCCN Panel Members feel that local therapy for adrenal metastases is only advisable if the synchronous lung disease is stage I or possibly stage II (ie, resectable). Systemic therapy is another treatment option for adrenal metastasis.

Adjuvant Treatment

Chemotherapy or Chemoradiation

Post-surgical treatment options for patients with stage IA tumors (T1ab, N0) and with positive surgical margins (R1, R2) include re-resection (preferred) or RT (category 2B). Observation is recommended for

patients with T1ab, N0 tumors and with negative surgical margins (R0). Patients with T2ab, N0 tumors with negative surgical margins are usually observed. Adjuvant chemotherapy is a category 2A recommendation for patients with high-risk features (including poorly differentiated tumors, vascular invasion, wedge resection, tumors >4 cm, visceral pleural involvement, and incomplete lymph node sampling [Nx]) (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{494,674} If the surgical margins are positive in patients with T2ab, N0 tumors, options include: 1) re-resection (preferred) with (or without) chemotherapy; or 2) RT with (or without) chemotherapy (chemotherapy is recommended for stage IIA).^{309,494}

The NCCN Panel recommends chemotherapy (category 1) for patients with negative surgical margins and stage II disease, including 1) T1ab–2a, N1; 2) T2b, N1; or 3) T3, N0 disease.^{490,675} If surgical margins are positive in these patients, options after an R1 resection include: 1) re-resection and chemotherapy; or 2) chemoradiation (either sequential or concurrent). Options after an R2 resection include: 1) re-resection and chemotherapy; or 2) concurrent chemoradiation. Most NCCN Member Institutions favor concurrent chemoradiation for positive margins, but sequential is reasonable in frailer patients.⁶⁴⁵

Adjuvant chemotherapy can also be used in patients with stage III NSCLC who have had surgery (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Patients with T1-3, N2 or T3, N1 disease (discovered only at surgical exploration and mediastinal lymph node dissection) and positive margins may be treated with chemoradiation; either sequential or concurrent chemoradiation is recommended for an R1 resection, whereas concurrent chemoradiation is recommended for an R2 resection (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Patients with negative margins may be

treated with either 1) chemotherapy (category 1); or 2) sequential chemotherapy plus RT (for N2 only).⁴⁹⁰

For stage IIIA superior sulcus tumors (T4 extension, N0–1) that convert to a resectable status (ie, become resectable) after preoperative concurrent chemoradiation, resection followed by chemotherapy is recommended (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). If the lesion remains unresectable after preoperative concurrent chemoradiation, the full course of definitive chemo/RT should be completed, followed by chemotherapy as an adjuvant treatment if full doses were not given with concurrent therapy. Among patients with chest wall lesions with T3 invasion–T4 extension, N0–1 disease, those who are initially treated with surgery (preferred) may receive chemotherapy alone if the surgical margins are negative. When surgical margins are positive, they may receive either 1) sequential or concurrent chemoradiation; or 2) re-resection with chemotherapy. As previously mentioned, most NCCN Member Institutions favor concurrent chemoradiation for positive margins, but sequential is reasonable in frailer patients.⁶⁴⁵ A similar treatment plan is recommended for resectable tumors of the proximal airway or mediastinum (T3–4, N0–1).

For patients with stage IIIA disease and positive mediastinal nodes (T1–3, N2) with no apparent disease progression after initial treatment, recommended treatment includes surgery with (or without) RT (if not given preoperatively) and/or with (or without) chemotherapy (category 2B for chemotherapy) (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Alternatively, if the disease progresses, patients may be treated with either 1) local therapy using RT (if not given previously) with (or without) chemotherapy; or 2) systemic treatment. In patients with separate pulmonary nodules in the same lobe (T3, N0-1) or ipsilateral non-primary lobe (T4, N0-1), surgery is recommended. In patients with N2 disease, if the margins are negative, sequential

chemotherapy (category 1) with radiation is recommended. If the resection margins are positive in patients with N2 disease, concurrent chemoradiation is recommended for an R2 resection, whereas either concurrent or sequential chemoradiation is recommended for an R1 resection. Concurrent chemoradiation is often used for positive margins, but sequential is reasonable in frailer patients.

Because patients with stage III disease have both local and distant failures, theoretically, the use of chemotherapy may eradicate micrometastatic disease obviously present but undetectable at diagnosis. The timing of this chemotherapy varies (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Such chemotherapy may be given alone, sequentially, or concurrently with RT. In addition, chemotherapy could be given preoperatively or postoperatively in appropriate patients.

On the basis of clinical studies on neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy for NSCLC,⁴⁵⁸⁻⁴⁶⁰ the NCCN Panel has included cisplatin combined with docetaxel, etoposide, gemcitabine, or vinorelbine for adjuvant chemotherapy for all histologies in the NCCN Guidelines; other options include cisplatin combined with pemetrexed for non-squamous NSCLC (see *Chemotherapy Regimens for Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{495,511,514} For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel deleted vinblastine since this agent is rarely used. For patients with comorbidities or those who cannot tolerate cisplatin, carboplatin combined with paclitaxel is an option.^{495,676} A phase 3 randomized trial in elderly patients (70–89 years) with advanced NSCLC reported that combined therapy with weekly paclitaxel and monthly carboplatin improved survival when compared with single-agent therapy using either gemcitabine or vinorelbine (10.3 vs. 6.2 months).⁶⁷⁷ A number of

phase 2 studies have evaluated neoadjuvant chemotherapy for stage III NSCLC, with (or without) RT, followed by surgery.⁶⁷⁸⁻⁶⁸⁰

Three phase 3 trials have assessed neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery compared with surgery alone in the treatment of stage III NSCLC.^{465,681-683} The S9900 trial (a SWOG study)—one of the largest randomized trials examining preoperative chemotherapy in early-stage NSCLC—assessed surgery alone compared with surgery plus preoperative paclitaxel/carboplatin in patients with stage IB/IIA and stage IIB/IIIA NSCLC (excluding superior sulcus tumors). PFS and overall survival were improved with preoperative chemotherapy.^{682,683} All 3 studies showed a survival advantage for patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The 2 earlier phase 3 studies had a small number of patients, while the SWOG study was stopped early because of the positive results of the IALT study. However, the induction chemotherapy-surgery approach needs to be compared with induction chemotherapy-RT in large, randomized clinical trials.

Radiation Therapy

After complete resection of clinical early-stage NSCLC, postoperative RT has been found to be detrimental in the context of pathological N0 or N1 stage disease in a meta-analysis of small randomized trials using older techniques and dosing regimens and a population-based analysis of data from SEER.⁶⁸⁴ However, there was an apparent survival benefit of postoperative RT in patients with N2 nodal stage diagnosed surgically.³³⁹ The analysis of the ANITA trial also found that postoperative RT increased survival in patients with N2 disease who received adjuvant chemotherapy.³⁰⁹ A recent review of the National Cancer Data Base concluded that postoperative RT and chemotherapy provided a survival advantage for patients with completely resected N2 disease when compared with chemotherapy alone.⁶⁸⁵ A recent meta-

analysis also concluded that postoperative RT improves survival for patients with N2 disease.⁶⁸⁶ Postoperative adjuvant sequential chemotherapy with RT is recommended for patients with T1–3, N2 disease and negative margins (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). A meta-analysis assessed postoperative chemotherapy with (or without) postoperative RT in patients mainly with stage III disease.⁶⁷⁵ In this meta-analysis, 70% of the eligible trials used adjuvant chemotherapy before RT; 30% used concurrent chemo/RT. Regimens included cisplatin/vinorelbine followed by RT or concurrent cisplatin/etoposide.

The ACR Appropriateness Criteria® provide specific recommendations for postoperative adjuvant therapy.^{687,688} Either concurrent or sequential chemoradiation may be used for postoperative adjuvant therapy, depending on the type of resection and the setting (eg, N2 disease) (see *Adjuvant Treatment* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Concurrent chemo/RT is recommended for R2 resections, whereas either sequential or concurrent chemo/RT is recommended for R1 resections. Concurrent chemoradiation is often used for positive margins, but sequential is reasonable in frailer patients.⁶⁴⁵ Cisplatin/etoposide, cisplatin/vinblastine, and carboplatin/paclitaxel are chemoradiation regimens recommended by the NCCN Panel for all histologies (see *Chemotherapy Regimens Used with Radiation Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵⁰⁴ Pemetrexed with either cisplatin or carboplatin may be used for concurrent chemoradiation in patients with non-squamous NSCLC. Chemoradiation regimens cited in the NCCN Guidelines may also be used for stage II to III disease.^{310,311,470,471,505,508,509}

Surveillance

The surveillance guidelines for patients with no clinical or radiographic evidence of disease are as follows (see *Surveillance* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). A chest CT scan with (or without) contrast is recommended every 6 to 12 months postoperatively for 2 years;⁶⁸⁹⁻⁶⁹⁴ a low-dose non-contrast-enhanced chest CT is recommended annually thereafter. However, patients treated with chemotherapy with (or without) RT who have residual abnormalities may require more frequent imaging. FDG PET/CT or brain MRI are not recommended for routine surveillance in patients without symptoms. But, PET may be useful for assessing CT scans that appear to show malignant neoplasms but may be radiation fibrosis, atelectasis, or other benign conditions. It is important to note that areas previously treated with RT may remain FDG avid for up to 2 years; therefore, histologic confirmation of apparent “recurrent” disease is needed.⁶⁹⁵

Recent data show that low-dose CT screening of select current and former smokers at high risk for lung cancer (ie, ≥30 pack-years of smoking) decreased the mortality from lung cancer.⁵¹ Information about smoking cessation (eg, advice, counseling, therapy) should be provided to aid the treatment of lung cancer and to improve the quality of life of the patients.

The NCCN Guidelines include information about the long-term follow-up care of NSCLC survivors (see *Cancer Survivorship Care* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). These recommendations include guidelines for routine cancer surveillance, immunizations, health monitoring, counseling for wellness and health promotion, and cancer screening. A recent analysis suggests that patients who survive lung cancer have a high symptom burden 1 year after diagnosis and therefore need management after treatment.⁶⁹⁶

Treatment of Recurrences and Distant Metastases

Recurrences are subdivided into locoregional recurrences and distant metastases. Management of locoregional recurrences (eg, endobronchial obstruction, mediastinal lymph node recurrence, superior vena cava obstructions, severe hemoptysis) is described in the NCCN Guidelines (see *Therapy for Recurrence and Metastasis* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁷ For patients with endobronchial obstruction, relieving airway obstruction may increase survival, especially in patients who are severely compromised, and may improve the quality of life.⁶⁹⁷ After the treatment for the locoregional recurrence, observation or systemic therapy (category 2B for systemic therapy) is recommended if disseminated disease is not evident. However, for observed disseminated disease, systemic therapy is recommended. The type of systemic therapy depends on the histologic type, whether any genetic alterations are present, and PS (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Management of distant metastases (eg, localized symptoms; bone, limited, diffuse brain, or disseminated metastases) is described in the NCCN Guidelines (see *Therapy for Recurrence and Metastasis* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Palliation of symptoms can be achieved with external-beam RT for distant metastases with localized symptoms, diffuse brain metastases, or bone metastasis.^{317,698,699}

Of note, recurrent and metastatic disease have historically been regarded as incurable. However, selected limited locoregional recurrences may be treated with curative intent therapy (surgery or RT with [or without] chemotherapy) (see *Therapy for Recurrence and Metastasis* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Similarly, patients with limited-site oligometastatic disease may benefit from aggressive local therapies to the metastatic and primary sites, with clinical data suggesting the possibility of long-term survival (see *Initial Treatment for Stage IV, M1b: Limited Sites* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{404,405,408,700-704} In addition, emerging clinical data suggest the feasibility of definitive reirradiation of local recurrences within prior RT fields using highly conformal techniques, although this should be limited to highly selected cases in specialty centers with appropriate expertise because of the potential for severe toxicity with high cumulative radiation doses to critical structures.^{314,415-417,705-708}

Denosumab or intravenous bisphosphonate therapy can be considered in patients with bone metastasis.^{119,709-712} In patients with NSCLC who have bone metastases, data suggest that denosumab increases median overall survival when compared with zoledronic acid (9.5 vs. 8 months).⁷¹³ Denosumab and bisphosphonate therapy can be associated with severe hypocalcemia; patients with hypoparathyroidism and vitamin D deficiency are at increased risk for hypocalcemia. The FDA has approved the use of zoledronic acid and denosumab in patients with bone metastases from solid tumors.^{714,715}

For patients with recurrent and metastatic disease, the NCCN Guidelines recommend that histologic subtype should be determined before therapy so that the best treatment can be selected (see *Metastatic Disease: Histologic Subtype* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁵¹⁴ In addition, testing for genetic alterations (ie, driver events) is now recommended in select patients with NSCLC, because targeted therapy has been shown to decrease tumor burden, decrease symptoms, and dramatically improve the quality of life for patients with specific genetic alterations. The number of available targeted agents is increasing. Several targeted agents have

category 1 recommendations for first-line therapy based on larger trials such as erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib, and crizotinib.⁵⁵⁹

Additional targeted therapies for patients with other genetic alterations are also recommended, although there is less evidence for these agents (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). The following targeted agents are recommended (category 2A) for patients with specific genetic alterations: crizotinib (for ROS1 rearrangements and for high-level MET amplification or MET exon 14 skipping mutation), dabrafenib (with or without trametinib) and vemurafenib (for BRAF V600E mutations), and cabozantinib (for RET rearrangements).^{73,78,112-114,135,138,139,143,146,147,156,158,550,716-725} For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel added a recommendation for a dabrafenib/trametinib regimen for patients with BRAF V600E mutations based on data from a recent phase II study.⁷¹⁹ In addition, the recommendation for cabozantinib for RET rearrangements was revised to category 2A (from category 2B) based on data from another phase II study.⁷¹⁸ Trastuzumab and afatinib (both for HER2 mutations) are category 2B recommendations, because response rates are lower and treatment is less effective when these agents are used for patients with the indicated genetic alterations.^{147,721} Other targeted therapies (such as ceritinib, alectinib) are recommended or being developed as subsequent therapies for patients who become resistant to first-line targeted therapies.

EGFR mutation testing (category 1) is recommended in patients with non-squamous NSCLC (ie, adenocarcinoma, large cell carcinoma) or in NSCLC NOS, because erlotinib, gefitinib, and afatinib (category 1 for all) are recommended for patients who are positive for sensitizing EGFR mutations (see *EGFR Mutation Positive/First-Line Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{76,154,185,188,726} Testing for

ALK rearrangements (category 1) is also recommended in patients with non-squamous NSCLC, because crizotinib is recommended for patients who are positive for ALK rearrangements.^{121,727} Crizotinib is also recommended for patients who are positive for ROS1 rearrangements and MET amplification (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients with Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{112,114,146,728} Ceritinib and alectinib are recommended for patients with ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC who have progressed on crizotinib or are intolerant to crizotinib.²¹⁷ The NCCN Panel recommends that EGFR mutation testing be done as part of broad molecular profiling (eg, multiplex mutation screening assays or NGS). Testing for ALK gene rearrangements can be done with FISH or with NGS if the platform is validated and can identify gene fusions.¹³⁵⁻¹³⁷

As previously mentioned, recent recommendations from an international panel suggest that general histologic categories be avoided (eg, NSCLC), because more effective treatment can be selected when the histology is known.⁵⁷ Patients with pure squamous cell carcinoma do not seem to have ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations; therefore, routine testing is not recommended in these patients.^{122,729-731} However, testing for ALK rearrangements or EGFR mutations can be considered in patients with squamous cell carcinomas who never smoked and those whose histology was determined using small biopsy specimens or mixed histology specimens.¹²² Treatment recommendations and eligibility criteria for patients with non-squamous NSCLC (or NSCLC NOS) who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations are described in the NCCN Guidelines. Treatment recommendations and eligibility criteria for patients with squamous cell carcinoma are also described in the NCCN Guidelines. These recommendations are briefly summarized in the following

paragraphs. Data supporting these recommendations are described in the following section (see *Trial Data* in this Discussion).

In general, 2-drug regimens (ie, doublet chemotherapy) are recommended over single agents (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer); however, targeted therapy is sometimes added to the 2-drug regimen (eg, the addition of bevacizumab to carboplatin/paclitaxel). Single-agent targeted therapy may also be recommended for patients with ALK rearrangements, sensitizing EGFR mutations, or other driver mutations (see *Emerging Targeted Agents for Patients With Genetic Alterations* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Doublet chemotherapy regimens, such as cisplatin/pemetrexed, are recommended (category 1) for patients with non-squamous NSCLC who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations if eligibility criteria are met (ie, they do not have squamous cell carcinoma); these regimens are also recommended in patients who have not had testing for mutations or rearrangements.⁵¹⁴

Bevacizumab/chemotherapy is another option for patients with non-squamous NSCLC who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations if eligibility criteria are met.⁷³² Previously, patients with brain metastases were excluded from receiving bevacizumab because of concerns about CNS hemorrhage; however, data suggest that bevacizumab can be used in patients with treated CNS metastases.⁷³³ Other chemotherapy options are also recommended, although some regimens may be more appropriate for certain patients, depending on histology, PS, and other factors (see *Trial Data* in this Discussion, *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, and the NCCN Drugs & Biologics Compendium [NCCN Compendium®]).^{559,734} A phase 3 randomized trial in elderly patients

(70–89 years) with advanced NSCLC reported that combined therapy with weekly paclitaxel and monthly carboplatin improved survival when compared with single-agent therapy using either gemcitabine or vinorelbine (10.3 vs. 6.2 months).⁶⁷⁷ Systemic therapy for elderly patients with advanced NSCLC needs to be carefully selected to avoid adverse reactions.⁷³⁵

Cisplatin/gemcitabine (category 1) is an option for patients with squamous cell carcinoma.⁵¹⁴ Carboplatin/paclitaxel, cisplatin/vinorelbine (category 1 for both), and other regimens listed in the NSCLC algorithm may also be used (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and the NCCN Compendium®). As previously indicated, regimens containing pemetrexed or bevacizumab are not recommended for squamous cell carcinoma. Currently, there are fewer treatment options for patients with squamous cell carcinoma when compared with non-squamous NSCLC. Research is ongoing to find newer options.^{5,73,137,736,737}

Trial Data

In a phase 2/3 trial (ECOG 4599), 878 patients were randomly assigned to either 1) bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel/carboplatin; or 2) paclitaxel/carboplatin alone.^{518,738} Both regimens were well tolerated with selected toxicities. Patients receiving bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin showed an improved median survival (12.3 vs. 10.3 months, $P = .003$) when compared to patients receiving paclitaxel/carboplatin alone.⁵¹⁸ The overall 1-year and 2-year survival was 51% vs. 44% and 23% vs. 15%, respectively, in favor of the bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin arm.⁵¹⁸ However, more significant toxicities were observed with bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin compared to paclitaxel/carboplatin (grade 4 neutropenia: 25.5% vs. 16.8%, grade 5 hemoptysis: 1.2% vs.

0% and grade 3 hypertension: 6.8% vs. 0.5%). Treatment-related deaths were more common with bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin (15 patients) than with paclitaxel/carboplatin (2 patients) ($P = .001$). A recent analysis of ECOG 4599 found that patients with adenocarcinoma histology receiving bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin had improved survival compared with chemotherapy alone (14.2 vs. 10.3 months).⁷³² However, a trial (AVAiL) comparing cisplatin/gemcitabine with (or without) bevacizumab did not show an increase in survival with the addition of bevacizumab.^{739,740}

A noninferiority trial in 1725 patients with advanced NSCLC (either stage IIIB or IV; most were stage IV) assessed cisplatin/gemcitabine compared with cisplatin/pemetrexed.⁵¹⁴ Patients with either adenocarcinoma or large cell carcinoma (ie, non-squamous NSCLC) had improved survival with cisplatin/pemetrexed (adenocarcinoma: 12.6 vs. 10.9 months). Patients with squamous cell carcinoma had improved survival with the cisplatin/gemcitabine regimen (10.8 vs. 9.4 months). When compared with the cisplatin/gemcitabine regimen, the cisplatin/pemetrexed regimen had significantly lower rates of grade 3 or 4 neutropenia, anemia, and thrombocytopenia ($P \leq .001$); febrile neutropenia ($P = .002$); and alopecia ($P < .001$). Treatment-related deaths were similar for both regimens (cisplatin/pemetrexed, 9 patients [1.0%]; cisplatin/gemcitabine, 6 patients [0.7%]). A recent analysis of three phase 3 trials confirmed that pemetrexed improves survival for patients with non-squamous NSCLC in first-line, subsequent, and maintenance therapy.⁷⁴¹

Data show that platinum-based combination therapy is superior to best supportive care for patients with advanced, incurable disease. Cisplatin or carboplatin have been proven effective in combination with many of the following agents: docetaxel, etoposide, gemcitabine, paclitaxel (and albumin-bound paclitaxel), pemetrexed, and vinorelbine (see *Systemic*

Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{495,511-514,537,538,546} Non-platinum regimens (eg, gemcitabine/docetaxel, gemcitabine/vinorelbine) are reasonable alternatives, because data show they are active and less toxic than platinum-based regimens.^{540-543,742}

Number of Cycles of First-Line Systemic Therapy

Patients receiving first-line systemic therapy for advanced disease should be evaluated for tumor response with a CT scan. Approximately 25% of patients show disease progression after the initial cycle of chemotherapy; subsequent therapy is recommended for these patients (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). Patients with responsive or stable disease can continue to receive a total of 4 to 6 cycles of systemic therapy.^{475,595,743} Currently, the NCCN Guidelines do not recommend continuing chemotherapy beyond 4 to 6 cycles.

Recent data from the PARAMOUNT trial suggest that 4 cycles of platinum-based therapy is not optimal,⁵⁸⁷ tumors can shrink between 4 to 6 cycles of chemotherapy. However, patients may not be able to tolerate more than 4 cycles of chemotherapy, and most of the maintenance trials used only 4 cycles of chemotherapy.⁵²¹ A meta-analysis suggests that continuing the initial regimen beyond 4 to 6 cycles is associated with increased PFS; however, patients have more adverse events.⁷⁴⁴ A phase 3 randomized trial suggested that continuing chemotherapy beyond 4 to 6 cycles is not beneficial; however, many patients assigned to longer duration of therapy did not receive the planned number of cycles.^{594,595} In this phase 3 trial, taxane-based regimens were used and patients had increasing neurotoxicity as more cycles were used.⁵⁹⁵

Many patients with adenocarcinoma now receive pemetrexed-based regimens and not taxane-based regimens. Pemetrexed-based regimens

are less toxic than taxane-based regimens. Thus, data suggesting that more than 6 cycles of first-line chemotherapy are not appropriate may only apply to taxane-based regimens.⁵²¹ Studies report that 60% of patients were able to receive 6 cycles of pemetrexed-based chemotherapy (and had a low incidence of toxicity), whereas only 42% were able to receive more than 5 cycles of taxane-based chemotherapy and often stopped therapy because of neurotoxicity.^{585,595}

Maintenance Therapy

In patients with advanced NSCLC, maintenance therapy is another option for those with responsive or stable disease after first-line systemic therapy (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer). For patients with non-squamous NSCLC who are negative for ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations, continuation maintenance therapy includes bevacizumab (category 1), pemetrexed (category 1), bevacizumab/pemetrexed, or gemcitabine (category 2B) (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{518,523,564,587,589,591,592} Switch maintenance therapy for these patients includes pemetrexed or erlotinib (both are category 2B).^{591,592,597,598} A phase 3 randomized trial (n = 663) assessed the effect of best supportive care with (or without) switch maintenance pemetrexed in patients with advanced NSCLC who had received platinum-based chemotherapy but had not progressed.⁵⁹⁸ In patients with non-squamous NSCLC, overall survival was increased with pemetrexed when compared with placebo (15.5 vs. 10.3 months, $P = .002$). Close observation is another option. Maintenance therapy is discussed in greater detail earlier in this Discussion (see *Combined Modality Therapy: Maintenance Therapy*).

For patients with squamous cell carcinoma, gemcitabine (category 2B) is recommended as continuation maintenance therapy (see the NCCN

Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{592,597} Switch maintenance therapy for these patients includes docetaxel (category 2B). Close observation is a category 2A option. As previously mentioned, a phase 3 randomized trial compared using maintenance therapy with either gemcitabine or erlotinib after first-line therapy with cisplatin-gemcitabine. Continuation maintenance therapy with single-agent gemcitabine increased PFS to a greater extent (3.8 months) than switch maintenance therapy with erlotinib (2.9 months) when compared with observation (1.9 months).^{591,592} However, the benefits of maintenance therapy were very slight; therefore, the recommendation is only category 2B for maintenance therapy with gemcitabine. A phase 3 trial assessed switch maintenance therapy with docetaxel given either immediately after chemotherapy or delayed until progression.⁶⁰² However, switch maintenance therapy with docetaxel is a category 2B recommendation in the NCCN Guidelines, because many patients in the delayed chemotherapy arm did not receive docetaxel.⁷⁴⁵

Continuation of Erlotinib, Gefitinib, or Afatinib After Progression

Previously, erlotinib was commonly used in the United States in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations because of restrictions on the use of gefitinib. However, gefitinib was recently re-approved by the FDA based on a phase 4 study and is now available in the United States.¹¹⁸ Patients may continue to derive benefit from erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib after disease progression; discontinuation of these TKIs leads to more rapid progression of disease (symptoms, tumor size, and FDG-avidity on PET scan).⁷⁴⁶ This strategy mirrors the experience in other oncogene-addicted cancers, particularly *HER2*-amplified breast cancer. In women with *HER2*-amplified breast cancer who have had progression of disease on trastuzumab, improved radiographic response rate, time to progression, and overall survival are observed when conventional chemotherapy is added to trastuzumab.⁷⁴⁷ Data

support the continued use of erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib in patients with lung adenocarcinoma with sensitizing *EGFR* mutations after development of acquired resistance.⁷⁴⁸ The NCCN Panel recommends continuing erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib in patients with asymptomatic progression; however, treatment varies for patients with symptomatic progression (see *Sensitizing EGFR Mutation Positive: Subsequent Therapy* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{722,749,750} In most cases, erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib is continued for these patients; however, additional therapy may be added or substituted (eg, whole brain RT, local therapy, systemic therapy).

Accumulating data suggest how cancers become resistant to EGFR inhibitors.⁷⁵¹ The most common known mechanism is the acquisition of the T790M mutation (which is a secondary mutation in EGFR), that renders the kinase resistant to erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib.^{752,753} Amplification of the MET oncogene is another validated resistance mechanism. To overcome resistance, EGFR must still be inhibited. In the case of MET amplification, new inhibitors must be added to the EGFR inhibitor; however, EGFR inhibition is still required to induce remission. Furthermore, data by Riely et al show that when cancers start to progress, which were once sensitive to EGFR inhibitors, discontinuation of the EGFR TKI can lead to a much more accelerated progression of the cancer.^{746,754} Thus, continuing EGFR TKIs is beneficial in many patients even after they develop resistance to EGFR TKIs.⁷⁴⁸

Second-Line and Beyond (Subsequent) Systemic Therapy

The phrase *subsequent* therapy was recently substituted for the terms *second-line*, *third-line*, and *beyond* systemic therapy, because the line of therapy may vary depending on previous treatment with targeted agents. Subsequent systemic therapy regimens for patients who have

disease progression during or after first-line therapy are described in the NSCLC algorithm and depend on the specific genetic alteration, the histologic subtype, and whether the patient has symptoms (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).⁷⁵⁵⁻⁷⁶⁴

For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel decided that immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as pembrolizumab and nivolumab, are preferred agents for subsequent therapy in patients with metastatic NSCLC based on improved survival rates, longer duration of response, and fewer adverse events when compared with cytotoxic chemotherapy (see *Nivolumab* and *Pembrolizumab* in this Discussion).^{565,566} Human immune-checkpoint-inhibitor antibodies inhibit the programmed death (PD-1) receptor, which improves antitumor immunity; PD-1 receptors are expressed on activated cytotoxic T-cells.^{565,568,569} For 2016 (Version 1 update), the NCCN Panel revised the recommendation for nivolumab to category 1 (from category 2A) based on the published data from a phase 3 randomized trial (CheckMate-057) and the recent FDA approval of nivolumab for patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC.⁵⁶⁵ For this 2016 update (Version 4), the NCCN Panel revised the recommendation for pembrolizumab to category 1 (from category 2A) as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic non-squamous or squamous NSCLC and PD-L1 expression based on a recent phase 2/3 randomized trial (KEYNOTE-010) trial, KEYNOTE-001 trial, and recent FDA approval.^{567,579}

For 2016 (Version 2 update), the NCCN Panel recommends osimertinib as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC who have progressed on erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib therapy based on recent data and on the FDA approval (see *Osimertinib* in this Discussion). Osimertinib (AZD9291) is an oral TKI that inhibits both EGFR sensitizing mutations and T790M mutations. Preliminary data from recent phase 2 trials (AURA/AURA2) report that

osimertinib is associated with a response rate of about 61% and disease control rate of about 91% in patients who have progressed on sensitizing EGFR TKI therapy; 18% of patients had grade 3 or higher adverse events with one fatal event.^{562,563} The FDA has approved osimertinib for patients with metastatic EGFR T790M mutation-positive NSCLC, as detected by an FDA-approved test, who have progressed on or after EGFR TKI therapy. Most patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations and metastatic NSCLC typically progress after about 8 to 16 months of erlotinib or gefitinib therapy.¹⁶⁶ The EGFR T790M mutation is associated with acquired resistance to TKI therapy and has been reported in about 60% of patients with disease progression after initial response to sensitizing EGFR TKI therapy.^{134,165-171} T790M mutations can be assessed using an FDA-approved test or other validated laboratory test done in a CLIA-approved laboratory.

For patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who progress during or after first-line targeted therapy, recommended therapy depends on whether the progression is asymptomatic or symptomatic and includes: 1) switching to osimertinib; 2) continuing erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib with (or without) local therapy; or 3) switching to subsequent therapy using a first-line systemic therapy regimen for either non-squamous or squamous cell NSCLC (such as cisplatin/pemetrexed or cisplatin/gemcitabine, respectively). Recent data suggest that an afatinib/cetuximab regimen may be useful for patients who have progressed after receiving EGFR TKI therapy and chemotherapy.⁷⁶⁵ Patients with T790M-positive and T790M-negative tumors had a similar response rate (32% vs. 25%; $P = .341$). For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel added a recommendation (category 2A) to consider an afatinib/cetuximab regimen for patients who have progressed after receiving EGFR TKIs and chemotherapy based on these data. For 2016 (Version 1 update), a footnote that stating that afatinib had some

efficacy in patients who progressed after EGFR therapy was deleted from the NCCN Guidelines based on a phase 2b/3 trial (LUX-Lung 1).⁷²² Median overall survival was not better in the afatinib group (10.8 months [95% CI, 10.0–12.0]) when compared with the placebo group (12.0 months [95% CI, 10.2–14.3]) (HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.86–1.35; $P = .74$). In the afatinib group, 2 deaths occurred possibly related to treatment.

In both of the phase 3 trials for pembrolizumab or nivolumab versus docetaxel as subsequent therapy for patients with metastatic NSCLC, subset analyses were done in patients with EGFR mutations to determine the best subsequent therapy.^{565,567} The HRs for overall survival do not favor docetaxel over nivolumab (HR = 1.18; CI, 0.69-2.0) or pembrolizumab (HR = 0.88; CI, 0.45-1.7); the CIs for the HRs are wide probably because there were so few patients. The HRs for PFS do favor docetaxel for patients with EGFR mutations when compared with either pembrolizumab (HR = 1.79; CI, 0.94-3.42) or nivolumab (HR = 1.46; CI, 0.90-2.37). But again, the CIs are wide. Thus, the evidence is weak for recommending docetaxel as subsequent therapy for patients with EGFR mutations when compared with either pembrolizumab or nivolumab.

For patients with ALK rearrangements who progress during or after first-line targeted therapy, recommended therapy also depends on whether the progression is asymptomatic or symptomatic and includes: 1) continuing ALK inhibitors with (or without) local therapy; 2) switching to ceritinib or alectinib; or 3) switching to a first-line systemic therapy regimen for either non-squamous or squamous cell NSCLC. After further progression on subsequent targeted therapy, first-line combination chemotherapy options for non-squamous NSCLC or squamous cell carcinoma are recommended for patients with PS of 0 to 1 such as cisplatin/pemetrexed or cisplatin/gemcitabine, respectively.^{119,766} Other chemotherapy options are also recommended

for patients with PS 2 (see *Systemic Therapy for Advanced or Metastatic Disease* in the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).

Most patients with NSCLC do not have ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations. For patients with all histologic subtypes but without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations with PS of 0 to 2 who have disease progression during or after first-line therapy, recommended subsequent systemic therapy options include nivolumab (category 1), pembrolizumab (category 1), docetaxel with (or without) ramucirumab, or gemcitabine if not already given. The NCCN Panel recently added nivolumab and pembrolizumab as preferred options for subsequent therapy for all histologic subtypes based on improved survival rates, longer duration of response, and fewer adverse events when compared with cytotoxic chemotherapy (see *Nivolumab* and *Pembrolizumab* in this Discussion).^{565,566} Panel members also recently added ramucirumab/docetaxel as an additional option for all histologic subtypes for subsequent therapy based on a recent phase 3 randomized trial.⁵⁸² The median overall survival was slightly increased with ramucirumab/docetaxel versus docetaxel alone (10.5 vs. 9.1 months, respectively). Contraindications for ramucirumab/docetaxel therapy include risk for severe hemorrhage, grade 3 to 4 gastrointestinal bleeding, gastrointestinal perforation or fistula, and poorly controlled hypertension.

For patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC but without ALK rearrangements or sensitizing EGFR mutations with PS of 0 to 2 who have disease progression during or after first-line therapy, recommended subsequent systemic therapy options include erlotinib, gefitinib, or pemetrexed in addition to the agents mentioned in the previous paragraph (ie, nivolumab, docetaxel with or without ramucirumab, or gemcitabine) if these agents have not already been

given.^{756,767,768} Docetaxel has been proven superior to best supportive care, vinorelbine, or ifosfamide with improved survival and quality of life.^{761,762} However, ifosfamide was deleted by the NCCN Panel for the 2016 update (Version 1), since it is rarely used. When compared with docetaxel, pemetrexed has similar median survival but less toxicity.^{763,769} Pemetrexed is recommended in patients with non-squamous NSCLC.⁵⁹⁸ Docetaxel is recommended for patients with wild-type EGFR tumors based on 2 randomized trials comparing erlotinib versus docetaxel.^{770,771} Proteomic testing can be used to determine whether erlotinib should be used in patients with unknown EGFR status.⁷⁷² Erlotinib is superior to best supportive care with significantly improved survival and delayed time to symptom deterioration in patients with non-squamous NSCLC.⁷⁶⁴ In patients with PS of 3 to 4 who have sensitizing EGFR mutations, erlotinib, afatinib, or gefitinib are recommended options for subsequent therapy for progressive disease (see the NCCN Guidelines for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer).^{7,481,482} Patients often have a limited response to subsequent chemotherapy other than immune checkpoint inhibitors, although it may serve a useful palliative role.⁷⁷³

For the 2016 update (Version 1), panel members revised the recommendation to *preferred* for nivolumab as subsequent therapy for patients with squamous cell NSCLC. The NCCN Panel also decided to delete erlotinib as an option for subsequent therapy for patients with squamous cell NSCLC for the 2016 update (Version 1) based on a recent study comparing afatinib with erlotinib; this study was statistically significant but not clinically significant.⁵⁶¹ Overall survival was slightly better in the afatinib group than in the erlotinib group (median overall survival, 7.9 months [95% CI, 7.2–8.7] vs. 6.8 months [5.9–7.8]; HR, 0.81 [95% CI, 0.69–0.95], $P = .0077$); however, almost 60% of patients in each arm had grade 3 or higher adverse events. In contrast, the median overall survival was 9.2 months with nivolumab compared with

6.0 months for docetaxel for patients with squamous cell NSCLC.⁵⁶⁶ In addition, only 7% of patients receiving nivolumab had grade 3 or higher adverse events.

If patients with either ALK fusions or sensitizing EGFR mutations progress with symptomatic systemic multiple lesions after therapy with crizotinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib and/or after ceritinib, alectinib, or osimertinib, then first-line doublet chemotherapy options are recommended for either non-squamous NSCLC or squamous cell carcinoma.⁵¹⁸ Erlotinib, gefitinib, or afatinib may be continued in patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who have progressed after first-line therapy.^{154,722,749,750} For the 2016 update (Version 1), the NCCN Panel now recommends afatinib/cetuximab for patients with sensitizing EGFR mutations who have progressed after EGFR TKI therapy and chemotherapy.⁷⁶⁵ Ceritinib or alectinib may also be continued in patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who have progressed after first-line therapy with crizotinib or are intolerant to crizotinib.^{117,217}

In a randomized trial (NCIC CTG trial), 731 patients (stage IIIB or IV, PS 0–3) were randomly assigned (2:1) to receive either erlotinib or placebo, following failure of first-line or subsequent chemotherapy.⁷⁶⁴ Patients treated with erlotinib showed an overall survival of 6.7 versus 4.7 months for placebo (HR, 0.70; $P < .001$). PFS was 2.2 months for the erlotinib group versus 1.8 months for placebo (HR, 0.61; $P < .001$). However, 5% of patients discontinued erlotinib because of toxic side effects. This trial confirms that erlotinib can prolong survival in patients after failure of first-line or subsequent systemic therapy. A randomized phase 3 trial in 829 patients found that oral topotecan was not inferior to docetaxel as subsequent therapy for patients with advanced NSCLC.⁷⁷⁴

Nivolumab, pembrolizumab, erlotinib (non-squamous only), docetaxel with or without ramucirumab (category 2B for both), gemcitabine

(category 2B), or pemetrexed (non-squamous only) (category 2B) are recommended for subsequent therapy after second disease progression in patients with advanced NSCLC and PS 0–2 if these agents have not already been given.^{756,767,768,771}

Discussion
update in
progress

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015;65:5-29. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25559415>.
2. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2012, based on November 2014 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2015. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2015. Available at: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2012/.
3. Johnson DH, Schiller JH, Bunn PA, Jr. Recent clinical advances in lung cancer management. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:973-982. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24567433>.
4. Reck M, Heigener DF, Mok T, et al. Management of non-small-cell lung cancer: recent developments. *Lancet* 2013;382:709-719. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23972814>.
5. Forde PM, Ettinger DS. Targeted therapy for non-small-cell lung cancer: past, present and future. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2013;13:745-758. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23773106>.
6. Ettinger DS. Ten years of progress in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2012;10:292-295. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22393190>.
7. Simoff MJ, Lally B, Slade MG, et al. Symptom management in patients with lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e455S-497S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649452>.
8. Ettinger DS, Cox JD, Ginsberg RJ, et al. NCCN Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Practice Guidelines. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Oncology (Williston Park) 1996;10:81-111. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8953597>.
9. Alberg AJ, Brock MV, Ford JG, et al. Epidemiology of lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e1S-29S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649439>.
10. Subramanian J, Govindan R. Lung cancer in never smokers: a review. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:561-570. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17290066>.
11. The Health Consequences of Smoking: A Report of the Surgeon General. (ed 2010/07/30). Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US); 2004.
12. Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, et al. A review of human carcinogens-Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:1033-1034. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19891056>.
13. Doll R, Peto R. Mortality in relation to smoking: 20 years' observations on male British doctors. *Br Med J* 1976;2:1525-1536. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1009386>.
14. Taylor R, Najafi F, Dobson A. Meta-analysis of studies of passive smoking and lung cancer: effects of study type and continent. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36:1048-1059. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17690135>.
15. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. (ed 2010/07/30). Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2006.
16. Hackshaw AK, Law MR, Wald NJ. The accumulated evidence on lung cancer and environmental tobacco smoke. *BMJ* 1997;315:980-988. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9365295>.

17. Wald NJ, Nanchahal K, Thompson SG, Cuckle HS. Does breathing other people's tobacco smoke cause lung cancer? *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1986;293:1217-1222. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3096439>.
18. Fraumeni JF, Jr. Respiratory carcinogenesis: an epidemiologic appraisal. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1975;55:1039-1046. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1107567>.
19. Janerich DT, Thompson WD, Varela LR, et al. Lung cancer and exposure to tobacco smoke in the household. *N Engl J Med* 1990;323:632-636. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2385268>.
20. Straif K, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan R, et al. A review of human carcinogens--part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fibres. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:453-454. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19418618>.
21. Driscoll T, Nelson DI, Steenland K, et al. The global burden of disease due to occupational carcinogens. *Am J Ind Med* 2005;48:419-431. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16299703>.
22. Humans IWGoTEoCRt. Arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum* 2012;100:11-465. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23189751>.
23. Omenn GS, Merchant J, Boatman E, et al. Contribution of environmental fibers to respiratory cancer. *Environ Health Perspect* 1986;70:51-56. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3830113>.
24. Chlebowski RT, Schwartz AG, Wakelee H, et al. Oestrogen plus progestin and lung cancer in postmenopausal women (Women's Health Initiative trial): a post-hoc analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:1243-1251. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19767090>.
25. Chlebowski RT, Anderson GL, Manson JE, et al. Lung cancer among postmenopausal women treated with estrogen alone in the women's health initiative randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;102:1413-1421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20709992>.
26. Thun MJ, Carter BD, Feskanich D, et al. 50-year trends in smoking-related mortality in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:351-364. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343064>.
27. Shiels MS, Gibson T, Sampson J, et al. Cigarette smoking prior to first cancer and risk of second smoking-associated cancers among survivors of bladder, kidney, head and neck, and stage I lung cancers. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:3989-3995. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25385740>.
28. Leone FT, Evers-Casey S, Toll BA, Vachani A. Treatment of tobacco use in lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e61S-77S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649454>.
29. Jha P, Ramasundarahettige C, Landsman V, et al. 21st-century hazards of smoking and benefits of cessation in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:341-350. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343063>.
30. Rigotti NA. Strategies to help a smoker who is struggling to quit. *JAMA* 2012;308:1573-1580. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23073954>.
31. Five Major Steps to Intervention (The "5 A's"). Vol. December. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/guidelines-recommendations/tobacco/5steps.html>.
32. Tao L, Wang R, Gao YT, Yuan JM. Impact of postdiagnosis smoking on long-term survival of cancer patients: the Shanghai cohort

study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013;22:2404-2411. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24319070>.

33. Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence. Vol. April. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/guidelines-recommendations/tobacco/clinicians/update/index.html>.

34. Cahill K, Stevens S, Perera R, Lancaster T. Pharmacological interventions for smoking cessation: an overview and network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;5:CD009329. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728690>.

35. Koegelenberg CF, Noor F, Bateman ED, et al. Efficacy of varenicline combined with nicotine replacement therapy vs varenicline alone for smoking cessation: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;312:155-161. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25005652>.

36. Walker N, Howe C, Glover M, et al. Cytisine versus Nicotine for Smoking Cessation. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:2353-2362. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25517706>.

37. Aubin HJ, Bobak A, Britton JR, et al. Varenicline versus transdermal nicotine patch for smoking cessation: results from a randomised open-label trial. *Thorax* 2008;63:717-724. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18263663>.

38. Jorenby DE, Hays JT, Rigotti NA, et al. Efficacy of varenicline, an alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, vs placebo or sustained-release bupropion for smoking cessation: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006;296:56-63. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16820547>.

39. Gonzales D, Rennard SI, Nides M, et al. Varenicline, an alpha4beta2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, vs sustained-release bupropion and placebo for smoking cessation: a

randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006;296:47-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16820546>.

40. Cahill K, Stead LF, Lancaster T. Nicotine receptor partial agonists for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011:CD006103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21328282>.

41. Ware JH, Vetrovec GW, Miller AB, et al. Cardiovascular safety of varenicline: patient-level meta-analysis of randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trials. *Am J Ther* 2013;20:235-246. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23615317>.

42. Haber SL, Boomershine V, Raney E. Safety of varenicline in patients with cardiovascular disease. *J Pharm Pract* 2014;27:65-70. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24080536>.

43. Mills EJ, Thorlund K, Eapen S, et al. Cardiovascular events associated with smoking cessation pharmacotherapies: a network meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2014;129:28-41. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24323793>.

44. Xi ZX. Preclinical Pharmacology, Efficacy and Safety of Varenicline in Smoking Cessation and Clinical Utility in High Risk Patients. *Drug Healthc Patient Saf* 2010;2010:39-48. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21278851>.

45. Gonzales D, Hajek P, Pliamm L, et al. Retreatment with varenicline for smoking cessation in smokers who have previously taken varenicline: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2014;96:390-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24911368>.

46. Garrison GD, Dugan SE. Varenicline: a first-line treatment option for smoking cessation. *Clin Ther* 2009;31:463-491. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19393839>.

47. Hays JT, Ebbert JO. Adverse effects and tolerability of medications for the treatment of tobacco use and dependence. *Drugs* 2010;70:2357-2372. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142259>.
48. Carney DN. Lung cancer--time to move on from chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:126-128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11784881>.
49. Chute JP, Chen T, Feigal E, et al. Twenty years of phase III trials for patients with extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer: perceptible progress. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:1794-1801. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10561217>.
50. National Lung Screening Trial Research T, Aberle DR, Berg CD, et al. The National Lung Screening Trial: overview and study design. *Radiology* 2011;258:243-253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21045183>.
51. National Lung Screening Trial Research T, Aberle DR, Adams AM, et al. Reduced lung-cancer mortality with low-dose computed tomographic screening. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:395-409. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21714641>.
52. National Lung Screening Trial Research T, Aberle DR, Adams AM, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in the randomized national lung screening trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;102:1771-1779. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21119104>.
53. Detterbeck FC, Mazzone PJ, Naidich DP, Bach PB. Screening for lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e78S-92S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649455>.
54. Vansteenkiste J, Crino L, Doms C, et al. 2nd ESMO Consensus Conference on Lung Cancer: early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer consensus on diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1462-1474. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24562446>.
55. Smith RA, Brooks D, Cokkinides V, et al. Cancer screening in the United States, 2013: a review of current American Cancer Society guidelines, current issues in cancer screening, and new guidance on cervical cancer screening and lung cancer screening. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2013;63:88-105. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23378235>.
56. Moyer VA, Force USPST. Screening for lung cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2014;160:330-338. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378917>.
57. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. International association for the study of lung cancer/american thoracic society/european respiratory society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:244-285. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21252716>.
58. Finkelstein DM, Ettinger DS, Ruckdeschel JC. Long-term survivors in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 1986;4:702-709. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3701389>.
59. Gould MK, Donington J, Lynch WR, et al. Evaluation of individuals with pulmonary nodules: when is it lung cancer? Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e93S-120S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649456>.
60. Naidich DP, Bankier AA, MacMahon H, et al. Recommendations for the management of subsolid pulmonary nodules detected at CT: a statement from the Fleischner Society. *Radiology* 2013;266:304-317. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23070270>.

61. MacMahon H, Austin JH, Gamsu G, et al. Guidelines for management of small pulmonary nodules detected on CT scans: a statement from the Fleischner Society. *Radiology* 2005;237:395-400. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16244247>.
62. Gardiner N, Jogai S, Wallis A. The revised lung adenocarcinoma classification—an imaging guide. *J Thorac Dis* 2014;6:S537-546. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25349704>.
63. Seidelman JL, Myers JL, Quint LE. Incidental, subsolid pulmonary nodules at CT: etiology and management. *Cancer Imaging* 2013;13:365-373. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24061063>.
64. Hansell DM, Bankier AA, MacMahon H, et al. Fleischner Society: glossary of terms for thoracic imaging. *Radiology* 2008;246:697-722. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18195376>.
65. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. Diagnosis of lung cancer in small biopsies and cytology: implications of the 2011 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society classification. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2013;137:668-684. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970842>.
66. Kim HY, Shim YM, Lee KS, et al. Persistent pulmonary nodular ground-glass opacity at thin-section CT: histopathologic comparisons. *Radiology* 2007;245:267-275. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17885195>.
67. Marshall HM, Bowman RV, Yang IA, et al. Screening for lung cancer with low-dose computed tomography: a review of current status. *J Thorac Dis* 2013;5 Suppl 5:S524-539. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24163745>.
68. Brawley OW, Flenaugh EL. Low-dose spiral CT screening and evaluation of the solitary pulmonary nodule. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2014;28:441-446. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25004661>.
69. McKee BJ, Regis SM, McKee AB, et al. Performance of ACR Lung-RADS in a Clinical CT Lung Screening Program. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2015;12:273-276. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25176499>.
70. Kazerooni EA, Austin JH, Black WC, et al. ACR-STR practice parameter for the performance and reporting of lung cancer screening thoracic computed tomography (CT): 2014 (Resolution 4). *J Thorac Imaging* 2014;29:310-316. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24992501>.
71. Rivera MP, Mehta AC, Wahidi MM. Establishing the diagnosis of lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e142S-165S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649436>.
72. Schwartz AM, Rezaei MK. Diagnostic surgical pathology in lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e251S-262S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649441>.
73. Oxnard GR, Binder A, Janne PA. New targetable oncogenes in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1097-1104. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401445>.
74. Cooper WA, O'Toole S, Boyer M, et al. What's new in non-small cell lung cancer for pathologists: the importance of accurate subtyping, EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangements. *Pathology* 2011;43:103-115. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21233671>.
75. Fossella FV, Putnam JB, Komaki R, eds. *Lung Cancer*. M.D. Anderson Cancer Care Series. New York: Springer; 2003:316.

76. Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, et al. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:5900-5909. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16043828>.
77. Cappuzzo F, Ligorio C, Toschi L, et al. EGFR and HER2 gene copy number and response to first-line chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:423-429. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17473658>.
78. Kwak EL, Bang YJ, Camidge DR, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1693-1703. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20979469>.
79. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. Diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma in resected specimens: implications of the 2011 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society classification. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2013;137:685-705. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22913371>.
80. Cameron SE, Andrade RS, Pambuccian SE. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration cytology: a state of the art review. *Cytopathology* 2010;21:6-26. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20015257>.
81. Moreira AL, Thornton RH. Personalized medicine for non-small-cell lung cancer: implications of recent advances in tissue acquisition for molecular and histologic testing. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2012;13:334-339. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22424871>.
82. Iannuzzi MC, Fontana JR. Sarcoidosis: clinical presentation, immunopathogenesis, and therapeutics. *JAMA* 2011;305:391-399. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266686>.
83. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. CDC Grand Rounds: the TB/HIV syndemic. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2012;61:484-489. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22763886>.
84. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Muller-Hermelink HK, Harris CC. Pathology and genetics of tumours of the lung, pleura, thymus and heart, World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2004.
85. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Riely GJ. New pathologic classification of lung cancer: relevance for clinical practice and clinical trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:992-1001. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401443>.
86. Travis WD, Rekhtman N. Pathological diagnosis and classification of lung cancer in small biopsies and cytology: strategic management of tissue for molecular testing. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;32:22-31. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21500121>.
87. Rekhtman N, Ang DC, Sima CS, et al. Immunohistochemical algorithm for differentiation of lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma based on large series of whole-tissue sections with validation in small specimens. *Mod Pathol* 2011;24:1348-1359. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21623384>.
88. Mukhopadhyay S, Katzenstein AL. Subclassification of non-small cell lung carcinomas lacking morphologic differentiation on biopsy specimens: Utility of an immunohistochemical panel containing TTF-1, napsin A, p63, and CK5/6. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011;35:15-25. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21164283>.
89. Terry J, Leung S, Laskin J, et al. Optimal immunohistochemical markers for distinguishing lung adenocarcinomas from squamous cell carcinomas in small tumor samples. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2010;34:1805-1811. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21107086>.
90. Husain AN, Colby T, Ordonez N, et al. Guidelines for pathologic diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma: 2012 update of the consensus

statement from the International Mesothelioma Interest Group. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2013;137:647-667. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22929121>.

91. Husain AN, Colby TV, Ordonez NG, et al. Guidelines for pathologic diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma: a consensus statement from the International Mesothelioma Interest Group. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2009;133:1317-1331. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19653732>.

92. King JE, Thatcher N, Pickering CA, Hasleton PS. Sensitivity and specificity of immunohistochemical markers used in the diagnosis of epithelioid mesothelioma: a detailed systematic analysis using published data. Histopathology 2006;48:223-232. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16430468>.

93. Ordonez NG. D2-40 and podoplanin are highly specific and sensitive immunohistochemical markers of epithelioid malignant mesothelioma. Hum Pathol 2005;36:372-380. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15891998>.

94. Ordonez NG. The immunohistochemical diagnosis of mesothelioma: a comparative study of epithelioid mesothelioma and lung adenocarcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2003;27:1031-1051. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12883236>.

95. Ordonez NG. Thyroid transcription factor-1 is a marker of lung and thyroid carcinomas. Adv Anat Pathol 2000;7:123-127. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10721419>.

96. Rivera MP, Mehta AC, American College of Chest P. Initial diagnosis of lung cancer: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest 2007;132:131S-148S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17873165>.

97. Tan D, Zander DS. Immunohistochemistry for assessment of pulmonary and pleural neoplasms: a review and update. Int J Clin Exp

Pathol 2008;1:19-31. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18784820>.

98. Zhang H, Liu J, Cagle PT, et al. Distinction of pulmonary small cell carcinoma from poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma: an immunohistochemical approach. Mod Pathol 2005;18:111-118. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15309021>.

99. Guinee DG, Jr., Fishback NF, Koss MN, et al. The spectrum of immunohistochemical staining of small-cell lung carcinoma in specimens from transbronchial and open-lung biopsies. Am J Clin Pathol 1994;102:406-414. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7524299>.

100. Du L, Schageman JJ, Irnov, et al. MicroRNA expression distinguishes SCLC from NSCLC lung tumor cells and suggests a possible pathological relationship between SCLCs and NSCLCs. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2010;29:75. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20624269>.

101. Edge SB, Byrd DR, Compton CC, et al. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 7th ed. New York: Springer; 2010.

102. Goldstraw P, Crowley J, Chansky K, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM Classification of malignant tumours. J Thorac Oncol 2007;2:706-714. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17762336>.

103. Dettnerbeck FC, Boffa DJ, Tanoue LT. The new lung cancer staging system. Chest 2009;136:260-271. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19584208>.

104. Rami-Porta R, Bolejack V, Goldstraw P. The new tumor, node, and metastasis staging system. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2011;32:44-51. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21500123>.

105. Rami-Porta R, Crowley JJ, Goldstraw P. The revised TNM staging system for lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;15:4-9. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19262443>.
106. Ou SH, Zell JA, Ziogas A, Anton-Culver H. Prognostic factors for survival of stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer patients : a population-based analysis of 19,702 stage I patients in the California Cancer Registry from 1989 to 2003. *Cancer* 2007;110:1532-1541. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17702091>.
107. Raz DJ, Zell JA, Ou SH, et al. Natural history of stage I non-small cell lung cancer: implications for early detection. *Chest* 2007;132:193-199. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17505036>.
108. Miller VA, Riely GJ, Zakowski MF, et al. Molecular characteristics of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, bronchioloalveolar carcinoma subtype, predict response to erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1472-1478. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18349398>.
109. Sequist LV, Martins RG, Spigel D, et al. First-line gefitinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harboring somatic EGFR mutations. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:2442-2449. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18458038>.
110. Tsao MS, Sakurada A, Cutz JC, et al. Erlotinib in lung cancer - molecular and clinical predictors of outcome. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:133-144. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16014883>.
111. Mazieres J, Zalcman G, Crino L, et al. Crizotinib therapy for advanced lung adenocarcinoma and a ROS1 rearrangement: Results from the EUROS1 cohort. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:992-999. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25667280>.
112. Shaw AT, Ou SH, Bang YJ, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2014;371:1963-1971. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25264305>.
113. Drilon A, Wang L, Hasanovic A, et al. Response to Cabozantinib in patients with RET fusion-positive lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Discov* 2013;3:630-635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23533264>.
114. Bergethon K, Shaw AT, Ou SH, et al. ROS1 rearrangements define a unique molecular class of lung cancers. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:863-870. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22215748>.
115. Ou SH, Tan J, Yen Y, Soo RA. ROS1 as a 'druggable' receptor tyrosine kinase: lessons learned from inhibiting the ALK pathway. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2012;12:447-456. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22500682>.
116. Takeuchi K, Soda M, Togashi Y, et al. RET, ROS1 and ALK fusions in lung cancer. *Nat Med* 2012;18:378-381. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22327623>.
117. Ou SI, Ahn JS, De Petris L, et al. Alectinib in crizotinib-refractory ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase II global study. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:661-668. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26598747>.
118. Douillard JY, Ostoros G, Cobo M, et al. First-line gefitinib in Caucasian EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC patients: a phase-IV, open-label, single-arm study. *Br J Cancer* 2014;110:55-62. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24263064>.
119. Besse B, Adjei A, Baas P, et al. 2nd ESMO Consensus Conference on Lung Cancer: non-small-cell lung cancer first-line/second and further lines of treatment in advanced disease. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1475-1484. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24669016>.
120. Kerr KM, Bubendorf L, Edelman MJ, et al. Second ESMO consensus conference on lung cancer: pathology and molecular

biomarkers for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1681-1690. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24718890>.

121. Lindeman NI, Cagle PT, Beasley MB, et al. Molecular testing guideline for selection of lung cancer patients for EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors: guideline from the College of American Pathologists, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and Association for Molecular Pathology. *J Thorac Oncol* 2013;8:823-859. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23552377>.

122. Paik PK, Varghese AM, Sima CS, et al. Response to erlotinib in patients with EGFR mutant advanced non-small cell lung cancers with a squamous or squamous-like component. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2012;11:2535-2540. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22896669>.

123. Wong DW, Leung EL, So KK, et al. The EML4-ALK fusion gene is involved in various histologic types of lung cancers from nonsmokers with wild-type EGFR and KRAS. *Cancer* 2009;115:1723-1733. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19170230>.

124. Sholl LM, Aisner DL, Varella-Garcia M, et al. Multi-institutional Oncogenic Driver Mutation Analysis in Lung Adenocarcinoma: The Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium Experience. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:768-777. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25738220>.

125. Ali G, Proietti A, Pelliccioni S, et al. ALK rearrangement in a large series of consecutive non-small cell lung cancers: comparison between a new immunohistochemical approach and fluorescence in situ hybridization for the screening of patients eligible for crizotinib treatment. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2014;138:1449-1458. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885803>.

126. Shaw AT, Forcione DG, Digumarthy SR, Iafrate AJ. Case records of the Massachusetts General Hospital. Case 21-2011. A 31-year-old man with ALK-positive adenocarcinoma of the lung. *N Engl J Med*

2011;365:158-167. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21751909>.

127. Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Mino-Kenudson M, et al. Clinical features and outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer who harbor EML4-ALK. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4247-4253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19667264>.

128. Lovly CM, Horn L. Molecular profiling of lung cancer. *My Cancer Genome*; 2015 (updated February 6). Available at: <http://www.mycancergenome.org/content/disease/lung-cancer>.

129. Dias-Santagata D, Akhavanfard S, David SS, et al. Rapid targeted mutational analysis of human tumours: a clinical platform to guide personalized cancer medicine. *EMBO Mol Med* 2010;2:146-158. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20432502>.

130. Aziz N, Zhao Q, Bry L, et al. College of American Pathologists' laboratory standards for next-generation sequencing clinical tests. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2015;139:481-493. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25152313>.

131. Luthra R, Chen H, Roy-Chowdhuri S, Singh RR. Next-Generation Sequencing in Clinical Molecular Diagnostics of Cancer: Advantages and Challenges. *Cancers (Basel)* 2015;7:2023-2036. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26473927>.

132. Drilon A, Wang L, Arcila ME, et al. Broad, hybrid capture-based next-generation sequencing identifies actionable genomic alterations in lung adenocarcinomas otherwise negative for such alterations by other genomic testing approaches. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015;21:3631-3639. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25567908>.

133. Robson ME, Bradbury AR, Arun B, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Policy Statement Update: Genetic and Genomic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:3660-3667. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26324357>.

134. Yu PP, Vose JM, Hayes DF. Genetic cancer susceptibility testing: increased technology, increased complexity. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:3533-3534. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26324366>.
135. Cardarella S, Ortiz TM, Joshi VA, et al. The introduction of systematic genomic testing for patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1767-1774. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23154547>.
136. Li T, Kung HJ, Mack PC, Gandara DR. Genotyping and genomic profiling of non-small-cell lung cancer: implications for current and future therapies. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1039-1049. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401433>.
137. Planchard D. Identification of driver mutations in lung cancer: first step in personalized cancer. *Target Oncol* 2013;8:3-14. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23371030>.
138. Frampton GM, Ali SM, Rosenzweig M, et al. Activation of MET via diverse exon 14 splicing alterations occurs in multiple tumor types and confers clinical sensitivity to MET inhibitors. *Cancer Discov* 2015;5:850-859. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25971938>.
139. Paik PK, Drilon A, Fan PD, et al. Response to MET inhibitors in patients with stage IV lung adenocarcinomas harboring MET mutations causing exon 14 skipping. *Cancer Discov* 2015;5:842-849. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25971939>.
140. Villaruz LC, Socinski MA, Abberbock S, et al. Clinicopathologic features and outcomes of patients with lung adenocarcinomas harboring BRAF mutations in the Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium. *Cancer* 2015;121:448-456. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25273224>.
141. Cardarella S, Ogino A, Nishino M, et al. Clinical, pathologic, and biologic features associated with BRAF mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013;19:4532-4540. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23833300>.
142. Kelly RJ, Carter CA, Giaccone G. HER2 mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer can be continually targeted. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3318-3319. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22649146>.
143. Gautschi O, Pauli C, Strobel K, et al. A patient with BRAF V600E lung adenocarcinoma responding to vemurafenib. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:e23-24. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22743296>.
144. Paik PK, Arcila ME, Fara M, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients with lung adenocarcinomas harboring BRAF mutations. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2046-2051. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21483012>.
145. Lipson D, Capelletti M, Yelensky R, et al. Identification of new ALK and RET gene fusions from colorectal and lung cancer biopsies. *Nat Med* 2012;18:382-384. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22327622>.
146. Ou SH, Kwak EL, Siwak-Tapp C, et al. Activity of crizotinib (PF02341066), a dual mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor, in a non-small cell lung cancer patient with de novo MET amplification. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:942-946. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21623265>.
147. Cappuzzo F, Bemis L, Varella-Garcia M. HER2 mutation and response to trastuzumab therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:2619-2621. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16775247>.
148. Sequist LV, Heist RS, Shaw AT, et al. Implementing multiplexed genotyping of non-small-cell lung cancers into routine clinical practice. *Ann Oncol* 2011;22:2616-2624. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22071650>.

149. Pao W. New approaches to targeted therapy in lung cancer. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2012;9:72-73. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22550248>.

150. Pao W, Girard N. New driver mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:175-180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21277552>.

151. Slebos RJ, Kibbelaar RE, Dalesio O, et al. K-ras oncogene activation as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma of the lung. N Engl J Med 1990;323:561-565. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2199829>.

152. Roberts PJ, Stinchcombe TE. KRAS mutation: should we test for it, and does it matter? J Clin Oncol 2013;31:1112-1121. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401440>.

153. Tsao MS, Aviel-Ronen S, Ding K, et al. Prognostic and predictive importance of p53 and RAS for adjuvant chemotherapy in non small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:5240-5247. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18024870>.

154. Langer CJ. Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition in mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: is afatinib better or simply newer? J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3303-3306. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23980079>.

155. Nelson V, Ziehr J, Agulnik M, Johnson M. Afatinib: emerging next-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor for NSCLC. Onco Targets Ther 2013;6:135-143. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23493883>.

156. De Greve J, Teugels E, Geers C, et al. Clinical activity of afatinib (BIBW 2992) in patients with lung adenocarcinoma with mutations in the kinase domain of HER2/neu. Lung Cancer 2012;76:123-127. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22325357>.

157. Dungo RT, Keating GM. Afatinib: first global approval. Drugs 2013;73:1503-1515. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23982599>.

158. Sequist LV, Yang JC, Yamamoto N, et al. Phase III study of afatinib or cisplatin plus pemetrexed in patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3327-3334. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23816960>.

159. Hirsch FR, Bunn PA, Jr. EGFR testing in lung cancer is ready for prime time. Lancet Oncol 2009;10:432-433. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19410185>.

160. Riely GJ, Politi KA, Miller VA, Pao W. Update on epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:7232-7241. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17189394>.

161. Arcila ME, Nafa K, Chaft JE, et al. EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in lung adenocarcinomas: prevalence, molecular heterogeneity, and clinicopathologic characteristics. Mol Cancer Ther 2013;12:220-229. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23371856>.

162. Oxnard GR, Lo PC, Nishino M, et al. Natural history and molecular characteristics of lung cancers harboring EGFR exon 20 insertions. J Thorac Oncol 2013;8:179-184. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23328547>.

163. Lund-Iversen M, Kleinberg L, Fjellbirkeland L, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics of 11 NSCLC patients with EGFR-exon 20 mutations. J Thorac Oncol 2012;7:1471-1473. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895145>.

164. Yasuda H, Kobayashi S, Costa DB. EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer: preclinical data and clinical implications. Lancet Oncol 2012;13:e23-31. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21764376>.

165. Riely GJ, Yu HA. EGFR: the paradigm of an oncogene-driven lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015;21:2221-2226. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25979928>.

166. Yu HA, Arcila ME, Rekhtman N, et al. Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013;19:2240-2247. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470965>.

167. Finlay MR, Anderton M, Ashton S, et al. Discovery of a potent and selective EGFR inhibitor (AZD9291) of both sensitizing and T790M resistance mutations that spares the wild type form of the receptor. *J Med Chem* 2014;57:8249-8267. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25271963>.

168. Gainor JF, Shaw AT. Emerging paradigms in the development of resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3987-3996. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24101047>.

169. Pao W, Miller VA, Politi KA, et al. Acquired resistance of lung adenocarcinomas to gefitinib or erlotinib is associated with a second mutation in the EGFR kinase domain. *PLoS Med* 2005;2:e73. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15737014>.

170. Kosaka T, Yatabe Y, Endoh H, et al. Analysis of epidermal growth factor receptor gene mutation in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and acquired resistance to gefitinib. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:5764-5769. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17020982>.

171. Onitsuka T, Uramoto H, Nose N, et al. Acquired resistance to gefitinib: the contribution of mechanisms other than the T790M, MET, and HGF status. *Lung Cancer* 2010;68:198-203. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19589612>.

172. Rosell R, Molina MA, Costa C, et al. Pretreatment EGFR T790M mutation and BRCA1 mRNA expression in erlotinib-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients with EGFR mutations. *Clin Cancer*

Res 2011;17:1160-1168. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21233402>.

173. Sequist LV, Waltman BA, Dias-Santagata D, et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. *Sci Transl Med* 2011;3:75ra26. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21430269>.

174. Oxnard GR. Strategies for overcoming acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor: targeted therapies in lung cancer. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2012;136:1205-1209. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23020725>.

175. Suda K, Mizuuchi H, Maehara Y, Mitsudomi T. Acquired resistance mechanisms to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in lung cancer with activating epidermal growth factor receptor mutation--diversity, ductility, and destiny. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2012;31:807-814. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22736441>.

176. Han SW, Kim TY, Jeon YK, et al. Optimization of patient selection for gefitinib in non-small cell lung cancer by combined analysis of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation, K-ras mutation, and Akt phosphorylation. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:2538-2544. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16638863>.

177. Dacic S. EGFR assays in lung cancer. *Adv Anat Pathol* 2008;15:241-247. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18580100>.

178. Sholl LM, Xiao Y, Joshi V, et al. EGFR mutation is a better predictor of response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small cell lung carcinoma than FISH, CISH, and immunohistochemistry. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2010;133:922-934. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20472851>.

179. Westwood M, Joore M, Whiting P, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK) mutation testing in adults with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic

review and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Technol Assess* 2014;18:1-166. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24827857>.

180. Eberhard DA, Giaccone G, Johnson BE, Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Working G. Biomarkers of response to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Working Group: standardization for use in the clinical trial setting. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:983-994. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18281673>.

181. Pao W, Ladanyi M. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutation testing in lung cancer: searching for the ideal method. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:4954-4955. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17785543>.

182. Shepherd FA, Tsao MS. Epidermal growth factor receptor biomarkers in non-small-cell lung cancer: a riddle, wrapped in a mystery, inside an enigma. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:903-905. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20100955>.

183. Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, et al. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:239-246. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22285168>.

184. Mitsudomi T, Morita S, Yatabe Y, et al. Gefitinib versus cisplatin plus docetaxel in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor (WJTOG3405): an open label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:121-128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20022809>.

185. Maemondo M, Inoue A, Kobayashi K, et al. Gefitinib or chemotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer with mutated EGFR. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:2380-2388. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20573926>.

186. Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, et al. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:735-742. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21783417>.

187. Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, et al. Updated efficacy and quality-of-life (QoL) analyses in OPTIMAL, a phase III, randomized, open-label study of first-line erlotinib versus gemcitabine/carboplatin in patients with EGFR-activating mutation-positive (EGFR Act Mut+) advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29(Suppl 15):Abstract 7520. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/29/15_suppl/7520.

188. Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:947-957. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19692680>.

189. Yang JC, Hirsh V, Schuler M, et al. Symptom control and quality of life in LUX-Lung 3: a phase III study of afatinib or cisplatin/pemetrexed in patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3342-3350. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23816967>.

190. Yang JC, Wu YL, Schuler M, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:141-151. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25589191>.

191. Sun JM, Lira M, Pandya K, et al. Clinical characteristics associated with ALK rearrangements in never-smokers with pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *Lung Cancer* 2014;83:259-264. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24300132>.

192. Rogers TM, Russell PA, Wright G, et al. Comparison of methods in the detection of ALK and ROS1 rearrangements in lung cancer. *J*

Thorac Oncol 2015;10:611-618. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25789833>.

193. von Laffert M, Warth A, Penzel R, et al. Multicenter immunohistochemical ALK-testing of non-small-cell lung cancer shows high concordance after harmonization of techniques and interpretation criteria. J Thorac Oncol 2014;9:1685-1692. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25436802>.

194. Wynes MW, Sholl LM, Dietel M, et al. An international interpretation study using the ALK IHC antibody D5F3 and a sensitive detection kit demonstrates high concordance between ALK IHC and ALK FISH and between evaluators. J Thorac Oncol 2014;9:631-638. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24722153>.

195. Zhou J, Zhao J, Sun K, et al. Accurate and economical detection of ALK positive lung adenocarcinoma with semiquantitative immunohistochemical screening. PLoS One 2014;9:e92828. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24667320>.

196. Thunnissen E, Bubendorf L, Dietel M, et al. EML4-ALK testing in non-small cell carcinomas of the lung: a review with recommendations. Virchows Arch 2012;461:245-257. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22825000>.

197. Kim H, Yoo SB, Choe JY, et al. Detection of ALK gene rearrangement in non-small cell lung cancer: a comparison of fluorescence in situ hybridization and chromogenic in situ hybridization with correlation of ALK protein expression. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:1359-1366. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21587085>.

198. Rodig SJ, Mino-Kenudson M, Dacic S, et al. Unique clinicopathologic features characterize ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinoma in the western population. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:5216-5223. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19671850>.

199. Mino-Kenudson M, Chirieac LR, Law K, et al. A novel, highly sensitive antibody allows for the routine detection of ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinomas by standard immunohistochemistry. Clin Cancer Res 2010;16:1561-1571. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20179225>.

200. Wallander ML, Geiersbach KB, Tripp SR, Layfield LJ. Comparison of reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, immunohistochemistry, and fluorescence in situ hybridization methodologies for detection of echinoderm microtubule-associated proteinlike 4-anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion-positive non-small cell lung carcinoma: implications for optimal clinical testing. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2012;136:796-803. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22742552>.

201. Weickhardt AJ, Aisner DL, Franklin WA, et al. Diagnostic assays for identification of anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer 2013;119:1467-1477. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23280244>.

202. Solomon BJ, Mok T, Kim DW, et al. First-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in ALK-positive lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2014;371:2167-2177. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25470694>.

203. Shaw AT, Kim DW, Nakagawa K, et al. Crizotinib versus chemotherapy in advanced ALK-positive lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2013;368:2385-2394. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23724913>.

204. Crino L, Kim D, Riely GJ, et al. Initial phase II results with crizotinib in advanced ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): PROFILE 1005 [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2011;29 (Suppl 15):Abstract 7514. Available at:
http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/29/15_suppl/7514.

205. Camidge DR, Bang Y, Kwak EL, et al. Progression-free survival (PFS) from a phase I study of crizotinib (PF-02341066) in patients with

ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(Suppl 15):Abstract 2501. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/29/15_suppl/2501.

206. Rodig SJ, Shapiro GI. Crizotinib, a small-molecule dual inhibitor of the c-Met and ALK receptor tyrosine kinases. Curr Opin Investig Drugs 2010;11:1477-1490. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21154129>.

207. Costa DB, Shaw AT, Ou SH, et al. Clinical Experience With Crizotinib in Patients With Advanced ALK-Rearranged Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer and Brain Metastases. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:1881-1888. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25624436>.

208. Camidge DR, Bang YJ, Kwak EL, et al. Activity and safety of crizotinib in patients with ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: updated results from a phase 1 study. Lancet Oncol 2012;13:1011-1019. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22954507>.

209. Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Solomon BJ, et al. Impact of crizotinib on survival in patients with advanced, ALK-positive NSCLC compared with historical controls [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(Suppl 15):Abstract 7507. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/29/15_suppl/7507.

210. Rothenstein JM, Letarte N. Managing treatment-related adverse events associated with Alk inhibitors. Curr Oncol 2014;21:19-26. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24523601>.

211. Brosnan EM, Weickhardt AJ, Lu X, et al. Drug-induced reduction in estimated glomerular filtration rate in patients with ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer treated with the ALK inhibitor crizotinib. Cancer 2014;120:664-674. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24258622>.

212. Bang YJ. Treatment of ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2012;136:1201-1204. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23020724>.

213. Choi YL, Soda M, Yamashita Y, et al. EML4-ALK mutations in lung cancer that confer resistance to ALK inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1734-1739. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20979473>.

214. Frampton JE. Crizotinib: a review of its use in the treatment of anaplastic lymphoma kinase-positive, advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Drugs 2013;73:2031-2051. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24288180>.

215. Gadgeel SM, Gandhi L, Riely GJ, et al. Safety and activity of alectinib against systemic disease and brain metastases in patients with crizotinib-resistant ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer (AF-002JG): results from the dose-finding portion of a phase 1/2 study. Lancet Oncol 2014;15:1119-1128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25153538>.

216. Stinchcombe TE. Novel agents in development for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2014;6:240-253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25342991>.

217. Shaw AT, Kim DW, Mehra R, et al. Ceritinib in ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1189-1197. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24670165>.

218. Solomon B, Wilner KD, Shaw AT. Current status of targeted therapy for anaplastic lymphoma kinase-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:15-23. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24091716>.

219. Savas P, Hughes B, Solomon B. Targeted therapy in lung cancer: IPASS and beyond, keeping abreast of the explosion of targeted therapies for lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2013;5 Suppl 5:S579-592. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24163750>.

220. Katayama R, Khan TM, Benes C, et al. Therapeutic strategies to overcome crizotinib resistance in non-small cell lung cancers harboring the fusion oncogene EML4-ALK. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

2011;108:7535-7540. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21502504>.

221. Sequist LV, Gettinger S, Senzer NN, et al. Activity of IPI-504, a novel heat-shock protein 90 inhibitor, in patients with molecularly defined non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4953-4960.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20940188>.

222. Zhang S, Wang F, Keats F. AP26113, a potent ALK inhibitor, overcomes mutations in EML4-ALK that confer resistance to PF-02341066 (PF1066) [abstract]. Presented at the Proceedings of the 101st Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research; Washington, DC. Abstract LB-298.

223. Cheng M, Ott GR. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase as a therapeutic target in anaplastic large cell lymphoma, non-small cell lung cancer and neuroblastoma. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2010;10:236-249.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20406193>.

224. Shaw AT, Gandhi L, Gadgeel S, et al. Alectinib in ALK-positive, crizotinib-resistant, non-small-cell lung cancer: a single-group, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016;17:234-242. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26708155>.

225. Gainor JF, Varghese AM, Ou SH, et al. ALK rearrangements are mutually exclusive with mutations in EGFR or KRAS: an analysis of 1,683 patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013;19:4273-4281. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23729361>.

226. Takahashi T, Sonobe M, Kobayashi M, et al. Clinicopathologic features of non-small-cell lung cancer with EML4-ALK fusion gene. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2010;17:889-897. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20183914>.

227. Browning ET, Weickhardt AJ, Camidge DR. Response to crizotinib rechallenge after initial progression and intervening chemotherapy in

ALK lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2013;8:e21. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23407562>.

228. West H, Oxnard GR, Doebele RC. Acquired resistance to targeted therapies in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: new strategies and new agents. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2013:272-278. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23714521>.

229. Ou SH, Janne PA, Bartlett CH, et al. Clinical benefit of continuing ALK inhibition with crizotinib beyond initial disease progression in patients with advanced ALK-positive NSCLC. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:415-422. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24478318>.

230. Slebos RJ, Hruban RH, Dalesio O, et al. Relationship between K-ras oncogene activation and smoking in adenocarcinoma of the human lung. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1991;83:1024-1027. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2072410>.

231. Mitsudomi T, Steinberg SM, Oie HK, et al. ras gene mutations in non-small cell lung cancers are associated with shortened survival irrespective of treatment intent. *Cancer Res* 1991;51:4999-5002.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1654209>.

232. Febbo PG, Ladanyi M, Aldape KD, et al. NCCN Task Force report: Evaluating the clinical utility of tumor markers in oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2011;9 Suppl 5:S1-32; quiz S33. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22138009>.

233. Janne PA, Shaw AT, Pereira JR, et al. Selumetinib plus docetaxel for KRAS-mutant advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:38-47. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23200175>.

234. Howington JA, Blum MG, Chang AC, et al. Treatment of stage I and II non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based

clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e278S-313S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649443>.

235. Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, et al. The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 2015;26:1091-1101. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25403592>.

236. Caillet P, Laurent M, Bastuji-Garin S, et al. Optimal management of elderly cancer patients: usefulness of the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment. Clin Interv Aging 2014;9:1645-1660. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25302022>.

237. Pallis AG, Gridelli C, Wedding U, et al. Management of elderly patients with NSCLC; updated expert's opinion paper: EORTC Elderly Task Force, Lung Cancer Group and International Society for Geriatric Oncology. Ann Oncol 2014;25:1270-1283. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24638905>.

238. Brunelli A, Kim AW, Berger KI, Addrizzo-Harris DJ. Physiologic evaluation of the patient with lung cancer being considered for resectional surgery: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e166S-190S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649437>.

239. Turner G, Clegg A, British Geriatrics S, et al. Best practice guidelines for the management of frailty: a British Geriatrics Society, Age UK and Royal College of General Practitioners report. Age Ageing 2014;43:744-747. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25336440>.

240. Vairaktarakis C, Tsiamis V, Soursou G, et al. A computer-aided diagnosis system for geriatrics assessment and frailty evaluation. Adv Exp Med Biol 2015;820:69-77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25417017>.

241. Wildiers H, Heeren P, Puts M, et al. International Society of Geriatric Oncology consensus on geriatric assessment in older patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:2595-2603. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25071125>.

242. Kozower BD, Lerner JM, Detterbeck FC, Jones DR. Special treatment issues in non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e369S-399S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649447>.

243. Boffa DJ, Allen MS, Grab JD, et al. Data from The Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery database: the surgical management of primary lung tumors. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008;135:247-254. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18242243>.

244. Scott WJ, Howington J, Feigenberg S, et al. Treatment of non-small cell lung cancer stage I and stage II: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest 2007;132:234S-242S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17873171>.

245. Villamizar N, Swanson SJ. Lobectomy vs. segmentectomy for NSCLC (T<2 cm). Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2014;3:160-166. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24790839>.

246. Landreneau RJ, Normolle DP, Christie NA, et al. Recurrence and survival outcomes after anatomic segmentectomy versus lobectomy for clinical stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:2449-2455. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982447>.

247. Altorki NK, Yip R, Hanaoka T, et al. Sublobar resection is equivalent to lobectomy for clinical stage 1A lung cancer in solid nodules. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:754-762; Discussion 762-754. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24280722>.

248. Siel W, Dango S, Kirschbaum A, et al. Sublobar resections in stage IA non-small cell lung cancer: segmentectomies result in significantly better cancer-related survival than wedge resections. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;33:728-734. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18261918>.
249. Siel W, Stremmel C, Kirschbaum A, et al. Frequency of local recurrence following segmentectomy of stage IA non-small cell lung cancer is influenced by segment localisation and width of resection margins--implications for patient selection for segmentectomy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;31:522-527; discussion 527-528. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17229574>.
250. Narsule CK, Ebright MI, Fernando HC. Sublobar versus lobar resection: current status. *Cancer J* 2011;17:23-27. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21263263>.
251. Timmerman R, Paulus R, Galvin J, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for inoperable early stage lung cancer. *JAMA* 2010;303:1070-1076. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20233825>.
252. Woody NM, Stephans KL, Marwaha G, et al. Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Tumors Greater Than 5 cm: Safety and Efficacy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2015;92:325-331. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25841625>.
253. Grills IS, Mangona VS, Welsh R, et al. Outcomes after stereotactic lung radiotherapy or wedge resection for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:928-935. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065181>.
254. Donington J, Ferguson M, Mazzone P, et al. American College of Chest Physicians and Society of Thoracic Surgeons consensus statement for evaluation and management for high-risk patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest* 2012;142:1620-1635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23208335>.
255. Darling GE, Allen MS, Decker PA, et al. Randomized trial of mediastinal lymph node sampling versus complete lymphadenectomy during pulmonary resection in the patient with N0 or N1 (less than hilar) non-small cell carcinoma: results of the American College of Surgery Oncology Group Z0030 Trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;141:662-670. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21335122>.
256. Allen MS, Darling GE, Pechet TT, et al. Morbidity and mortality of major pulmonary resections in patients with early-stage lung cancer: initial results of the randomized, prospective ACOSOG Z0030 trial. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;81:1013-1019; discussion 1019-1020. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16488712>.
257. Rusch VW, Asamura H, Watanabe H, et al. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: a proposal for a new international lymph node map in the forthcoming seventh edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2009;4:568-577. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19357537>.
258. Martins RG, D'Amico TA, Loo BW, Jr., et al. The management of patients with stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer with N2 mediastinal node involvement. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2012;10:599-613. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22570291>.
259. Farjah F, Flum DR, Varghese TK, Jr., et al. Surgeon specialty and long-term survival after pulmonary resection for lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009;87:995-1004; discussion 1005-1006. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19324119>.
260. Albain KS, Swann RS, Rusch VW, et al. Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009;374:379-386. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19632716>.
261. van Meerbeeck JP, Kramer GW, Van Schil PE, et al. Randomized controlled trial of resection versus radiotherapy after induction chemotherapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Natl*

Cancer Inst 2007;99:442-450. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17374834>.

262. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS. Survival of patients with unsuspected N2 (stage IIIA) nonsmall-cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;86:362-366; discussion 366-367. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18640297>.

263. Sher DJ, Fidler MJ, Liptay MJ, Koshy M. Comparative effectiveness of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy versus chemotherapy alone followed by surgery for patients with stage IIIA non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2015;88:267-274. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25862147>.

264. Shah AA, Berry MF, Tzao C, et al. Induction chemoradiation is not superior to induction chemotherapy alone in stage IIIA lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;93:1807-1812. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22632486>.

265. Higgins K, Chino JP, Marks LB, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy versus preoperative chemoradiotherapy for stage III (N2) non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;75:1462-1467. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19467798>.

266. Stefani A, Alifano M, Bobbio A, et al. Which patients should be operated on after induction chemotherapy for N2 non-small cell lung cancer? Analysis of a 7-year experience in 175 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;140:356-363. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20381815>.

267. Gopal RS, Dubey S, Rosenzweig KE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) on Induction and Adjuvant Therapy for Stage N2 Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: expert panel on radiation oncology-lung. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;78:969-974. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20813465>.

268. Evans NR, 3rd, Li S, Wright CD, et al. The impact of induction therapy on morbidity and operative mortality after resection of primary

lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:991-996 e991-992. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20304144>.

269. Gaisert HA, Keum DY, Wright CD, et al. POINT: Operative risk of pneumonectomy--influence of preoperative induction therapy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;138:289-294. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19619768>.

270. Mansour Z, Kochetkova EA, Ducrocq X, et al. Induction chemotherapy does not increase the operative risk of pneumonectomy! *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;31:181-185. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17141515>.

271. Weder W, Collaud S, Eberhardt WE, et al. Pneumonectomy is a valuable treatment option after neoadjuvant therapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:1424-1430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20416887>.

272. Kappers I, van Sandick JW, Burgers SA, et al. Surgery after induction chemotherapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer: why pneumonectomy should be avoided. *Lung Cancer* 2010;68:222-227. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19664843>.

273. Decaluwe H, De Leyn P, Vansteenkiste J, et al. Surgical multimodality treatment for baseline resectable stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer. Degree of mediastinal lymph node involvement and impact on survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009;36:433-439. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19502079>.

274. Swanson SJ, Batirel HF. Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) resection for lung cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 2002;82:541-559. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12371584>.

275. Mahtabifard A, Fuller CB, McKenna RJ, Jr. Video-assisted thoracic surgery sleeve lobectomy: a case series. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:S729-732. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18222205>.

276. Shaw JP, Dembitzer FR, Wisnivesky JP, et al. Video-assisted thoroscopic lobectomy: state of the art and future directions. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:S705-709. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18222201>.

277. Cheng D, Downey RJ, Kernstine K, et al. Video-assisted thoracic surgery in lung cancer resection: a meta-analysis and systematic review of controlled trials. *Innovations (Phila)* 2007;2:261-292. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22437196>.

278. Alam N, Flores RM. Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) lobectomy: the evidence base. *JSLs* 2007;11:368-374. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17931521>.

279. Whitson BA, Andrade RS, Boettcher A, et al. Video-assisted thoroscopic surgery is more favorable than thoracotomy for resection of clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;83:1965-1970. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17532379>.

280. Whitson BA, Groth SS, Duval SJ, et al. Surgery for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review of the video-assisted thoroscopic surgery versus thoracotomy approaches to lobectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;86:2008-2016; discussion 2016-2008. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19022040>.

281. Scott WJ, Allen MS, Darling G, et al. Video-assisted thoracic surgery versus open lobectomy for lung cancer: a secondary analysis of data from the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0030 randomized clinical trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:976-981; discussion 981-973. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20172539>.

282. Atkins BZ, Harpole DH, Jr., Mangum JH, et al. Pulmonary segmentectomy by thoracotomy or thoracoscopy: reduced hospital length of stay with a minimally-invasive approach. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;84:1107-1112; discussion 1112-1103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17888955>.

283. Swanson SJ, Herndon JE, 2nd, D'Amico TA, et al. Video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy: report of CALGB 39802--a prospective, multi-institution feasibility study. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:4993-4997. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17971599>.

284. Ohtsuka T, Nomori H, Horio H, et al. Is major pulmonary resection by video-assisted thoracic surgery an adequate procedure in clinical stage I lung cancer? *Chest* 2004;125:1742-1746. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15136385>.

285. McKenna RJ, Jr. New approaches to the minimally invasive treatment of lung cancer. *Cancer J* 2005;11:73-76. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15831227>.

286. Demmy TL, Nwogu C. Is video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy better? Quality of life considerations. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:S719-728. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18222204>.

287. Cattaneo SM, Park BJ, Wilton AS, et al. Use of video-assisted thoracic surgery for lobectomy in the elderly results in fewer complications. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:231-235; discussion 235-236. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18154816>.

288. Cao C, Manganas C, Ang SC, et al. Video-assisted thoracic surgery versus open thoracotomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of propensity score-matched patients. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2013;16:244-249. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169877>.

289. Ilonen IK, Rasanen JV, Knuutila A, et al. Anatomic thoracoscopic lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer in stage I is associated with less morbidity and shorter hospitalization than thoracotomy. *Acta Oncol* 2011;50:1126-1132. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21314296>.

290. Villamizar NR, Darrabie MD, Burfeind WR, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with lower morbidity compared with

thoracotomy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009;138:419-425. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19619789>.

291. Paul S, Altorki NK, Sheng S, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy is associated with lower morbidity than open lobectomy: a propensity-matched analysis from the STS database. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010;139:366-378. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20106398>.

292. Su S, Scott WJ, Allen MS, et al. Patterns of survival and recurrence after surgical treatment of early stage non-small cell lung carcinoma in the ACOSOG Z0030 (ALLIANCE) trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:747-752; Discussion 752-743. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24290575>.

293. Lee PC, Nasar A, Port JL, et al. Long-term survival after lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer by video-assisted thoracic surgery versus thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2013;96:951-960; discussion 960-951. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23866808>.

294. Thomas P, Doddoli C, Yena S, et al. VATS is an adequate oncological operation for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2002;21:1094-1099. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12048091>.

295. Roviato G, Varoli F, Vergani C, et al. Long-term survival after videothoracoscopic lobectomy for stage I lung cancer. Chest 2004;126:725-732. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15364748>.

296. Solaini L, Prusciano F, Bagioni P, Poddie DB. Long-term results of video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: a single-centre study of 104 cases. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2004;3:57-62. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17670176>.

297. Demmy TL, Plante AJ, Nwogu CE, et al. Discharge independence with minimally invasive lobectomy. Am J Surg 2004;188:698-702. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15619486>.

298. Demmy TL. VATS lobectomy for frail or complex patients. Chest Meeting Abstracts 2003;124:234S. Available at: <http://meeting.chestpubs.org/cgi/reprint/124/4/234S.pdf>.

299. Nicastrì DG, Wisnivesky JP, Litle VR, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy: report on safety, discharge independence, pain, and chemotherapy tolerance. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008;135:642-647. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18329487>.

300. Petersen RP, Pham D, Burfeind WR, et al. Thoracoscopic lobectomy facilitates the delivery of chemotherapy after resection for lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;83:1245-1249; discussion 1250. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17383320>.

301. Hanna JM, Berry MF, D'Amico TA. Contraindications of video-assisted thoracoscopic surgical lobectomy and determinants of conversion to open. J Thorac Dis 2013;5 Suppl 3:S182-189. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24040521>.

302. Yan TD, Cao C, D'Amico TA, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery lobectomy at 20 years: a consensus statement. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;45:633-639. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24130372>.

303. Yan TD, Black D, Bannon PG, McCaughan BC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized and nonrandomized trials on safety and efficacy of video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:2553-2562. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289625>.

304. Cao C, Manganas C, Ang SC, Yan TD. A meta-analysis of unmatched and matched patients comparing video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy and conventional open lobectomy. Ann

Cardiothorac Surg 2012;1:16-23. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23977459>.

305. Nakamura H. Systematic review of published studies on safety and efficacy of thoracoscopic and robot-assisted lobectomy for lung cancer. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;20:93-98. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24583699>.

306. Swanson SJ, Miller DL, McKenna RJ, Jr., et al. Comparing robot-assisted thoracic surgical lobectomy with conventional video-assisted thoracic surgical lobectomy and wedge resection: results from a multihospital database (Premier). J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:929-937. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24210834>.

307. Effects of postoperative mediastinal radiation on completely resected stage II and stage III epidermoid cancer of the lung. The Lung Cancer Study Group. N Engl J Med 1986;315:1377-1381. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2877397>.

308. Keller SM, Adak S, Wagner H, et al. A randomized trial of postoperative adjuvant therapy in patients with completely resected stage II or IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1217-1222. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11071672>.

309. Douillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Impact of postoperative radiation therapy on survival in patients with complete resection and stage I, II, or IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: the adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association (ANITA) Randomized Trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008;72:695-701. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18439766>.

310. Bradley JD, Paulus R, Graham MV, et al. Phase II trial of postoperative adjuvant paclitaxel/carboplatin and thoracic radiotherapy in resected stage II and IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer: promising long-term results of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group--RTOG 9705. J

Clin Oncol 2005;23:3480-3487. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15908657>.

311. Feigenberg SJ, Hanlon AL, Langer C, et al. A phase II study of concurrent carboplatin and paclitaxel and thoracic radiotherapy for completely resected stage II and IIIA non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2007;2:287-292. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17409799>.

312. Jaklitsch MT, Herndon JE, 2nd, DeCamp MM, Jr., et al. Nodal downstaging predicts survival following induction chemotherapy for stage IIIA (N2) non-small cell lung cancer in CALGB protocol #8935. J Surg Oncol 2006;94:599-606. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17039491>.

313. Lutz ST, Jones J, Chow E. Role of radiation therapy in palliative care of the patient with cancer. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:2913-2919. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25113773>.

314. McAvoy S, Ciura K, Wei C, et al. Definitive reirradiation for locoregionally recurrent non-small cell lung cancer with proton beam therapy or intensity modulated radiation therapy: predictors of high-grade toxicity and survival outcomes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;90:819-827. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25220718>.

315. Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology-Brain M, Lo SS, Gore EM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) pre-irradiation evaluation and management of brain metastases. J Palliat Med 2014;17:880-886. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24971478>.

316. Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology-Bone M, Lo SS, Lutz ST, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria (R) spinal bone metastases. J Palliat Med 2013;16:9-19. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23167547>.

317. Expert Panel On Radiation Oncology-Bone M, Lutz ST, Lo SS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) non-spine bone metastases. J

Palliat Med 2012;15:521-526. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536988>.

318. Patel SH, Robbins JR, Gore EM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) follow-up and retreatment of brain metastases. Am J Clin Oncol 2012;35:302-306. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22609733>.

319. Chang JY, Kestin LL, Barriger RB, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) nonsurgical treatment for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: good performance status/definitive intent. Oncology (Williston Park) 2014;28:706-710, 712, 714 passim. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25140629>.

320. Rosenzweig KE, Chang JY, Chetty IJ, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria nonsurgical treatment for non-small-cell lung cancer: poor performance status or palliative intent. J Am Coll Radiol 2013;10:654-664. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23890874>.

321. Gregoire V, Mackie TR. State of the art on dose prescription, reporting and recording in Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy (ICRU report No. 83). Cancer Radiother 2011;15:555-559. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21802333>.

322. Teoh M, Clark CH, Wood K, et al. Volumetric modulated arc therapy: a review of current literature and clinical use in practice. Br J Radiol 2011;84:967-996. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22011829>.

323. Chen AB, Neville BA, Sher DJ, et al. Survival outcomes after radiation therapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer after adoption of computed tomography-based simulation. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2305-2311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21537034>.

324. Liao ZX, Komaki RR, Thames HD, Jr., et al. Influence of technologic advances on outcomes in patients with unresectable, locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving concomitant

chemoradiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:775-781. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19515503>.

325. Terasawa T, Dvorak T, Ip S, et al. Systematic review: charged-particle radiation therapy for cancer. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:556-565. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19755348>.

326. Taremi M, Hope A, Dahele M, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for medically inoperable lung cancer: prospective, single-center study of 108 consecutive patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:967-973. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21377293>.

327. Ambrogi MC, Fanucchi O, Cioni R, et al. Long-term results of radiofrequency ablation treatment of stage I non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective intention-to-treat study. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:2044-2051. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22052222>.

328. Bilal H, Mahmood S, Rajashanker B, Shah R. Is radiofrequency ablation more effective than stereotactic ablative radiotherapy in patients with early stage medically inoperable non-small cell lung cancer? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2012;15:258-265. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22581864>.

329. Allibhai Z, Taremi M, Bezjak A, et al. The impact of tumor size on outcomes after stereotactic body radiation therapy for medically inoperable early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;87:1064-1070. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24210082>.

330. Shirvani SM, Jiang J, Chang JY, et al. Comparative effectiveness of 5 treatment strategies for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer in the elderly. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;84:1060-1070. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22975611>.

331. Gewanter RM, Rosenzweig KE, Chang JY, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria: nonsurgical treatment for non-small-cell lung cancer: good performance status/definitive intent. Curr Probl Cancer

2010;34:228-249. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20541060>.

332. Rosenzweig KE, Sura S, Jackson A, Yorke E. Involved-field radiation therapy for inoperable non small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:5557-5561. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17984185>.

333. Yuan S, Sun X, Li M, et al. A randomized study of involved-field irradiation versus elective nodal irradiation in combination with concurrent chemotherapy for inoperable stage III nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2007;30:239-244. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17551299>.

334. Fernandes AT, Shen J, Finlay J, et al. Elective nodal irradiation (ENI) vs. involved field radiotherapy (IFRT) for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): A comparative analysis of toxicities and clinical outcomes. *Radiother Oncol* 2010;95:178-184. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20356642>.

335. Chen M, Bao Y, Ma HL, et al. Involved-field radiotherapy versus elective nodal irradiation in combination with concurrent chemotherapy for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective randomized study. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;2013:371819. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23762840>.

336. Rodrigues G, Videtic GM, Sur R, et al. Palliative thoracic radiotherapy in lung cancer: An American Society for Radiation Oncology evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2011;1:60-71. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24174996>.

337. Rodrigues G, Macbeth F, Burmeister B, et al. Consensus statement on palliative lung radiotherapy: third international consensus workshop on palliative radiotherapy and symptom control. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2012;13:1-5. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21729656>.

338. Chen AB, Cronin A, Weeks JC, et al. Palliative radiation therapy practice in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a Cancer Care Outcomes Research and Surveillance Consortium (CanCORS) Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:558-564. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23295799>.

339. Lally BE, Zelterman D, Colasanto JM, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for stage II or III non-small-cell lung cancer using the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results database. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:2998-3006. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16769986>.

340. Albain KS, Rusch VW, Crowley JJ, et al. Concurrent cisplatin/etoposide plus chest radiotherapy followed by surgery for stages IIIA (N2) and IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: mature results of Southwest Oncology Group phase II study 8805. *J Clin Oncol* 1995;13:1880-1892. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7636530>.

341. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Jones VL, Cerfolio RM. Pulmonary resection after concurrent chemotherapy and high dose (60Gy) radiation for non-small cell lung cancer is safe and may provide increased survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2009;35:718-723; discussion 723. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19233668>.

342. Kwong KF, Edelman MJ, Suntharalingam M, et al. High-dose radiotherapy in trimodality treatment of Pancoast tumors results in high pathologic complete response rates and excellent long-term survival. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;129:1250-1257. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15942564>.

343. Sonett JR, Suntharalingam M, Edelman MJ, et al. Pulmonary resection after curative intent radiotherapy (>59 Gy) and concurrent chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;78:1200-1205; discussion 1206. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15464470>.

344. Rusch VW, Giroux DJ, Kraut MJ, et al. Induction chemoradiation and surgical resection for superior sulcus non-small-cell lung carcinomas: long-term results of Southwest Oncology Group Trial 9416 (Intergroup Trial 0160). *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:313-318. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17235046>.

345. Bezjak A, Temin S, Franklin G, et al. Definitive and Adjuvant Radiotherapy in Locally Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Endorsement of the American Society for Radiation Oncology Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:2100-2105. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944914>.

346. Bradley J, Graham MV, Winter K, et al. Toxicity and outcome results of RTOG 9311: a phase I-II dose-escalation study using three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy in patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;61:318-328. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15667949>.

347. Kong FM, Ten Haken RK, Schipper MJ, et al. High-dose radiation improved local tumor control and overall survival in patients with inoperable/unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a radiation dose escalation study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2005;63:324-333. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16168827>.

348. Zhao L, West BT, Hayman JA, et al. High radiation dose may reduce the negative effect of large gross tumor volume in patients with medically inoperable early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;68:103-110. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17363189>.

349. Wang L, Correa CR, Zhao L, et al. The effect of radiation dose and chemotherapy on overall survival in 237 patients with Stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;73:1383-1390. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18929449>.

350. Rosenman JG, Halle JS, Socinski MA, et al. High-dose conformal radiotherapy for treatment of stage IIIA/IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: technical issues and results of a phase I/II trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2002;54:348-356. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12243807>.

351. Schild SE, McGinnis WL, Graham D, et al. Results of a Phase I trial of concurrent chemotherapy and escalating doses of radiation for unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;65:1106-1111. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16730134>.

352. Bradley JD, Moughan J, Graham MV, et al. A phase I/II radiation dose escalation study with concurrent chemotherapy for patients with inoperable stages I to III non-small-cell lung cancer: phase I results of RTOG 0117. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;77:367-372. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20457350>.

353. Machtay M, Bae K, Movsas B, et al. Higher biologically effective dose of radiotherapy is associated with improved outcomes for locally advanced non-small cell lung carcinoma treated with chemoradiation: an analysis of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;82:425-434. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20980108>.

354. Bradley JD, Paulus R, Komaki R, et al. Standard-dose versus high-dose conformal radiotherapy with concurrent and consolidation carboplatin plus paclitaxel with or without cetuximab for patients with stage IIIA or IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer (RTOG 0617): a randomised, two-by-two factorial phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:187-199. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25601342>.

355. Cox JD. Are the results of RTOG 0617 mysterious? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;82:1042-1044. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22284026>.

356. Bradley JD, Bae K, Graham MV, et al. Primary analysis of the phase II component of a phase I/II dose intensification study using three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy and concurrent chemotherapy for patients with inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer: RTOG 0117. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:2475-2480. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20368547>.

357. ICRU. ICRU Report 50. Prescribing, Recording and Reporting Photon Beam Therapy. Bethesda, MD: International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements; 1993.

358. ICRU. Prescribing, Recording and Reporting Photon Beam Therapy (Report 62) (Supplement to ICRU Report 50). Bethesda, MD: ICRU; 1999.

359. ICRU Report 83: Prescribing, Recording, and Reporting Intensity-Modulated Photon-Beam Therapy (IMRT). Bethesda, MD: International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements; 2010. Available at: <http://www.icru.org/testing/reports/prescribing-recording-and-reporting-intensity-modulated-photon-beam-therapy-imrt-icru-report-83>.

360. Group IDW, Holmes T, Das R, et al. American Society of Radiation Oncology recommendations for documenting intensity-modulated radiation therapy treatments. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;74:1311-1318. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19616738>.

361. Kong FM, Ritter T, Quint DJ, et al. Consideration of dose limits for organs at risk of thoracic radiotherapy: atlas for lung, proximal bronchial tree, esophagus, spinal cord, ribs, and brachial plexus. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;81:1442-1457. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20934273>.

362. Kong FM, Pan C, Eisbruch A, Ten Haken RK. Physical models and simpler dosimetric descriptors of radiation late toxicity. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2007;17:108-120. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17395041>.

363. Graham MV, Purdy JA, Emami B, et al. Clinical dose-volume histogram analysis for pneumonitis after 3D treatment for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999;45:323-329. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10487552>.

364. Kong FM, Hayman JA, Griffith KA, et al. Final toxicity results of a radiation-dose escalation study in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): predictors for radiation pneumonitis and fibrosis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;65:1075-1086. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16647222>.

365. Hernando ML, Marks LB, Bentel GC, et al. Radiation-induced pulmonary toxicity: a dose-volume histogram analysis in 201 patients with lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2001;51:650-659. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11597805>.

366. Kim TH, Cho KH, Pyo HR, et al. Dose-volumetric parameters for predicting severe radiation pneumonitis after three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy for lung cancer. *Radiology* 2005;235:208-215. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15703313>.

367. Wang S, Liao Z, Wei X, et al. Analysis of clinical and dosimetric factors associated with treatment-related pneumonitis (TRP) in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with concurrent chemotherapy and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;66:1399-1407. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16997503>.

368. Rose J, Rodrigues G, Yaremko B, et al. Systematic review of dose-volume parameters in the prediction of esophagitis in thoracic radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2009;91:282-287. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18950881>.

369. Hall WH, Guiou M, Lee NY, et al. Development and validation of a standardized method for contouring the brachial plexus: preliminary dosimetric analysis among patients treated with IMRT for head-and-neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;72:1362-1367. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18448267>.

370. Marks LB, Yorke ED, Jackson A, et al. Use of normal tissue complication probability models in the clinic. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S10-19. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20171502>.

371. Marks LB, Bentzen SM, Deasy JO, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the lung. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S70-76.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20171521>.

372. Werner-Wasik M, Yorke E, Deasy J, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the esophagus. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S86-93.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20171523>.

373. Gagliardi G, Constone LS, Moiseenko V, et al. Radiation dose-volume effects in the heart. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S77-85.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20171522>.

374. Kirkpatrick JP, van der Kogel AJ, Schultheiss TE. Radiation dose-volume effects in the spinal cord. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:S42-49. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20171517>.

375. MacManus M, Nestle U, Rosenzweig KE, et al. Use of PET and PET/CT for radiation therapy planning: IAEA expert report 2006-2007.

Radiother Oncol 2009;91:85-94. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19100641>.

376. Chang JY, Zhang X, Wang X, et al. Significant reduction of normal tissue dose by proton radiotherapy compared with three-dimensional conformal or intensity-modulated radiation therapy in Stage I or Stage III non-small-cell lung cancer.

Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;65:1087-1096. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16682145>.

377. Na. Abstracts. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* 2008;3:S263-S301.

Available at: <http://journals.lww.com/jto/toc/2008/11001>.

378. Bush DA, Slater JD, Shin BB, et al. Hypofractionated proton beam radiotherapy for stage I lung cancer. *Chest* 2004;126:1198-1203.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15486383>.

379. Nihei K, Ogino T, Ishikura S, Nishimura H. High-dose proton beam therapy for Stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;65:107-111. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16458447>.

380. Grutters JP, Kessels AG, Pijls-Johannesma M, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of radiotherapy with photons, protons and carbon-ions for non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Radiother Oncol* 2010;95:32-40. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19733410>.

381. Keall PJ, Mageras GS, Balter JM, et al. The management of respiratory motion in radiation oncology report of AAPM Task Group 76. *Med Phys* 2006;33:3874-3900. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17089851>.

382. Dahele M, Senan S. The role of stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for early-stage and oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer: evidence for changing paradigms. *Cancer Res Treat* 2011;43:75-82. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21811422>.

383. Heinzerling JH, Kavanagh B, Timmerman RD. Stereotactic ablative radiation therapy for primary lung tumors. *Cancer J* 2011;17:28-32.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21263264>.

384. Potters L, Kavanagh B, Galvin JM, et al. American Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ASTRO) and American College of Radiology (ACR) practice guideline for the performance of stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;76:326-332. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20117285>.

385. Guckenberger M, Andratschke N, Alheit H, et al. Definition of stereotactic body radiotherapy: principles and practice for the treatment

of stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2014;190:26-33. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24052011>.

386. Onishi H, Shirato H, Nagata Y, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: can SBRT be comparable to surgery? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;81:1352-1358. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20638194>.

387. Baumann P, Nyman J, Hoyer M, et al. Outcome in a prospective phase II trial of medically inoperable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3290-3296. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19414667>.

388. Iyengar P, Westover K, Timmerman RD. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) for non-small cell lung cancer. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;34:845-854. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24258574>.

389. Nagata Y, Hiraoka M, Shibata T, et al. Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy For T1N0M0 Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: First Report for Inoperable Population of a Phase II Trial by Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG 0403). *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biophysics* 2012;84:S46. Available at: [http://www.redjournal.org/article/S0360-3016\(12\)01274-6/abstract](http://www.redjournal.org/article/S0360-3016(12)01274-6/abstract).

390. Palma D, Visser O, Lagerwaard FJ, et al. Impact of introducing stereotactic lung radiotherapy for elderly patients with stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a population-based time-trend analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:5153-5159. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21041709>.

391. Widder J, Postmus D, Ubbels JF, et al. Survival and quality of life after stereotactic or 3D-conformal radiotherapy for inoperable early-stage lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;81:e291-297. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21640503>.

392. Bradley JD, El Naqa I, Drzymala RE, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: the pattern of failure is distant. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;77:1146-1150. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19800181>.

393. Senti S, Lagerwaard FJ, Haasbeek CJ, et al. Patterns of disease recurrence after stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for early stage non-small-cell lung cancer: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:802-809. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22727222>.

394. Fakiris AJ, McGarry RC, Yiannoutsos CT, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small-cell lung carcinoma: four-year results of a prospective phase II study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;75:677-682. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19251380>.

395. Verstegen NE, Oosterhuis JW, Palma DA, et al. Stage I-II non-small-cell lung cancer treated using either stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) or lobectomy by video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS): outcomes of a propensity score-matched analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24:1543-1548. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425947>.

396. Nagata Y, Hiraoka M, Shibata T, et al. A Phase II Trial of Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for Operable T1N0M0 Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG0403). *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biophysics* 2010;78:S27-S28. Available at: [http://www.redjournal.org/article/S0360-3016\(10\)01078-3/abstract](http://www.redjournal.org/article/S0360-3016(10)01078-3/abstract).

397. Lagerwaard FJ, Verstegen NE, Haasbeek CJ, et al. Outcomes of stereotactic ablative radiotherapy in patients with potentially operable stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;83:348-353. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22104360>.

398. Shirvani SM, Jiang J, Chang JY, et al. Lobectomy, sublobar resection, and stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for early-stage non-small cell lung cancers in the elderly. *JAMA Surg* 2014;149:1244-1253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25321323>.

399. Timmerman RD, Paulus R, Pass HI, et al. RTOG 0618: Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) to treat operable early-stage lung cancer patients [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31(Suppl 15):Abstract 7523. Available at:

400. Matsuo Y, Shibuya K, Nagata Y, et al. Preliminary report of late recurrences, at 5 years or more, after stereotactic body radiation therapy for non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:453-456. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22252562>.

401. Rusthoven CG, Kavanagh BD, Karam SD. Improved survival with stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) over lobectomy for early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): addressing the fallout of disruptive randomized data. *Ann Transl Med* 2015;3:149. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26244136>.

402. Chang JY, Senan S, Paul MA, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy versus lobectomy for operable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of two randomised trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:630-637. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25981812>.

403. Kunkler IH, Audisio R, Belkacemi Y, et al. Review of current best practice and priorities for research in radiation oncology for elderly patients with cancer: the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) task force. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:2134-2146. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24625455>.

404. Shultz DB, Filippi AR, Thariat J, et al. Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy for Pulmonary Oligometastases and Oligometastatic Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2014;9:1426-1433. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25170641>.

405. Filippi AR, Badellino S, Guarneri A, et al. Outcomes of single fraction stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for lung metastases. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2014;13:37-45. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23819496>.

406. Chan NK, Abdullah KG, Lubelski D, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for metastatic spine tumors. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2014;58:37-44. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24614791>.

407. Ojerholm E, Lee JY, Kolker J, et al. Gamma Knife radiosurgery to four or more brain metastases in patients without prior intracranial radiation or surgery. *Cancer Med* 2014;3:565-571. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24510602>.

408. Timmerman RD, Herman J, Cho LC. Emergence of stereotactic body radiation therapy and its impact on current and future clinical practice. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:2847-2854. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25113761>.

409. Salazar OM, Sandhu TS, Lattin PB, et al. Once-weekly, high-dose stereotactic body radiotherapy for lung cancer: 6-year analysis of 60 early-stage, 42 locally advanced, and 7 metastatic lung cancers. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008;72:707-715. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18455322>.

410. Guckenberger M, Wulf J, Mueller G, et al. Dose-response relationship for image-guided stereotactic body radiotherapy of pulmonary tumors: relevance of 4D dose calculation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;74:47-54. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977095>.

411. Zhang X, Liu H, Balter P, et al. Positron emission tomography for assessing local failure after stereotactic body radiotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;83:1558-1565. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22572078>.

412. Hoopes DJ, Tann M, Fletcher JW, et al. FDG-PET and stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lung*

Cancer 2007;56:229-234. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17353064>.

413. Chen F, Matsuo Y, Yoshizawa A, et al. Salvage lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer after stereotactic body radiotherapy in initially operable patients. J Thorac Oncol 2010;5:1999-2002. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21102261>.

414. Neri S, Takahashi Y, Terashi T, et al. Surgical treatment of local recurrence after stereotactic body radiotherapy for primary and metastatic lung cancers. J Thorac Oncol 2010;5:2003-2007. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21102262>.

415. Hearn JW, Videtic GM, Djemil T, Stephans KL. Salvage Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) for Local Failure After Primary Lung SBRT. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;90:402-406. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25017480>.

416. Trakul N, Harris JP, Le QT, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for reirradiation of locally recurrent lung tumors. J Thorac Oncol 2012;7:1462-1465. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895143>.

417. Kilburn JM, Kuremsky JG, Blackstock AW, et al. Thoracic re-irradiation using stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) techniques as first or second course of treatment. Radiother Oncol 2014;110:505-510. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24444530>.

418. Baker R, Han G, Sarangkasiri S, et al. Clinical and dosimetric predictors of radiation pneumonitis in a large series of patients treated with stereotactic body radiation therapy to the lung. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013;85:190-195. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22929858>.

419. Chang JY, Bezjak A, Mornex F, Committee IART. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for centrally located early stage non-small-cell lung cancer: what we have learned. J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:577-585. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25514807>.

420. Chang JY, Li QQ, Xu QY, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiation therapy for centrally located early stage or isolated parenchymal recurrences of non-small cell lung cancer: how to fly in a "no fly zone". Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;88:1120-1128. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24661665>.

421. Hadziahmetovic M, Loo BW, Timmerman RD, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (stereotactic ablative radiotherapy) for stage I non-small cell lung cancer--updates of radiobiology, techniques, and clinical outcomes. Discov Med 2010;9:411-417. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20515609>.

422. Hara R, Itami J, Kondo T, et al. Clinical outcomes of single-fraction stereotactic radiation therapy of lung tumors. Cancer 2006;106:1347-1352. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16475150>.

423. Chang JY, Balter PA, Dong L, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy in centrally and superiorly located stage I or isolated recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008;72:967-971. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18954709>.

424. Takeda A, Sanuki N, Kunieda E, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for primary lung cancer at a dose of 50 Gy total in five fractions to the periphery of the planning target volume calculated using a superposition algorithm. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009;73:442-448. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18990507>.

425. Stephans KL, Djemil T, Reddy CA, et al. A comparison of two stereotactic body radiation fractionation schedules for medically inoperable stage I non-small cell lung cancer: the Cleveland Clinic experience. J Thorac Oncol 2009;4:976-982. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19633473>.

426. Jin JY, Kong FM, Chetty IJ, et al. Impact of fraction size on lung radiation toxicity: hypofractionation may be beneficial in dose escalation of radiotherapy for lung cancers. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:782-788. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19577855>.

427. Onishi H, Shirato H, Nagata Y, et al. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HypoFXSRT) for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: updated results of 257 patients in a Japanese multi-institutional study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007;2:S94-100. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17603311>.
428. Chawla S, Chen Y, Katz AW, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for treatment of adrenal metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;75:71-75. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19250766>.
429. Scorsetti M, Alongi F, Filippi AR, et al. Long-term local control achieved after hypofractionated stereotactic body radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastases: a retrospective analysis of 34 patients. *Acta Oncol* 2012;51:618-623. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22263925>.
430. Iyengar P, Kavanagh BD, Wardak Z, et al. Phase II trial of stereotactic body radiation therapy combined with erlotinib for patients with limited but progressive metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:3824-3830. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25349291>.
431. Takeda M, Okamoto I, Nakagawa K. Clinical impact of continued crizotinib administration after isolated central nervous system progression in patients with lung cancer positive for ALK rearrangement. *J Thorac Oncol* 2013;8:654-657. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23584297>.
432. Sura S, Yorke E, Jackson A, Rosenzweig KE. High-dose radiotherapy for the treatment of inoperable non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer J* 2007;13:238-242. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17762758>.
433. Hu C, Chang EL, Hassenbusch SJ, 3rd, et al. Nonsmall cell lung cancer presenting with synchronous solitary brain metastasis. *Cancer* 2006;106:1998-2004. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16572401>.
434. Kalkanis SN, Kondziolka D, Gaspar LE, et al. The role of surgical resection in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 2010;96:33-43. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19960230>.
435. Gaspar LE, Mehta MP, Patchell RA, et al. The role of whole brain radiation therapy in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 2010;96:17-32. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19960231>.
436. Mintz A, Perry J, Spithoff K, et al. Management of single brain metastasis: a practice guideline. *Curr Oncol* 2007;14:131-143. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17710205>.
437. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, et al. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med* 1990;322:494-500. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2405271>.
438. Linskey ME, Andrews DW, Asher AL, et al. The role of stereotactic radiosurgery in the management of patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 2010;96:45-68. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19960227>.
439. Aoyama H, Shirato H, Tago M, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery plus whole-brain radiation therapy vs stereotactic radiosurgery alone for treatment of brain metastases: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006;295:2483-2491. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16757720>.
440. Abe E, Aoyama H. The role of whole brain radiation therapy for the management of brain metastases in the era of stereotactic radiosurgery. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2012;14:79-84. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22006098>.

441. Mehta MP, Paleologos NA, Mikkelsen T, et al. The role of chemotherapy in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 2010;96:71-83. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19960229>.
442. Ellis TL, Neal MT, Chan MD. The role of surgery, radiosurgery and whole brain radiation therapy in the management of patients with metastatic brain tumors. *Int J Surg Oncol* 2012;2012:952345. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22312545>.
443. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Regine WF, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 1998;280:1485-1489. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9809728>.
444. Ammirati M, Cobbs CS, Linskey ME, et al. The role of retreatment in the management of recurrent/progressive brain metastases: a systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 2010;96:85-96. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19957016>.
445. Reck M, Popat S, Reinmuth N, et al. Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25 Suppl 3:iii27-39. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25115305>.
446. Tsao MN, Lloyd N, Wong RK, et al. Whole brain radiotherapy for the treatment of newly diagnosed multiple brain metastases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;4:CD003869. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22513917>.
447. Chang WS, Kim HY, Chang JW, et al. Analysis of radiosurgical results in patients with brain metastases according to the number of brain lesions: is stereotactic radiosurgery effective for multiple brain metastases? *J Neurosurg* 2010;113 Suppl:73-78. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21121789>.
448. Wolfson AH, Bae K, Komaki R, et al. Primary analysis of a phase II randomized trial Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0212: impact of different total doses and schedules of prophylactic cranial irradiation on chronic neurotoxicity and quality of life for patients with limited-disease small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011;81:77-84. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20800380>.
449. Sun A, Bae K, Gore EM, et al. Phase III trial of prophylactic cranial irradiation compared with observation in patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: neurocognitive and quality-of-life analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:279-286. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21135267>.
450. Tallet AV, Azria D, Barlesi F, et al. Neurocognitive function impairment after whole brain radiotherapy for brain metastases: actual assessment. *Radiat Oncol* 2012;7:77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22640600>.
451. Li J, Bentzen SM, Renschler M, Mehta MP. Regression after whole-brain radiation therapy for brain metastases correlates with survival and improved neurocognitive function. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:1260-1266. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17401015>.
452. Aoyama H, Tago M, Kato N, et al. Neurocognitive function of patients with brain metastasis who received either whole brain radiotherapy plus stereotactic radiosurgery or radiosurgery alone. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2007;68:1388-1395. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17674975>.
453. Chang EL, Wefel JS, Hess KR, et al. Neurocognition in patients with brain metastases treated with radiosurgery or radiosurgery plus whole-brain irradiation: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:1037-1044. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19801201>.

454. Yamamoto M, Serizawa T, Shuto T, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLJK0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:387-395. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24621620>.
455. Suh JH, Videtic GM, Aref AM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria: single brain metastasis. *Curr Probl Cancer* 2010;34:162-174. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20541055>.
456. Marsh JC, Giolda BT, Herskovic AM, Abrams RA. Cognitive Sparing during the Administration of Whole Brain Radiotherapy and Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation: Current Concepts and Approaches. *J Oncol* 2010;2010:198208. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20671962>.
457. Gondi V, Pugh SL, Tome WA, et al. Preservation of memory with conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases (RTOG 0933): a phase II multi-institutional trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:3810-3816. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25349290>.
458. Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:351-360. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14736927>.
459. Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2589-2597. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15972865>.
460. Douillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB-IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:719-727. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16945766>.
461. Song WA, Zhou NK, Wang W, et al. Survival benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: an updated meta-analysis of 13 randomized control trials. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:510-516. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20107424>.
462. Scagliotti GV, Pastorino U, Vansteenkiste JF, et al. Randomized phase III study of surgery alone or surgery plus preoperative cisplatin and gemcitabine in stages IB to IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:172-178. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22124104>.
463. Depierre A, Milleron B, Moro-Sibilot D, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy followed by surgery compared with primary surgery in resectable stage I (except T1N0), II, and IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:247-253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11773176>.
464. Rosell R, Gomez-Codina J, Camps C, et al. Preresectional chemotherapy in stage IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer: a 7-year assessment of a randomized controlled trial. *Lung Cancer* 1999;26:7-14. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10574676>.
465. Roth JA, Fossella F, Komaki R, et al. A randomized trial comparing perioperative chemotherapy and surgery with surgery alone in resectable stage IIIa non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994;86:673-680. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8158698>.
466. Felip E, Rosell R, Maestre JA, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy plus surgery versus surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy versus surgery alone in early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:3138-3145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20516435>.
467. Pisters KM, Vallieres E, Crowley JJ, et al. Surgery with or without preoperative paclitaxel and carboplatin in early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: Southwest Oncology Group Trial S9900, an intergroup,

randomized, phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:1843-1849. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20231678>.

468. Westeel V, Quoix E, Puyraveau M, et al. A randomised trial comparing preoperative to perioperative chemotherapy in early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer (IFCT 0002 trial). *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:2654-2664. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23735703>.

469. Group NM-aC. Preoperative chemotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet* 2014;383:1561-1571. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24576776>.

470. Curran WJ, Jr., Paulus R, Langer CJ, et al. Sequential vs. concurrent chemoradiation for stage III non-small cell lung cancer: randomized phase III trial RTOG 9410. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:1452-1460. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21903745>.

471. Auperin A, Le Pechoux C, Rolland E, et al. Meta-analysis of concomitant versus sequential radiochemotherapy in locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:2181-2190. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20351327>.

472. Socinski MA, Rosenman JG, Halle J, et al. Dose-escalating conformal thoracic radiation therapy with induction and concurrent carboplatin/paclitaxel in unresectable stage IIIA/B nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: a modified phase I/II trial. *Cancer* 2001;92:1213-1223. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11571735>.

473. Furuse K, Fukuoka M, Kawahara M, et al. Phase III study of concurrent versus sequential thoracic radiotherapy in combination with mitomycin, vindesine, and cisplatin in unresectable stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:2692-2699. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10561343>.

474. Socinski MA, Evans T, Gettinger S, et al. Treatment of stage IV non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e341S-368S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649446>.

475. Azzoli CG, Temin S, Aliff T, et al. 2011 Focused Update of 2009 American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update on Chemotherapy for Stage IV Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:3825-3831. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21900105>.

476. Azzoli CG, Baker S, Jr., Temin S, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline update on chemotherapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:6251-6266. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19917871>.

477. Group NM-AC. Chemotherapy in addition to supportive care improves survival in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from 16 randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:4617-4625. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18678835>.

478. Souquet PJ, Chauvin F, Boissel JP, et al. Polychemotherapy in advanced non small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 1993;342:19-21. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8100290>.

479. Chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis using updated data on individual patients from 52 randomised clinical trials. Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Collaborative Group. *BMJ* 1995;311:899-909. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7580546>.

480. Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, et al. Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:733-742. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20818875>.

481. Yates P, Schofield P, Zhao I, Currow D. Supportive and palliative care for lung cancer patients. *J Thorac Dis* 2013;5 Suppl 5:S623-628. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24163753>.

482. Ford DW, Koch KA, Ray DE, Selecky PA. Palliative and end-of-life care in lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e498S-512S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649453>.

483. Aizer AA, Chen MH, McCarthy EP, et al. Marital status and survival in patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3869-3876. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24062405>.

484. Magilligan DJ, Jr., Duvernoy C, Malik G, et al. Surgical approach to lung cancer with solitary cerebral metastasis: twenty-five years' experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1986;42:360-364. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3767508>.

485. Arriagada R, Dunant A, Pignon JP, et al. Long-term results of the international adjuvant lung cancer trial evaluating adjuvant Cisplatin-based chemotherapy in resected lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:35-42. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933916>.

486. Butts CA, Ding K, Seymour L, et al. Randomized phase III trial of vinorelbine plus cisplatin compared with observation in completely resected stage IB and II non-small-cell lung cancer: updated survival analysis of JBR-10. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:29-34. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933915>.

487. Douillard JY, Tribodet H, Aubert D, et al. Adjuvant cisplatin and vinorelbine for completely resected non-small cell lung cancer: subgroup analysis of the Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:220-228. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20027124>.

488. Kreuter M, Vansteenkiste J, Fischer JR, et al. Randomized phase 2 trial on refinement of early-stage NSCLC adjuvant chemotherapy with

cisplatin and pemetrexed versus cisplatin and vinorelbine: the TREAT study. *Ann Oncol* 2013;24:986-992. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23161898>.

489. Petrelli F, Barni S. Non-cancer-related mortality after cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer: a study-level meta-analysis of 16 randomized trials. *Med Oncol* 2013;30:641. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23813019>.

490. Pignon JP, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, et al. Lung adjuvant cisplatin evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE Collaborative Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:3552-3559. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18506026>.

491. Wisnivesky JP, Smith CB, Packer S, et al. Survival and risk of adverse events in older patients receiving postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy for resected stages II-IIIa lung cancer: observational cohort study. *BMJ* 2011;343:d4013. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21757436>.

492. Strauss GM, Herndon J, Maddaus MA, et al. Randomized clinical trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin following resection in stage IB non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Report of Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) Protocol 9633 [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22 (Suppl 14):Abstract 7019. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/22/14_suppl/7019.

493. Strauss GM, Herndon JE, II, Maddaus MA, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy in stage IB non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Update of Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) protocol 9633 [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24 (Suppl 18):Abstract 7007. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/24/18_suppl/7007.

494. Strauss GM, Herndon JE, 2nd, Maddaus MA, et al. Adjuvant paclitaxel plus carboplatin compared with observation in stage IB non-small-cell lung cancer: CALGB 9633 with the Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, and North Central

Cancer Treatment Group Study Groups. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:5043-5051. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18809614>.

495. Ohe Y, Ohashi Y, Kubota K, et al. Randomized phase III study of cisplatin plus irinotecan versus carboplatin plus paclitaxel, cisplatin plus gemcitabine, and cisplatin plus vinorelbine for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Four-Arm Cooperative Study in Japan. Ann Oncol 2007;18:317-323. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17079694>.

496. Katz A, Saad ED. CALGB 9633: an underpowered trial with a methodologically questionable conclusion. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:2300-2301; author reply 2301-2302. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19332712>.

497. Dillman RO, Seagren SL, Propert KJ, et al. A randomized trial of induction chemotherapy plus high-dose radiation versus radiation alone in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 1990;323:940-945. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2169587>.

498. Le Chevalier T, Arriagada R, Quoix E, et al. Radiotherapy alone versus combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy in nonresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: first analysis of a randomized trial in 353 patients. J Natl Cancer Inst 1991;83:417-423. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1847977>.

499. Schaake-Koning C, van den Bogaert W, Dalesio O, et al. Effects of concomitant cisplatin and radiotherapy on inoperable non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 1992;326:524-530. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1310160>.

500. Dillman RO, Seagren SL, Herndon J, et al. A randomized trial of induction chemotherapy plus high-dose radiation versus radiation alone in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: Five-year follow-up of cancer and leukemia group B (CALGB) 8433 trial. J Clin Oncol (Meeting Abstracts) 1993;12:329. Available at:

501. Dillman RO, Herndon J, Seagren SL, et al. Improved survival in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: seven-year follow-up of cancer and leukemia group B (CALGB) 8433 trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996;88:1210-1215. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8780630>.

502. Ramnath N, Dilling TJ, Harris LJ, et al. Treatment of stage III non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e314S-340S. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649445>.

503. Ezer N, Smith CB, Galsky MD, et al. Cisplatin vs. carboplatin-based chemoradiotherapy in patients >65 years of age with stage III non-small cell lung cancer. Radiother Oncol 2014;112:272-278. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25150635>.

504. Albain KS, Crowley JJ, Turrisi AT, 3rd, et al. Concurrent cisplatin, etoposide, and chest radiotherapy in pathologic stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: a Southwest Oncology Group phase II study, SWOG 9019. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:3454-3460. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12177106>.

505. Belani CP, Choy H, Bonomi P, et al. Combined chemoradiotherapy regimens of paclitaxel and carboplatin for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomized phase II locally advanced multi-modality protocol. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:5883-5891. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16087941>.

506. Santana-Davila R, DeVos K, Szabo A, et al. Cisplatin and etoposide versus carboplatin and paclitaxel with concurrent radiotherapy for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: an analysis of Veterans Health Administration data. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:567-574. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25422491>.

507. Choy H, Gerber DE, Bradley JD, et al. Concurrent pemetrexed and radiation therapy in the treatment of patients with inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review of completed and

ongoing studies. Lung Cancer 2015;87:232-240. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25650301>.

508. Govindan R, Bogart J, Stinchcombe T, et al. Randomized phase II study of pemetrexed, carboplatin, and thoracic radiation with or without cetuximab in patients with locally advanced unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: Cancer and Leukemia Group B trial 30407. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:3120-3125. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21747084>.

509. Vokes EE, Senan S, Treat JA, Iscoe NA. PROCLAIM: A phase III study of pemetrexed, cisplatin, and radiation therapy followed by consolidation pemetrexed versus etoposide, cisplatin, and radiation therapy followed by consolidation cytotoxic chemotherapy of choice in locally advanced stage III non-small-cell lung cancer of other than predominantly squamous cell histology. Clin Lung Cancer 2009;10:193-198. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19443340>.

510. Senan S, Brade AM, Wang L, et al. Final overall survival results of the phase III PROCLAIM trial: pemetrexed, cisplatin or etoposide, cisplatin plus thoracic radiation therapy followed by consolidation cytotoxic chemotherapy in locally advanced nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:Abstract 7506. Available at: <http://meetinglibrary.asco.org/content/144034-156>.

511. Fossella F, Pereira JR, von Pawel J, et al. Randomized, multinational, phase III study of docetaxel plus platinum combinations versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TAX 326 study group. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:3016-3024. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12837811>.

512. Smit EF, van Meerbeeck JP, Lianes P, et al. Three-arm randomized study of two cisplatin-based regimens and paclitaxel plus gemcitabine in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III trial of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Lung Cancer Group--EORTC 08975. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:3909-3917. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14581415>.

513. Zatloukal P, Petruzelka L, Zemanova M, et al. Concurrent versus sequential chemoradiotherapy with cisplatin and vinorelbine in locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a randomized study. Lung Cancer 2004;46:87-98. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15364136>.

514. Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, von Pawel J, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3543-3551. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18506025>.

515. Zornosa C, Vandergrift JL, Kalemkerian GP, et al. First-line systemic therapy practice patterns and concordance with NCCN guidelines for patients diagnosed with metastatic NSCLC treated at NCCN institutions. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2012;10:847-856. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22773800>.

516. Pennell NA. Selection of chemotherapy for patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cleve Clin J Med 2012;79 Electronic Suppl 1:eS46-50. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614966>.

517. Leighl NB. Treatment paradigms for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: first-, second-, and third-line. Curr Oncol 2012;19:S52-58. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22787411>.

518. Sandler A, Gray R, Perry MC, et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355:2542-2550. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17167137>.

519. Thatcher N, Hirsch FR, Luft AV, et al. Necitumumab plus gemcitabine and cisplatin versus gemcitabine and cisplatin alone as first-line therapy in patients with stage IV squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (SQUIRE): an open-label, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial.

Lancet Oncol 2015;16:763-774. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26045340>.

520. Goldstein DA, Chen Q, Ayer T, et al. Necitumumab in Metastatic Squamous Cell Lung Cancer: Establishing a Value-Based Cost. JAMA Oncol 2015;1:1293-1300. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26313558>.

521. Edelman MJ, Le Chevalier T, Soria JC. Maintenance therapy and advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a skeptic's view. J Thorac Oncol 2012;7:1331-1336. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895137>.

522. Hershman DL, Lacchetti C, Dworkin RH, et al. Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:1941-1967. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24733808>.

523. Patel JD, Socinski MA, Garon EB, et al. PointBreak: a randomized phase III study of pemetrexed plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance pemetrexed and bevacizumab versus paclitaxel plus carboplatin and bevacizumab followed by maintenance bevacizumab in patients with stage IIIB or IV nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:4349-4357. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24145346>.

524. Zhu J, Sharma DB, Gray SW, et al. Carboplatin and paclitaxel with vs without bevacizumab in older patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. JAMA 2012;307:1593-1601. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22511687>.

525. Langer C, Ravelo A, Hazard SJ, et al. Comparison of survival and hospitalization rates between Medicare patients with advanced NSCLC treated with bevacizumab-carboplatin-paclitaxel and carboplatin-paclitaxel: a retrospective cohort study. Lung Cancer 2014;86:350-357. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25439437>.

526. Langer CJ, Socinski MA, Patel JD, et al. Isolating the Role of Bevacizumab in Elderly Patients With Previously Untreated Nonsquamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Secondary Analyses of the ECOG 4599 and PointBreak Trials. Am J Clin Oncol 2015. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25628268>.

527. Felip E, Gridelli C, Baas P, et al. Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: consensus on pathology and molecular tests, first-line, second-line, and third-line therapy: 1st ESMO Consensus Conference in Lung Cancer; Lugano 2010. Ann Oncol 2011;22:1507-1519. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21536661>.

528. Lilenbaum R, Zukin M, Pereira JR, et al. A randomized phase III trial of single-agent pemetrexed (P) versus carboplatin and pemetrexed (CP) in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and performance status (PS) of 2 [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2012;30(Suppl 15):Abstract 7506. Available at:
http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/30/15_suppl/7506.

529. Langer CJ, O'Byrne KJ, Socinski MA, et al. Phase III trial comparing paclitaxel poliglumex (CT-2103, PPX) in combination with carboplatin versus standard paclitaxel and carboplatin in the treatment of PS 2 patients with chemotherapy-naive advanced non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2008;3:623-630. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18520802>.

530. Lilenbaum R, Villaflor VM, Langer C, et al. Single-agent versus combination chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer and a performance status of 2: prognostic factors and treatment selection based on two large randomized clinical trials. J Thorac Oncol 2009;4:869-874. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19487960>.

531. Roth BJ, Krilov L, Adams S, et al. Clinical cancer advances 2012: annual report on progress against cancer from the american society of clinical oncology. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:131-161. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23213095>.

532. Zukin M, Barrios CH, Pereira JR, et al. Randomized phase III trial of single-agent pemetrexed versus carboplatin and pemetrexed in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 2. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:2849-2853. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23775961>.

533. Kelly K, Crowley J, Bunn PA, Jr., et al. Randomized phase III trial of paclitaxel plus carboplatin versus vinorelbine plus cisplatin in the treatment of patients with advanced non--small-cell lung cancer: a Southwest Oncology Group trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:3210-3218. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11432888>.

534. Schiller JH, Harrington D, Belani CP, et al. Comparison of four chemotherapy regimens for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:92-98. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11784875>.

535. Grossi F, Kubota K, Cappuzzo F, et al. Future scenarios for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer: focus on taxane-containing regimens. *Oncologist* 2010;15:1102-1112. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20930102>.

536. de Marinis F, Rossi A, Di Maio M, et al. Treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Italian Association of Thoracic Oncology (AIOT) clinical practice guidelines. *Lung Cancer* 2011;73:1-10. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21440325>.

537. Danson S, Middleton MR, O'Byrne KJ, et al. Phase III trial of gemcitabine and carboplatin versus mitomycin, ifosfamide, and cisplatin or mitomycin, vinblastine, and cisplatin in patients with advanced nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003;98:542-553. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12879472>.

538. Booton R, Lorigan P, Anderson H, et al. A phase III trial of docetaxel/carboplatin versus mitomycin C/ifosfamide/cisplatin (MIC) or mitomycin C/vinblastine/cisplatin (MVP) in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised multicentre trial of the British

Thoracic Oncology Group (BTOG1). *Ann Oncol* 2006;17:1111-1119.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16603599>.

539. Gronberg BH, Bremnes RM, Flotten O, et al. Phase III study by the Norwegian lung cancer study group: pemetrexed plus carboplatin compared with gemcitabine plus carboplatin as first-line chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3217-3224. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19433683>.

540. D'Addario G, Pintilie M, Leighl NB, et al. Platinum-based versus non-platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of the published literature. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:2926-2936. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15728229>.

541. Greco FA, Spigel DR, Kuzur ME, et al. Paclitaxel/Carboplatin/gemcitabine versus gemcitabine/vinorelbine in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase II/III study of the Minnie Pearl Cancer Research Network. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2007;8:483-487. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17922972>.

542. Herbst RS, Khuri FR, Lu C, et al. The novel and effective nonplatinum, nontaxane combination of gemcitabine and vinorelbine in advanced nonsmall cell lung carcinoma: potential for decreased toxicity and combination with biological therapy. *Cancer* 2002;95:340-353. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12124835>.

543. Pujol JL, Breton JL, Gervais R, et al. Gemcitabine-docetaxel versus cisplatin-vinorelbine in advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III study addressing the case for cisplatin. *Ann Oncol* 2005;16:602-610. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15741225>.

544. Rizvi NA, Riely GJ, Azzoli CG, et al. Phase I/II trial of weekly intravenous 130-nm albumin-bound paclitaxel as initial chemotherapy in patients with stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:639-643. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18235124>.

545. Green MR, Manikhas GM, Orlov S, et al. Abraxane, a novel Cremophor-free, albumin-bound particle form of paclitaxel for the treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2006;17:1263-1268. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16740598>.

546. Socinski MA, Bondarenko I, Karaseva NA, et al. Weekly nab-paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin versus solvent-based paclitaxel plus carboplatin as first-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: final results of a phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2055-2062. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22547591>.

547. Sandler AB, Johnson DH, Herbst RS. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor monoclonals in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:4258s-4262s. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15217970>.

548. Giaccone G. Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3235-3242. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15886311>.

549. Khozin S, Blumenthal GM, Jiang X, et al. U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval summary: Erlotinib for the first-line treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations. *Oncologist* 2014;19:774-779. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24868098>.

550. Sequist LV, Joshi VA, Janne PA, et al. Response to treatment and survival of patients with non-small cell lung cancer undergoing somatic EGFR mutation testing. *Oncologist* 2007;12:90-98. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17285735>.

551. Inoue A, Kobayashi K, Usui K, et al. First-line gefitinib for patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harboring epidermal growth factor receptor mutations without indication for chemotherapy. *J Clin*

Oncol 2009;27:1394-1400. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19224850>.

552. Fukuoka M, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Biomarker analyses and final overall survival results from a phase III, randomized, open-label, first-line study of gefitinib versus carboplatin/paclitaxel in clinically selected patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in Asia (IPASS). *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2866-2874. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21670455>.

553. Burotto M, Manasanch EE, Wilkerson J, Fojo T. Gefitinib and erlotinib in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of toxicity and efficacy of randomized clinical trials. *Oncologist* 2015;20:400-410. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25795635>.

554. Haspinger ER, Agustoni F, Torri V, et al. Is there evidence for different effects among EGFR-TKIs? Systematic review and meta-analysis of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients harboring EGFR mutations. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2015;94:213-227. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25523487>.

555. Jackman DM, Miller VA, Cioffredi LA, et al. Impact of epidermal growth factor receptor and KRAS mutations on clinical outcomes in previously untreated non-small cell lung cancer patients: results of an online tumor registry of clinical trials. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009;15:5267-5273. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19671843>.

556. Gridelli C, Ciardiello F, Gallo C, et al. First-line erlotinib followed by second-line cisplatin-gemcitabine chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: the TORCH randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3002-3011. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22778317>.

557. Keedy VL, Temin S, Somerfield MR, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) Mutation testing for patients with advanced non-small-

cell lung cancer considering first-line EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:2121-2127. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482992>.

558. Janne PA, Wang X, Socinski MA, et al. Randomized phase II trial of erlotinib alone or with carboplatin and paclitaxel in patients who were never or light former smokers with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: CALGB 30406 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2063-2069. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22547605>.

559. Masters GA, Temin S, Azzoli CG, et al. Systemic Therapy for Stage IV Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:3488-3515. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26324367>.

560. FDA approves afatinib for advanced lung cancer. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 2013;27:813-814. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24133833>.

561. Soria JC, Felip E, Cobo M, et al. Afatinib versus erlotinib as second-line treatment of patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the lung (LUX-Lung 8): an open-label randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:897-907. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26156651>.

562. Yang JC, Ahn M, Ramalingam SS, et al. AZD9291 in pre-treated T790M positive advanced NSCLC: AURA study Phase II extension cohort [abstract]. Presented at the 16th World Conference on Lung Cancer; 6-9 September 2015; Denver, CO. Abstract 943.

563. Mitsudomi T, Tsai C, Shepherd F, et al. AZD9291 in pre-treated T790M positive advanced NSCLC: AURA2 Phase II study [abstract]. Presented at the 16th World Conference on Lung Cancer; 6-9 September 2015; Denver, CO. Abstract 1406.

564. Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, et al. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer

(FLEX): an open-label randomised phase III trial. *Lancet* 2009;373:1525-1531. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19410716>.

565. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1627-1639. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26412456>.

566. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:123-135. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26028407>.

567. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:1540-1550. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26712084>.

568. Ribas A. Releasing the Brakes on Cancer Immunotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1490-1492. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26348216>.

569. Brahmer JR, Hammers H, Lipson EJ. Nivolumab: targeting PD-1 to bolster antitumor immunity. *Future Oncol* 2015;11:1307-1326. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25798726>.

570. Chiou VL, Burotto M. Pseudoprogression and immune-related response in solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:3541-3543. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26261262>.

571. Phillips T, Simmons P, Inzunza HD, et al. Development of an Automated PD-L1 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Assay for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 2015;23:541-549. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26317305>.

572. Kerr KM, Tsao MS, Nicholson AG, et al. Programmed death-ligand 1 immunohistochemistry in lung cancer: in what state is this art? J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:985-989. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26134220>.

573. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2509-2520. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26028255>.

574. Rizvi NA, Mazieres J, Planchard D, et al. Activity and safety of nivolumab, an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, for patients with advanced, refractory squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 063): a phase 2, single-arm trial. Lancet Oncol 2015;16:257-265. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25704439>.

575. Sgambato A, Casaluce F, Sacco PC, et al. Anti PD-1 and PDL-1 immunotherapy in the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a review on toxicity profile and its management. Curr Drug Saf 2015. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26412670>.

576. Gettinger SN, Horn L, Gandhi L, et al. Overall survival and long-term safety of nivolumab (anti-programmed death 1 antibody, BMS-936558, ONO-4538) in patients with previously treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:2004-2012. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25897158>.

577. Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD. Immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:1974-1982. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25605845>.

578. Chapman PB, D'Angelo SP, Wolchok JD. Rapid eradication of a bulky melanoma mass with one dose of immunotherapy. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2073-2074. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25891305>.

579. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2018-2028. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25891174>.

580. Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, et al. Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. Science 2015;348:124-128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25765070>.

581. Khoja L, Butler MO, Kang SP, et al. Pembrolizumab. J Immunother Cancer 2015;3:36. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26288737>.

582. Garon EB, Ciuleanu TE, Arrieta O, et al. Ramucirumab plus docetaxel versus placebo plus docetaxel for second-line treatment of stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer after disease progression on platinum-based therapy (REVEL): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet 2014;384:665-673. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24933332>.

583. Gridelli C, de Marinis F, Di Maio M, et al. Maintenance treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: results of an international expert panel meeting of the Italian association of thoracic oncology. Lung Cancer 2012;76:269-279. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22266040>.

584. Hashemi-Sadraei N, Pennell NA. Advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): maintenance therapy for all? Curr Treat Options Oncol 2012;13:478-490. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22972369>.

585. Patel JD, Hensing TA, Rademaker A, et al. Phase II study of pemetrexed and carboplatin plus bevacizumab with maintenance pemetrexed and bevacizumab as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:3284-3289. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19433684>.

586. Nadler E, Yu E, Ravelo A, et al. Bevacizumab treatment to progression after chemotherapy: outcomes from a U.S. community practice network. Oncologist 2011;16:486-496. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21441299>.

587. Paz-Ares L, de Marinis F, Dediu M, et al. Maintenance therapy with pemetrexed plus best supportive care versus placebo plus best supportive care after induction therapy with pemetrexed plus cisplatin for advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (PARAMOUNT): a double-blind, phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:247-255. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22341744>.

588. Paz-Ares LG, de Marinis F, Dediu M, et al. PARAMOUNT: Final overall survival results of the phase III study of maintenance pemetrexed versus placebo immediately after induction treatment with pemetrexed plus cisplatin for advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:2895-2902. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23835707>.

589. Barlesi F, Scherpereel A, Rittmeyer A, et al. Randomized phase III trial of maintenance bevacizumab with or without pemetrexed after first-line induction with bevacizumab, cisplatin, and pemetrexed in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAPERL (MO22089). *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3004-3011. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23835708>.

590. Barlesi F, Scherpereel A, Gorbunova V, et al. Maintenance bevacizumab-pemetrexed after first-line cisplatin-pemetrexed-bevacizumab for advanced nonsquamous nonsmall-cell lung cancer: updated survival analysis of the AVAPERL (MO22089) randomized phase III trial. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1044-1052. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24585722>.

591. Perol M, Chouaid C, Perol D, et al. Randomized, phase III study of gemcitabine or erlotinib maintenance therapy versus observation, with predefined second-line treatment, after cisplatin-gemcitabine induction chemotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:3516-3524. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22949150>.

592. Perol M, Chouaid C, Milleron BJ, et al. Maintenance with either gemcitabine or erlotinib versus observation with predefined second-line

treatment after cisplatin-gemcitabine induction chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC: IFCT-GFPC 0502 phase III study [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28(Suppl 15):Abstract 7507. Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/28/15_suppl/7507.

593. Brodowicz T, Krzakowski M, Zwitter M, et al. Cisplatin and gemcitabine first-line chemotherapy followed by maintenance gemcitabine or best supportive care in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a phase III trial. *Lung Cancer* 2006;52:155-163. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16569462>.

594. Fidias P, Novello S. Strategies for prolonged therapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:5116-5123. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21041704>.

595. Socinski MA, Schell MJ, Peterman A, et al. Phase III trial comparing a defined duration of therapy versus continuous therapy followed by second-line therapy in advanced-stage IIIB/IV non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;20:1335-1343. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11870177>.

596. Gerber DE, Schiller JH. Maintenance chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: new life for an old idea. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1009-1020. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23401441>.

597. Cappuzzo F, Ciuleanu T, Stelmakh L, et al. Erlotinib as maintenance treatment in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:521-529. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20493771>.

598. Ciuleanu T, Brodowicz T, Zielinski C, et al. Maintenance pemetrexed plus best supportive care versus placebo plus best supportive care for non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2009;374:1432-1440. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19767093>.

599. Cohen MH, Cortazar P, Justice R, Pazdur R. Approval summary: pemetrexed maintenance therapy of advanced/metastatic nonsquamous, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Oncologist* 2010;15:1352-1358. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21148615>.

600. Rittmeyer A. Quality of Life in Patients with NSCLC Receiving Maintenance Therapy. *Cancers (Basel)* 2015;7:950-962. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26035509>.

601. Cohen MH, Johnson JR, Chattopadhyay S, et al. Approval summary: erlotinib maintenance therapy of advanced/metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Oncologist* 2010;15:1344-1351. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21148614>.

602. Fidias PM, Dakhil SR, Lyss AP, et al. Phase III study of immediate compared with delayed docetaxel after front-line therapy with gemcitabine plus carboplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:591-598. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19075278>.

603. Rigotti NA, Regan S, Levy DE, et al. Sustained care intervention and postdischarge smoking cessation among hospitalized adults: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014;312:719-728. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25138333>.

604. Stead LF, Hartmann-Boyce J, Perera R, Lancaster T. Telephone counselling for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;8:CD002850. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23934971>.

605. Stead LF, Lancaster T. Combined pharmacotherapy and behavioural interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;10:CD008286. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23076944>.

606. Silvestri GA, Gonzalez AV, Jantz MA, et al. Methods for staging non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer,

3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013;143:e211S-250S. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649440>.

607. Patterson GA, Ginsberg RJ, Poon PY, et al. A prospective evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, and mediastinoscopy in the preoperative assessment of mediastinal node status in bronchogenic carcinoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1987;94:679-684. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3669696>.

608. Gonzalez-Stawinski GV, Lemaire A, Merchant F, et al. A comparative analysis of positron emission tomography and mediastinoscopy in staging non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;126:1900-1905. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14688703>.

609. Tournoy KG, Maddens S, Gosselin R, et al. Integrated FDG-PET/CT does not make invasive staging of the intrathoracic lymph nodes in non-small cell lung cancer redundant: a prospective study. *Thorax* 2007;62:696-701. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17687098>.

610. Meyers BF, Haddad F, Siegel BA, et al. Cost-effectiveness of routine mediastinoscopy in computed tomography- and positron emission tomography-screened patients with stage I lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006;131:822-829; discussion 822-829. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16580440>.

611. Dillemans B, Deneffe G, Verschakelen J, Decramer M. Value of computed tomography and mediastinoscopy in preoperative evaluation of mediastinal nodes in non-small cell lung cancer. A study of 569 patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1994;8:37-42. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8136168>.

612. Arita T, Kuramitsu T, Kawamura M, et al. Bronchogenic carcinoma: incidence of metastases to normal sized lymph nodes. *Thorax*

1995;50:1267-1269. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8553299>.

613. Pieterman RM, van Putten JW, Meuzelaar JJ, et al. Preoperative staging of non-small-cell lung cancer with positron-emission tomography. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:254-261. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10911007>.

614. Manente P, Vicario G, Piazza F, et al. Does PET/CT modify the therapeutic approach in medical oncology [abstract]? . *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26(Suppl 15):Abstract 17525. Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/26/15_suppl/17525.

615. Maziak DE, Darling GE, Inculet RI, et al. Positron emission tomography in staging early lung cancer: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:221-228, W-248. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19581636>.

616. Fischer B, Lassen U, Mortensen J, et al. Preoperative staging of lung cancer with combined PET-CT. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:32-39.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19571281>.

617. De Wever W, Stroobants S, Coolen J, Verschakelen JA. Integrated PET/CT in the staging of nonsmall cell lung cancer: technical aspects and clinical integration. *Eur Respir J* 2009;33:201-212. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19118231>.

618. McLoud TC, Bourgouin PM, Greenberg RW, et al. Bronchogenic carcinoma: analysis of staging in the mediastinum with CT by correlative lymph node mapping and sampling. *Radiology* 1992;182:319-323. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1732943>.

619. Seely JM, Mayo JR, Miller RR, Muller NL. T1 lung cancer: prevalence of mediastinal nodal metastases and diagnostic accuracy of CT. *Radiology* 1993;186:129-132. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8416552>.

620. Kerr KM, Lamb D, Wathen CG, et al. Pathological assessment of mediastinal lymph nodes in lung cancer: implications for non-invasive mediastinal staging. *Thorax* 1992;47:337-341. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1609375>.

621. Chin R, Jr., Ward R, Keyes JW, et al. Mediastinal staging of non-small-cell lung cancer with positron emission tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;152:2090-2096. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8520780>.

622. Kernstine KH, Stanford W, Mullan BF, et al. PET, CT, and MRI with Combidex for mediastinal staging in non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1999;68:1022-1028. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10510001>.

623. De Leyn P, Stroobants S, De Wever W, et al. Prospective comparative study of integrated positron emission tomography-computed tomography scan compared with remediastinoscopy in the assessment of residual mediastinal lymph node disease after induction chemotherapy for mediastinoscopy-proven stage IIIA-N2 Non-small-cell lung cancer: a Leuven Lung Cancer Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:3333-3339. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16849747>.

624. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Ojha B. Restaging patients with N2 (stage IIIa) non-small cell lung cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy: a prospective study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006;131:1229-1235.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16733150>.

625. Darling GE, Maziak DE, Inculet RI, et al. Positron emission tomography-computed tomography compared with invasive mediastinal staging in non-small cell lung cancer: results of mediastinal staging in the early lung positron emission tomography trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:1367-1372. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21587082>.

626. Yasufuku K, Pierre A, Darling G, et al. A prospective controlled trial of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration

compared with mediastinoscopy for mediastinal lymph node staging of lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;142:1393-1400 e1391. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21963329>.

627. Annema JT, van Meerbeeck JP, Rintoul RC, et al. Mediastinoscopy vs endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2010;304:2245-2252. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21098770>.

628. Tournoy KG, Keller SM, Annema JT. Mediastinal staging of lung cancer: novel concepts. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:e221-229. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22554550>.

629. Vilman P, Krasnik M, Larsen SS, et al. Transesophageal endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) and endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) biopsy: a combined approach in the evaluation of mediastinal lesions. *Endoscopy* 2005;37:833-839. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16116534>.

630. Yasufuku K, Nakajima T, Motoori K, et al. Comparison of endobronchial ultrasound, positron emission tomography, and CT for lymph node staging of lung cancer. *Chest* 2006;130:710-718. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16963667>.

631. Ernst A, Eberhardt R, Krasnik M, Herth FJ. Efficacy of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration of hilar lymph nodes for diagnosing and staging cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2009;4:947-950. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19590457>.

632. Rintoul RC, Tournoy KG, El Daly H, et al. EBUS-TBNA for the clarification of PET positive intra-thoracic lymph nodes-an international multi-centre experience. *J Thorac Oncol* 2009;4:44-48. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19096305>.

633. Defranchi SA, Edell ES, Daniels CE, et al. Mediastinoscopy in patients with lung cancer and negative endobronchial ultrasound guided

needle aspiration. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:1753-1757. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21095301>.

634. Medford AR, Bennett JA, Free CM, Agrawal S. Mediastinal staging procedures in lung cancer: EBUS, TBNA and mediastinoscopy. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2009;15:334-342. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19395972>.

635. Mayr NA, Hussey DH, Yuh WT. Cost-effectiveness of high-contrast-dose MR screening of asymptomatic brain metastasis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:215-217. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7755752>.

636. Videtic GM, Chang JY, Chetty IJ, et al. ACR appropriateness Criteria(R) early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2014;37:201-207. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25180631>.

637. Rusch VW, Kraut MJ, Crowley J, et al. Induction chemoradiotherapy and surgical resection for non-small cell lung carcinomas of the superior sulcus (pancoast tumors): Mature results of Southwest Oncology Group trial 9416 (Intergroup trial 0160) [abstract]. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2003 22:Abstract 2548. Available at: http://www.asco.org/ascov2/Meetings/Abstracts?&vmview=abst_detail_view&confID=23&abstractID=103854.

638. Barnes JB, Johnson SB, Dahiya RS, et al. Concomitant weekly cisplatin and thoracic radiotherapy for Pancoast tumors of the lung: pilot experience of the San Antonio Cancer Institute. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2002;25:90-92. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11823705>.

639. Rusch VW, Giroux DJ, Kraut MJ, et al. Induction chemoradiation and surgical resection for non-small cell lung carcinomas of the superior sulcus: Initial results of Southwest Oncology Group Trial 9416 (Intergroup Trial 0160). *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2001;121:472-483. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11241082>.

640. Pourel N, Santelmo N, Naafa N, et al. Concurrent cisplatin/etoposide plus 3D-conformal radiotherapy followed by surgery for stage IIB (superior sulcus T3N0)/III non-small cell lung cancer yields a high rate of pathological complete response. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;33:829-836. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18367406>.

641. Gandara DR, Chansky K, Albain KS, et al. Consolidation docetaxel after concurrent chemoradiotherapy in stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: phase II Southwest Oncology Group Study S9504. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:2004-2010. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12743155>.

642. Nakagawa T, Okumura N, Miyoshi K, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with ipsilateral pulmonary metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2005;28:635-639. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16126398>.

643. Lee JG, Lee CY, Kim DJ, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer with ipsilateral pulmonary metastases: prognosis analysis and staging assessment. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;33:480-484. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18249000>.

644. Oliaro A, Filosso PL, Cavallo A, et al. The significance of intrapulmonary metastasis in non-small cell lung cancer: upstaging or downstaging? A re-appraisal for the next TNM staging system. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2008;34:438-443; discussion 443. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18502660>.

645. Hancock JG, Rosen JE, Antonicelli A, et al. Impact of adjuvant treatment for microscopic residual disease after non-small cell lung cancer surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;99:406-413. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25528723>.

646. Bhaskarla A, Tang PC, Mashtare T, et al. Analysis of second primary lung cancers in the SEER database. *J Surg Res* 2010;162:1-6. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20400118>.

647. Aziz TM, Saad RA, Glasser J, et al. The management of second primary lung cancers. A single centre experience in 15 years. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2002;21:527-533. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11888775>.

648. Adebajo SA, Moritz DM, Danby CA. The results of modern surgical therapy for multiple primary lung cancers. *Chest* 1997;112:693-701. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9315801>.

649. Nakata M, Sawada S, Yamashita M, et al. Surgical treatments for multiple primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;78:1194-1199. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15464469>.

650. Ginsberg MS, Griff SK, Go BD, et al. Pulmonary nodules resected at video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery: etiology in 426 patients. *Radiology* 1999;213:277-282. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10540672>.

651. Allen MS. Multiple benign lung tumors. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;15:310-314. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12973710>.

652. Asamura H. Multiple primary cancers or multiple metastases, that is the question. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:930-931. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20581574>.

653. Girard N, Deshpande C, Azzoli CG, et al. Use of epidermal growth factor receptor/Kirsten rat sarcoma 2 viral oncogene homolog mutation testing to define clonal relationships among multiple lung adenocarcinomas: comparison with clinical guidelines. *Chest* 2010;137:46-52. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19376842>.

654. Han HS, Eom DW, Kim JH, et al. EGFR mutation status in primary lung adenocarcinomas and corresponding metastatic lesions: discordance in pleural metastases. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2011;12:380-386. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21729655>.

655. Martini N, Melamed MR. Multiple primary lung cancers. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1975;70:606-612. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/170482>.

656. Chang YL, Wu CT, Lee YC. Surgical treatment of synchronous multiple primary lung cancers: experience of 92 patients. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2007;134:630-637. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17723810>.

657. Tanvetyanon T, Robinson L, Sommers KE, et al. Relationship between tumor size and survival among patients with resection of multiple synchronous lung cancers. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:1018-1024. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20453687>.

658. Rea F, Zuin A, Callegaro D, et al. Surgical results for multiple primary lung cancers. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2001;20:489-495. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11509268>.

659. Gibbs IC, Loo BW, Jr. CyberKnife stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for lung tumors. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2010;9:589-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21070081>.

660. Godoy MC, Naidich DP. Subsolid pulmonary nodules and the spectrum of peripheral adenocarcinomas of the lung: recommended interim guidelines for assessment and management. *Radiology* 2009;253:606-622. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19952025>.

661. Pearson FG, DeLarue NC, Ilves R, et al. Significance of positive superior mediastinal nodes identified at mediastinoscopy in patients with resectable cancer of the lung. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1982;83:1-11. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7054602>.

662. Rice TW. Thoracoscopy in the staging of thoracic malignancies. In: Kaiser LR, Daniel TM, eds, eds. *Thoracoscopic Surgery*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1993:153-162.

663. Gandara DR, Chansky K, Albain KS, et al. Long-term survival with concurrent chemoradiation therapy followed by consolidation docetaxel in stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase II Southwest Oncology Group Study (S9504). *Clin Lung Cancer* 2006;8:116-121. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17026812>.

664. Mina LA, Neubauer MA, Ansari RH, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin (P) plus etoposide (E) plus concurrent chest radiation (XRT) with or without consolidation docetaxel (D) in patients (pts) with inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): HOG LUN 01-24/USO-023--Updated results [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26 (Suppl 15):Abstract 7519. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/26/15_suppl/7519.

665. Hanna NH, Neubauer M, Ansari R, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin (P) plus etoposide (E) plus concurrent chest radiation (XRT) with or without consolidation docetaxel (D) in patients (pts) with inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): HOG LUN 01-24/USO-023 [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25 (Suppl 18):Abstract 7512. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/25/18_suppl/7512.

666. Decker DA, Dines DE, Payne WS, et al. The significance of a cytologically negative pleural effusion in bronchogenic carcinoma. *Chest* 1978;74:640-642. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/216532>.

667. Demmy TL, Gu L, Burkhalter JE, et al. Optimal management of malignant pleural effusions (results of CALGB 30102). *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2012;10:975-982. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22878823>.

668. Ashworth AB, Senan S, Palma DA, et al. An individual patient data metaanalysis of outcomes and prognostic factors after treatment of oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2014;15:346-355. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24894943>.

669. de Vin T, Engels B, Gevaert T, et al. Stereotactic radiotherapy for oligometastatic cancer: a prognostic model for survival. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:467-471. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24355488>.

670. Raz DJ, Lanuti M, Gaissert HC, et al. Outcomes of patients with isolated adrenal metastasis from non-small cell lung carcinoma. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:1788-1792; discussion 1793. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21944257>.

671. Tanvetyanon T, Robinson LA, Schell MJ, et al. Outcomes of adrenalectomy for isolated synchronous versus metachronous adrenal metastases in non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and pooled analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1142-1147. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18309950>.

672. Raviv G, Klein E, Yellin A, et al. Surgical treatment of solitary adrenal metastases from lung carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 1990;43:123-124. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1689433>.

673. Reyes L, Parvez Z, Nemoto T, et al. Adrenalectomy for adrenal metastasis from lung carcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 1990;44:32-34. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2342373>.

674. Park SY, Lee JG, Kim J, et al. Efficacy of platinum-based adjuvant chemotherapy in T2aN0 stage IB non-small cell lung cancer. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;8:151. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23759129>.

675. Group NM-aC, Arriagada R, Auperin A, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy, with or without postoperative radiotherapy, in operable non-small-cell lung cancer: two meta-analyses of individual patient data. *Lancet* 2010;375:1267-1277. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20338627>.

676. Belani CP, Ramalingam S, Perry MC, et al. Randomized, phase III study of weekly paclitaxel in combination with carboplatin versus standard every-3-weeks administration of carboplatin and paclitaxel for

patients with previously untreated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:468-473. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18202422>.

677. Quoix E, Zalcman G, Oster JP, et al. Carboplatin and weekly paclitaxel doublet chemotherapy compared with monotherapy in elderly patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: IFCT-0501 randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2011;378:1079-1088. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21831418>.

678. Burkes RL, Ginsberg RJ, Shepherd FA, et al. Induction chemotherapy with mitomycin, vindesine, and cisplatin for stage III unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: results of the Toronto Phase II Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1992;10:580-586. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1312587>.

679. Bonomi P, Faber L. Neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy in non-small cell lung cancer: The Rush University experience. *Lung Cancer* 1993;9:383-390. Available at:

680. Rusch VW, Albain KS, Crowley JJ, et al. Surgical resection of stage IIIA and stage IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer after concurrent induction chemoradiotherapy. A Southwest Oncology Group trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1993;105:97-104; discussion 104-106. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8380477>.

681. Rosell R, Gomez-Codina J, Camps C, et al. A randomized trial comparing preoperative chemotherapy plus surgery with surgery alone in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:153-158. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8043059>.

682. Pisters K, Vallieres E, Bunn P, et al. S9900: A phase III trial of surgery alone or surgery plus preoperative (preop) paclitaxel/carboplatin (PC) chemotherapy in early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Preliminary results [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23 (Suppl 16):Abstract LBA7012. Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/23/16_suppl/LBA7012.

683. Pisters K, Vallieres E, Bunn PA, Jr., et al. S9900: Surgery alone or surgery plus induction (ind) paclitaxel/carboplatin (PC) chemotherapy in early stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Follow-up on a phase III trial [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2007;25 (Suppl 18):Abstract 7520.

Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/25/18_suppl/7520.

684. Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from nine randomised controlled trials. PORT Meta-analysis Trialists Group. Lancet 1998;352:257-263. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9690404>.

685. Robinson CG, Patel AP, Bradley JD, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy for pathologic N2 non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: a review of the National Cancer Data Base. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:870-876. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25667283>.

686. Patel SH, Ma Y, Wernicke AG, et al. Evidence supporting contemporary post-operative radiation therapy (PORT) using linear accelerators in N2 lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2014;84:156-160.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24674156>.

687. Decker RH, Langer CJ, Rosenzweig KE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) postoperative adjuvant therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2011;34:537-544. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21946673>.

688. Weisenburger TH, Graham MV, Sause WT, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria. Radiology 2000;215 Suppl:1295-1318. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11037548>.

689. Hanna WC, Paul NS, Darling GE, et al. Minimal-dose computed tomography is superior to chest x-ray for the follow-up and treatment of patients with resected lung cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg

2014;147:30-33. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24139896>.

690. Lou F, Huang J, Sima CS, et al. Patterns of recurrence and second primary lung cancer in early-stage lung cancer survivors followed with routine computed tomography surveillance. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;145:75-81; discussion 81-72. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23127371>.

691. Calman L, Beaver K, Hind D, et al. Survival benefits from follow-up of patients with lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:1993-2004. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21892108>.

692. Colt HG, Murgu SD, Korst RJ, et al. Follow-up and surveillance of the patient with lung cancer after curative-intent therapy: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e437S-454S. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23649451>.

693. Dane B, Grechushkin V, Plank A, et al. PET/CT vs. non-contrast CT alone for surveillance 1-year post lobectomy for stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2013;3:408-416. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24116349>.

694. Henschke CI, McCauley DI, Yankelevitz DF, et al. Early Lung Cancer Action Project: overall design and findings from baseline screening. Lancet 1999;354:99-105. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10408484>.

695. Ulaner GA, Lyall A. Identifying and distinguishing treatment effects and complications from malignancy at FDG PET/CT. Radiographics 2013;33:1817-1834. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24108564>.

696. Shi Q, Smith TG, Michonski JD, et al. Symptom burden in cancer survivors 1 year after diagnosis: a report from the American Cancer

Society's Studies of Cancer Survivors. *Cancer* 2011;117:2779-2790. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21495026>.

697. Gelb AF, Tashkin DP, Epstein JD, et al. Physiologic characteristics of malignant unilateral main-stem bronchial obstruction. Diagnosis and Nd-YAG laser treatment. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988;138:1382-1385. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2462389>.

698. Howell DD, James JL, Hartsell WF, et al. Single-fraction radiotherapy versus multifraction radiotherapy for palliation of painful vertebral bone metastases—equivalent efficacy, less toxicity, more convenient: a subset analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group trial 97-14. *Cancer* 2013;119:888-896. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23165743>.

699. Chow E, Harris K, Fan G, et al. Palliative radiotherapy trials for bone metastases: a systematic review. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:1423-1436. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17416863>.

700. Ashworth A, Rodrigues G, Boldt G, Palma D. Is there an oligometastatic state in non-small cell lung cancer? A systematic review of the literature. *Lung Cancer* 2013;82:197-203. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24051084>.

701. Griffioen GH, Toguri D, Dahele M, et al. Radical treatment of synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC): patient outcomes and prognostic factors. *Lung Cancer* 2013;82:95-102. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23973202>.

702. Collen C, Christian N, Schallier D, et al. Phase II study of stereotactic body radiotherapy to primary tumor and metastatic locations in oligometastatic nonsmall-cell lung cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1954-1959. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25114022>.

703. Tree AC, Khoo VS, Eeles RA, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for oligometastases. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:e28-37. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23276369>.

704. De Ruyscher D, Wanders R, van Baardwijk A, et al. Radical treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer patients with synchronous oligometastases: long-term results of a prospective phase II trial (Nct01282450). *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1547-1555. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22982655>.

705. Kelly P, Balter PA, Rebuena N, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for patients with lung cancer previously treated with thoracic radiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010;78:1387-1393. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20381271>.

706. Meijneke TR, Petit SF, Wentzler D, et al. Reirradiation and stereotactic radiotherapy for tumors in the lung: dose summation and toxicity. *Radiother Oncol* 2013;107:423-427. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23647748>.

707. Peulen H, Karlsson K, Lindberg K, et al. Toxicity after reirradiation of pulmonary tumours with stereotactic body radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2011;101:260-266. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22056534>.

708. Reyngold M, Wu AJ, McLane A, et al. Toxicity and outcomes of thoracic re-irradiation using stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). *Radiat Oncol* 2013;8:99. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23617949>.

709. Henry D, Vadhan-Raj S, Hirsh V, et al. Delaying skeletal-related events in a randomized phase 3 study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in patients with advanced cancer: an analysis of data from patients with solid tumors. *Support Care Cancer* 2014;22:679-687. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24162260>.

710. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, et al. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:1125-1132. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21343556>.

711. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Tchekmedyan NS, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of zoledronic acid in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with nonsmall cell lung carcinoma and other solid tumors: a randomized, Phase III, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Cancer* 2004;100:2613-2621. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15197804>.

712. Henry DH, von Moos R, Hungria V, et al. Delaying skeletal-related events in a randomized phase III study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in patients with advanced cancer [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28 (Suppl 15):Abstract 9133. Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/28/15_suppl/9133.

713. Scagliotti GV, Hirsh V, Siena S, et al. Overall survival improvement in patients with lung cancer and bone metastases treated with denosumab versus zoledronic acid: subgroup analysis from a randomized phase 3 study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1823-1829.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23154554>.

714. Casas A, Llombart A, Martin M. Denosumab for the treatment of bone metastases in advanced breast cancer. *Breast* 2013;22:585-592.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23759273>.

715. Ibrahim A, Scher N, Williams G, et al. Approval summary for zoledronic acid for treatment of multiple myeloma and cancer bone metastases. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003;9:2394-2399. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12855610>.

716. Hyman DM, Puzanov I, Subbiah V, et al. Vemurafenib in Multiple Nonmelanoma Cancers with BRAF V600 Mutations. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:726-736. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26287849>.

717. Gautschi O, Milia J, Cabarro B, et al. Targeted Therapy for Patients with BRAF-Mutant Lung Cancer: Results from the European EURAF Cohort. *J Thorac Oncol* 2015;10:1451-1457. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26200454>.

718. Drilon AE, Sima CS, Somwar R, et al. Phase II study of cabozantinib for patients with advanced RET-rearranged lung cancers [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:Abstract 8007. Available at:

<http://meetinglibrary.asco.org/content/147349-156>.

719. Planchard D, Groen HJM, Kim TM, et al. Interim results of a phase II study of the BRAF inhibitor (BRAFi) dabrafenib (D) in combination with the MEK inhibitor trametinib (T) in patients (pts) with BRAF V600E mutated (mut) metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2015;33:Abstract 8006. Available at:

<http://meetinglibrary.asco.org/content/147124-156>.

720. Robinson SD, O'Shaughnessy JA, Cowey CL, Konduri K. BRAF V600E-mutated lung adenocarcinoma with metastases to the brain responding to treatment with vemurafenib. *Lung Cancer* 2014;85:326-330. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24888229>.

721. Mazieres J, Peters S, Lepage B, et al. Lung cancer that harbors an HER2 mutation: epidemiologic characteristics and therapeutic perspectives. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1997-2003. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23610105>.

722. Miller VA, Hirsh V, Cadranet J, et al. Afatinib versus placebo for patients with advanced, metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer after failure of erlotinib, gefitinib, or both, and one or two lines of chemotherapy (LUX-Lung 1): a phase 2b/3 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:528-538. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22452896>.

723. Paez JG, Janne PA, Lee JC, et al. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science* 2004;304:1497-1500. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15118125>.

724. Peters S, Michielin O, Zimmermann S. Dramatic response induced by vemurafenib in a BRAF V600E-mutated lung adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:e341-344. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23733758>.

725. Planchard D, Mazieres J, Riely GJ, et al. Interim results of phase II study BRF113928 of dabrafenib in BRAF V600E mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31(Suppl 15):Abstract 8009. Available at: http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/31/15_suppl/8009.

726. Sakuma Y, Matsukuma S, Yoshihara M, et al. Distinctive evaluation of nonmucinous and mucinous subtypes of bronchioloalveolar carcinomas in EGFR and K-ras gene-mutation analyses for Japanese lung adenocarcinomas: confirmation of the correlations with histologic subtypes and gene mutations. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2007;128:100-108. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17580276>.

727. Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Solomon BJ, et al. Effect of crizotinib on overall survival in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring ALK gene rearrangement: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:1004-1012. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21933749>.

728. Roberts PJ. Clinical use of crizotinib for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. *Biologics* 2013;7:91-101. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23671386>.

729. Forbes SA, Bhamra G, Bamford S, et al. The Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC). *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2008;Chapter 10:Unit 10 11. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18428421>.

730. Lee SY, Kim MJ, Jin G, et al. Somatic mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway genes in non-small cell lung cancers. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:1734-1740. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20881644>.

731. Rekhman N, Paik PK, Arcila ME, et al. Clarifying the spectrum of driver oncogene mutations in biomarker-verified squamous carcinoma of lung: lack of EGFR/KRAS and presence of PIK3CA/AKT1 mutations.

Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:1167-1176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22228640>.

732. Sandler A, Yi J, Dahlberg S, et al. Treatment outcomes by tumor histology in Eastern Cooperative Group Study E4599 of bevacizumab with paclitaxel/carboplatin for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010;5:1416-1423. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20686429>.

733. Socinski MA, Langer CJ, Huang JE, et al. Safety of bevacizumab in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:5255-5261. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19738122>.

734. Pilkington G, Boland A, Brown T, et al. A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of first-line chemotherapy for adult patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Thorax* 2015;70:359-367. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25661113>.

735. Santos FN, de Castria TB, Cruz MR, Riera R. Chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer in the elderly population. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;10:CD010463. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26482542>.

736. Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQ, et al. Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:2455-2465. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22658128>.

737. Heist RS, Sequist LV, Engelman JA. Genetic changes in squamous cell lung cancer: a review. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:924-933. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22722794>.

738. Johnson DH, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny WF, et al. Randomized phase II trial comparing bevacizumab plus carboplatin and paclitaxel with carboplatin and paclitaxel alone in previously untreated locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*

2004;22:2184-2191. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15169807>.

739. Reck M, von Pawel J, Zatloukal P, et al. Phase III trial of cisplatin plus gemcitabine with either placebo or bevacizumab as first-line therapy for nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: AVAIL. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:1227-1234. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188680>.

740. Mezger J, von Pawel J, Reck M. Bevacizumab (Bv) single-agent maintenance following Bv-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Results from an exploratory analysis of the AVAIL study [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27 (Suppl 15):Abstract e19001. Available at:

<http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/27/15S/e19001>.

741. Scagliotti G, Brodowicz T, Shepherd FA, et al. Treatment-by-histology interaction analyses in three phase III trials show superiority of pemetrexed in nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011;6:64-70. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21119545>.

742. Kubota K, Kawahara M, Ogawara M, et al. Vinorelbine plus gemcitabine followed by docetaxel versus carboplatin plus paclitaxel in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised, open-label, phase III study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:1135-1142. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19013107>.

743. Pfister DG, Johnson DH, Azzoli CG, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology treatment of unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer guideline: update 2003. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:330-353. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14691125>.

744. Soon YY, Stockler MR, Askie LM, Boyer MJ. Duration of chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:3277-3283. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19470938>.

745. Coate LE, Shepherd FA. Maintenance therapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: evolution, tolerability and outcomes. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2011;3:139-157. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21904577>.

746. Riely GJ, Kris MG, Zhao B, et al. Prospective assessment of discontinuation and reinitiation of erlotinib or gefitinib in patients with acquired resistance to erlotinib or gefitinib followed by the addition of everolimus. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:5150-5155. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17785570>.

747. von Minckwitz G, du Bois A, Schmidt M, et al. Trastuzumab beyond progression in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive advanced breast cancer: a german breast group 26/breast international group 03-05 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:1999-2006. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289619>.

748. Becker K, Xu Y. Management of tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in lung cancer with EGFR mutation. *World J Clin Oncol* 2014;5:560-567. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25302160>.

749. Katakami N, Atagi S, Goto K, et al. LUX-Lung 4: a phase II trial of afatinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer who progressed during prior treatment with erlotinib, gefitinib, or both. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:3335-3341. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23816963>.

750. Hirsh V, Cadranel J, Cong XJ, et al. Symptom and quality of life benefit of afatinib in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients previously treated with erlotinib or gefitinib: results of a randomized phase IIb/III trial (LUX-Lung 1). *J Thorac Oncol* 2013;8:229-237. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23328549>.

751. Ou SH. Second-generation irreversible epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs): a better mousetrap? A review of the clinical evidence. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2012;83:407-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22257651>.

752. Nguyen KS, Kobayashi S, Costa DB. Acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancers dependent on the epidermal growth factor receptor pathway. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2009;10:281-289. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19632948>.

753. Gazdar AF. Activating and resistance mutations of EGFR in non-small-cell lung cancer: role in clinical response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Oncogene* 2009;28 Suppl 1:S24-31. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19680293>.

754. Chaft JE, Oxnard GR, Sima CS, et al. Disease flare after tyrosine kinase inhibitor discontinuation in patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancer and acquired resistance to erlotinib or gefitinib: implications for clinical trial design. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:6298-6303. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21856766>.

755. Meoni G, Cecere FL, Lucherini E, Di Costanzo F. Medical treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer in elderly patients: a review of the role of chemotherapy and targeted agents. *J Geriatr Oncol* 2013;4:282-290. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24070465>.

756. Weiss JM, Stinchcombe TE. Second-Line Therapy for Advanced NSCLC. *Oncologist* 2013;18:947-953. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918070>.

757. van Putten JW, Baas P, Codrington H, et al. Activity of single-agent gemcitabine as second-line treatment after previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* 2001;33:289-298. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11551424>.

758. Crino L, Mosconi AM, Scagliotti G, et al. Gemcitabine as second-line treatment for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: A phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1999;17:2081-2085. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10561261>.

759. Anderson H, Hopwood P, Stephens RJ, et al. Gemcitabine plus best supportive care (BSC) vs BSC in inoperable non-small cell lung cancer--a randomized trial with quality of life as the primary outcome. UK NSCLC Gemcitabine Group. *Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Br J Cancer* 2000;83:447-453. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10945489>.

760. Sculier JP, Lafitte JJ, Berghmans T, et al. A phase II trial testing gemcitabine as second-line chemotherapy for non small cell lung cancer. The European Lung Cancer Working Party. 101473.1044@compuserve.com. *Lung Cancer* 2000;29:67-73. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10880849>.

761. Fossella FV, DeVore R, Kerr RN, et al. Randomized phase III trial of docetaxel versus vinorelbine or ifosfamide in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer previously treated with platinum-containing chemotherapy regimens. The TAX 320 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:2354-2362. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10856094>.

762. Shepherd FA, Dancey J, Ramlau R, et al. Prospective randomized trial of docetaxel versus best supportive care in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer previously treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:2095-2103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10811675>.

763. Hanna N, Shepherd FA, Fossella FV, et al. Randomized phase III trial of pemetrexed versus docetaxel in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer previously treated with chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:1589-1597. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15117980>.

764. Shepherd FA, Rodrigues Pereira J, Ciuleanu T, et al. Erlotinib in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:123-132. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16014882>.



NCCN Guidelines Version 2.2017 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

765. Janjigian YY, Smit EF, Groen HJ, et al. Dual inhibition of EGFR with afatinib and cetuximab in kinase inhibitor-resistant EGFR-mutant lung cancer with and without T790M mutations. *Cancer Discov* 2014;4:1036-1045. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25074459>.

766. Sacher AG, Janne PA, Oxnard GR. Management of acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor kinase inhibitors in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2014;120:2289-2298. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24752335>.

767. Langer CJ, Mok T, Postmus PE. Targeted agents in the third-/fourth-line treatment of patients with advanced (stage III/IV) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Cancer Treat Rev* 2013;39:252-260.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22703830>.

768. Noble J, Ellis PM, Mackay JA, et al. Second-line or subsequent systemic therapy for recurrent or progressive non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and practice guideline. *J Thorac Oncol* 2006;1:1042-1058. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17409993>.

769. Demarinis F, Paul S, Hanna N, et al. Survival update for the phase III study of pemetrexed vs docetaxel in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [abstract]. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24 (Suppl 18):Abstract 7133.

Available at:

http://meeting.ascopubs.org/cgi/content/abstract/24/18_suppl/7133.

770. Garassino MC, Martelli O, Broggin M, et al. Erlotinib versus docetaxel as second-line treatment of patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and wild-type EGFR tumours (TAILOR): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:981-988. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23883922>.

771. Kawaguchi T, Ando M, Asami K, et al. Randomized phase III trial of erlotinib versus docetaxel as second- or third-line therapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Docetaxel and Erlotinib Lung

Cancer Trial (DELTA). *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:1902-1908. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24841974>.

772. Gregorc V, Novello S, Lazzari C, et al. Predictive value of a proteomic signature in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with second-line erlotinib or chemotherapy (PROSE): a biomarker-stratified, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:713-721.

Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24831979>.

773. Eccles BK, Geldart TR, Laurence VM, et al. Experience of first- and subsequent-line systemic therapy in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2011;3:163-170. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21904578>.

774. Ramlau R, Gervais R, Krzakowski M, et al. Phase III study comparing oral topotecan to intravenous docetaxel in patients with pretreated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:2800-2807. Available at:

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16682727>.

